DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

FY 1999 AMENDED BUDGET ESTIMATES

FEBRUARY 1998

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 2



ARMY WORKING CAPITAL FUND

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

Approved for public releases

Distribution Unlimited

19980305 016

Army Working Capital Fund FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates

Table of Contents

| Army Overview | 1 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| Background | |
| Army Working Capital Fund Activity Groups | |
| Personnel | |
| Costs····· | |
| Net and Accumulated Operating Results | - |
| Unit Costs | 5 |
| Customer Rate Changes | |
| Customer Rates | |
| Revenue ····· | - |
| Workload ····· | • |
| | |
| Supply Inventory and Materiel Replacement Performance Indicators | 0 |
| | _ |
| Depot Maintenance/Ordnance Carry-Over | |
| Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) | |
| Capital Budget Program | 10 |
| DEDATING BUDGET | |
| PERATING BUDGET | 4.4 |
| Supply Management | |
| Depot Maintenance | 33 |
| Ordnance | |
| Information Services | 54 |
| ADITAL DUDOFT | |
| CAPITAL BUDGET | |
| Supply Management | |
| Depot Maintenance | |
| Ordnance | |
| Information Services | ···· 133 |

(This page is intentionally left blank)

ARMY OVERVIEW

BACKGROUND

The Department of the Army has historically operated a significant number of its organic commercial and industrial facilities under revolving fund concepts to encourage these activities to function in a more efficient and cost-conscious manner and to provide the additional flexibility needed to properly manage these facilities under changing workload conditions. The support services provided by Army Working Capital Fund (AWCF) activity groups are absolutely essential to the success of the Operating Forces, and the activity groups themselves are an integral part of the defense team.

ARMY WORKING CAPITAL FUND ACTIVITY GROUPS

The Army manages four activity groups within the Army Working Capital Fund:

Supply Management, Army (SMA). This activity group buys and maintains assigned stocks of materiel for sale to its customers, primarily Army operating units. The availability of this materiel is linked to equipment and operational readiness and the war fighting readiness and abilities of Army units. The activity group consists of a wholesale division and separate retail divisions for the Army's major commands, plus a retail division to support military requirements in the National Capital Region (Washington, DC). The wholesale division is subdivided by commodity; major subordinate commands manage assigned Army items. The SMA also manages the prepositioned war reserves under Army control.

<u>Depot Maintenance</u>. This activity group maintains end items and depot-level reparables. It provides the Army an organic industrial capability to repair, overhaul, and upgrade weapons systems and equipment; store and distribute ammunition, war reserve materiel, and other selected items; and provide tenant support to other Army Materiel Command (AMC), Army, and DoD activities. There are currently eight major depots and four subordinate depot activities in this group.

Ordnance. This activity group manufactures, renovates and demilitarizes ordnance material for all services within the Department of Defense and foreign military customers. The activity group consists of three arsenals and two ammunition plants that provide depot operations, depot maintenance, set assembly, tenant support and national procurement services for thin- and thick-walled cannon. The five activities are responsible for logistics management including follow-on procurement, production, maintenance, engineering and integrated logistics support management.

Information Services. This activity group first operated in a revolving fund environment in FY 1996 on a cost reimbursable basis. FY 1997 was the first year that rates were fully burdened. Four Central Design Activities (CDAs) provide for the development and operational sustainment of automated information systems of automated information and communications systems. This mission covers a broad range of services such as requirements analysis and definition, system design, development, testing, integration, implementation support, and documentation services in support of DoD and Foreign Military Sales (FMS) customers. In FY 1998, the Army Small Computer Program (ASCP) was added to this activity group. It provides customers with fully competed commercial sources for purchase of small and medium computers, hardware, software and support services.

PERSONNEL

In order to perform efficiently, Army-managed AWCF activity groups require the optimum mix of appropriately skilled people to match workload requirements. Skill mismatches may occur between the work force and workload requirements due to force reductions achieved through voluntary separation and hiring freezes. Such mismatches may cause unprogrammed losses.

Civilian and military strengths and regular workyears (Full Time Equivalents--FTEs), by activity group, are as follows:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Supply Management, Army | | | |
| Civilian End Strength | 3,742 | 3,432 | 3,417 |
| Civilian FTEs | 3,887 | 3,586 | 3,439 |
| Military End Strength | 10 | 18 | 17 |
| Military Work Years | 15 | 17 | 17 |
| Depot Maintenance | | | |
| Civilian End Strength | 13,886 | 13,485 | 12,881 |
| Civilian FTEs | 14,425 | 13,595 | 12,991 |
| Military End Strength | 85 | 89 | 77 |
| Military Work Years | 69 | 70 | 66 |
| Ordnance | | | ĺ |
| Civilian End Strength | 5,109 | 4,966 | 4,784 |
| Civilian FTEs | 5,173 | 4,991 | 4,826 |
| Military End Strength | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| Military Workyears | 22 | 22 | 22 |
| Information Services | | | |
| Civilian End Strength | 866 | 900 | 850 |
| Civilian FTEs | 913 | 927 | 869 |
| Military End Strength | 186 | 165 | 128 |
| Military Workyears | 186 | 166 | 128 |

COSTS (EXPENSES)

Costs are reflected below by activity group (\$M):

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Supply Management | 6,756.8 | 6,769.6 | 6,846.3 |
| Depot Maintenance | 1,541.4 | 1,524.4 | 1,511.7 |
| Ordnance | 476.4 | 502.5 | 491.4 |
| Information Services | 162.8 | 174.5 | 162.2 |

Cost increases in SMA are related to price changes in wholesale. Prices have been artificially suppressed for three years as Supply reduced its inventory replenishment levels and returned the cash generated to customers through lower prices. While Supply continues to reduce its prices in FY 1999, the amount of cash used to reduce prices has decreased significantly. Materiel costs at the retail level rise commensurately.

In Depot Maintenance, costs increase by approximately \$90 million for inflation and decrease by \$120 million for program changes between FY 1997 and FY 1999. Ordnance's price growth is \$22 million offset by program decreases of \$7 million between FY 1997 and FY 1999. Information Services' costs remain about the same in FY 1997 and FY 1999 in spite of the addition of the Army Small Computer Program in FY 1998.

NET AND ACCUMULATED OPERATING RESULTS

The Army Working Capital Fund activity groups operate on a break-even basis over the budget cycle. The Army sets annual revenue rates to achieve positive or negative results, in order to bring the Accumulated Operating Result (AOR) to zero in the budget years. The activity group's effectiveness is measured by comparing performance to goal. Net and accumulated operating results are reflected below (\$M):

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Supply Management, Army | | | |
| Net Operating Result | (27.9) | (.4) | (4.9) |
| Accumulated Operating Results | 5.3 | 4.9 | 0 |
| Depot Maintenance | | | |
| Net Operating Result | (136.3) | (73.2) | 9.6 |
| Accumulated Operating Results | (22.0) | (4.8) | 0 |
| Ordnance | | | |
| Net Operating Result | (38.5) | (38.4) | 5.6 |
| Accumulated Operating Results | 16.8 | (5.6) | 0 |
| Information Services | | | |
| Net Operating Result | (8.6) | (1.8) | 8.9 |
| Accumulated Operating Results | (7.1) | (8.9) | 0 |

UNIT COSTS

Unit costing is a methodology established to authorize and control costs. Unit cost goals allow activities to respond to workload changes by setting goals to reduce costs when workload declines and to provide for the additional cost authority necessary to meet increased customer demand. The following displays actual unit costs for FY 1997 and estimated unit cost goals for FYs 1998 and 1999:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Supply Management, Army | | | |
| Retail: Cost/\$ Gross Sales | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Wholesale: Cost/\$ Gross Sales | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.89 |
| Depot Maintenance | | | |
| \$ per Direct Labor Hour (DLH) | 101.30 | 115.37 | 106.32 |
| Ordnance | | | |
| \$ per Direct Labor Hour (DLH) | 102.45 | 93.59 | 94.94 |
| Information Services | | | |
| Design Activities: \$ per DLH | 80.88 | 77.10 | 68.79 |
| Small Computer Program: % Sales | n/a | 1% | 1% |

CUSTOMER RATE CHANGES

In general, activity group rates are set to recover full costs and adjust for prior year operating results. Rate changes are expressed as a percentage change from the rate charged in the previous year. Rate swings in the Depot Maintenance and Ordnance activities are primarily due to recovery of prior year losses or return of prior year gains. In FY 1999, the rates for these two activity groups contain an \$8 per DLH surcharge to restore cash to the AWCF corpus. The Supply Management activity replaces fewer stocks than it sells. The cash generated from selling without replenishing inventory is used to cover operating costs; customers are charged less than full cost. The following reflects changes in prices between fiscal years:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Supply Management | (6.0%) | 2.3% | 7.6% |
| Depot Maintenance | 6.9% | 4.0% | 12.7% |
| Ordnance | 4.9% | (8.1%) | 28.6% |
| Information Services | 5.2% | (3.6%) | 11.8% |

CUSTOMER RATES

In the Depot Maintenance, Ordnance and Information Services activity groups, customer rates are set per direct labor hour. These rates are stabilized so that the customer's buying power is protected in the year of execution. The rates recover overhead costs as well as direct costs. The following table shows the rate per direct labor hour for these activities:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Depot Maintenance | \$90.07 | \$93.71 | \$105.60 |
| Ordnance | \$88.93 | \$81.72 | \$105.12 |
| Information Services | \$64.89 | \$62.56 | \$69.93 |

REVENUE

As the Army continues to downsize and require fewer supplies, equipment and services, customer orders decline. Revenue increases between FYs 1997 and 1999 in current dollars; however, it is masked by rate changes and actually decreases in constant dollars. The following table displays revenue by activity group (\$M):

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Supply Management (Gross Sales) | 9,359.9 | 9,414.0 | 9,646.9 |
| Depot Maintenance | 1,448.9 | 1,690.7 | 1,597.4 |
| Ordnance | 478.4 | 473.7 | 521.6 |
| Information Services | 154.6 | 172.7 | 171.1 |

WORKLOAD

Generally, workload is declining in the budget years due to decreasing customer funding. In addition, the Supply Management activity's efforts to reduce leadtimes result in fewer pipeline replacements. The Depot Maintenance and Ordnance activity groups' direct labor hours decrease as new customer orders decline. Information Services' workload is accomplished through in-house and contract efforts.

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Supply Management, Army | | | |
| SMA Line Items Managed (#) | 146,535 | 143,604 | 140,732 |
| SMA Requisitions Received (\$M) | 3,990.2 | 3,641.5 | 3,740.8 |
| SMA Requisitions Received (#) | 1,165,330 | 1,142,023 | 1,119,182 |
| Receipts (#) | 392,155 | 379,245 | 373,910 |
| Issues (#) | 1,083,437 | 1,097,716 | 1,069,420 |
| Contracts Executed (#>\$100K) | 3,658 | 3,550 | 3,500 |
| Depot Maintenance | | | |
| Direct Labor Hours (DLHs) (000) | 15,346 | 15,110 | 14,232 |
| Ordnance | | | |
| Direct Labor Hours (DLHs) (000) | 5,179 | 5,465 | 5,195 |
| Information Services | | | 1 |
| Total Direct Labor Hours (DLHs) (000) | 1,249 | 1,213 | 1,233 |
| Central Design Activities DLHs (000) | 1,249 | 1,187 | 1,209 |
| Small Computer Program DLHs (000) | | 26 | 24 |

SUPPLY INVENTORY AND MATERIEL REPLACEMENT

Inventory of the Supply Management activity group has decreased more than \$840 million between FY 1995 (\$11.3 billion) and FY 1997 (\$10.5 billion). Force structure changes, the Reduced Price Initiative, transfer of consumable items to Defense Logistics Agency, improved business practices and the overall Army Total Inventory Management program all contribute to the decrease. Increased emphasis on disposing of items that exceed Army requirements has also reduced inventories.

The materiel replacement rate (percentage of sales that are reordered) remains low. This is attributable to successful reduction of acquisition leadtimes. The FY 1999 SMA budget continues to reflect a replacement rate less than 75 percent.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

Performance indicators for the Depot Maintenance, Ordnance, and Information Services activity groups are labor hour costs, net operating results, and unit costs. In addition, schedule conformance is an indicator for Depot Maintenance and Ordnance. The goals for these are to execute labor hour costs at or below budgeted levels; to achieve or exceed budgeted operating results; and, for Depot Maintenance, to complete at least 95 percent of items worked on schedule.

achieve or exceed budgeted operating results; and, for Depot Maintenance, to complete at least 95 percent of items worked on schedule.

In the SMA activity group, stock availability measures the percentage of requisitions satisfied upon initial processing in the wholesale supply system. The SMA target for stock availability is 85 percent demand satisfaction. FY 1997 through FY 1999 budget requirements are based on the 85 percent target. Data provided reflects FY 1997 actual performance:

| Quarter | Percent |
|---------|---------|
| 1st | 84 |
| 2d | 85 |
| 3d | 85 |
| 4th | 84 |

Stock Availability fell in the fourth quarter due to sales below projections that reduced managers' authority available to replenish stocks. Aggressive management measures to improve Stock Availability have been instituted for FY 1998.

DEPOT MAINTENANCE/ORDNANCE CARRY-OVER

Carry-over levels (unfilled orders) drop significantly between fiscal years 1997 and 1999. The computation of number of months of carry-over, applicable to the Depot Maintenance and Ordnance activity groups, is displayed below:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Depot Maintenance (\$M) | | | |
| New Orders | 1,347.5 | 1,391.4 | 1,514.5 |
| Carry-In | 891.3 | 789.9 | 490.7 |
| Gross Orders | 2,238.8 | 2,181.4 | 2,005.2 |
| Total Revenue | 1,448.9 | 1,690.7 | 1,597.4 |
| Carry-Over | 789.9 | 490.7 | 407.8 |
| Less WIP | 250.8 | 31.8 | 30.4 |
| Less BRAC, Non-DoD, FMS | 156.7 | 113.2 | 49.6 |
| Intra/Inter DWCF (excluding SMA) | | | |
| Less Contract Liabilities | | | |
| Net Carry-Over | 382.5 | 345.6 | 327.8 |
| Carry-Over in Months | 3.2 | 2.5 | 2.5 |

| Ordnance (\$M) | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| New Orders | 375.5 | 413.0 | 502.8 |
| Carry-In | 438.3 | 335.4 | 274.8 |
| Gross Orders | 813.9 | 48.5 | 777.6 |
| Total Revenue | 478.4 | 473.7 | 521.6 |
| Carry-Over | 335.4 | 274.8 | 256.0 |
| Less WIP | 45.3 | 36.3 | 34.4 |
| Less BRAC, Non-DoD, FMS | 19.0 | 19.1 | 38.0 |
| Intra/Inter DWCF (excluding SMA) | | | |
| Less Contract Liabilities | | | |
| Net Carry-Over | 271.1 | 219.4 | 183.5 |
| Carry-Over in Months | 6.8 | 5.6 | 4.2 |

Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR):

Looking to the future (beyond FY 1999), recommendations of the QDR hold important changes and potential savings for all Army Working Capital Fund activities. Increased emphasis will be placed on outsourcing and privatization and/or implementation of the most efficient organization. Also, overhead and headquarters functions will be streamlined.

Capital Budget Program:

AWCF activities seek to maintain and develop capabilities through equipment acquisition and the execution of minor construction projects. The budget request provides for equipment acquisition to replace obsolete and unserviceable equipment, modernize repair processes, eliminate environmental hazards, and decrease repair costs through productivity improvements. Also requested are funds for development of software to improve managerial decision-making quality and timeliness through efficient access to and use of data. Investments are for local area networks, servers, desktop computers, high-speed printers and a variety of software products that enhance program integration streamlining. The following table displays the capital investment program for fiscal years 1997 through 1999 (\$M):

| • | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Supply Management | 48.9 | 65.3 | 47.3 |
| Depot Maintenance | 48.2 | 43.6 | 33.3 |
| Ordnance | 14.2 | 16.1 | 15.7 |
| Information Services | 0 | .3 | .3 |
| Total | 111.3 | 125.3 | 96.6 |

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION

The Supply Management, Army (SMA) Activity Group consists of a wholesale division and separate retail divisions for the Army's major commands, plus a retail division to support military requirements in the National Capital Region (Washington, DC). The wholesale division is subdivided by commodity; major subordinate commands manage assigned Army items. The SMA also manages the prepositioned war reserves under Army control. The SMA entities consist of:

| स्वयागिणवर्गाः | Manager . |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| FORSCOM | Headquarters, U.S. Army Forces Command |
| USAREUR | Headquarters, U.S. Army Europe |
| TRADOC | Headquarters, U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command |
| EUSA | Headquarters, Eighth U.S. Army Korea |
| USARPAC | Headquarters, U.S. Army Pacific Command |
| USARSO | Headquarters, U.S. Army Southern Command |
| AMC-ID | Headquarters, U.S. Army Materiel Command-Installation Division |
| DSS-W | Defense Supply Service-Washington |
| 1 | |

Type of Materiel Managed:

Department of the Army (DA), DLA, and General Services Administration (GSA) items. Includes repair parts; clothing; subsistence; medical supplies; industrial supplies; bulk and packaged Petroleum, Oil, and Lubricants (POL); general supplies; and ground support supplies. DSS-W manages GSA items, administrative office supplies and equipment.

| And Wiorsale Subjustions | Lefond Lenges 4 44 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| AMCOM* U.S. Army Aviation and Missile Command Huntsville, AL | Aircraft and ground support items Missile systems items |
| CECOM U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ | Communication and electronics items |
| TACOM U.S. Army Tank and Automotive Command, Warren, MI | Combat, automotive, and construction items |
| ACALA U.S. Army Armament and Chemical Acquisition and Logistics Activity, Rock Island, IL | Weapons, special weapons, chemical and fire control items |
| SCBCOM* U.S. Army Soldier and Chemical/Biological Command, Natick, MA | Ground support items |

| Propositioned Variaeserves | Majere Marager |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AMC-MOB Headquarters, U.S. Army Materiel Command Alexandria, VA | DLA/GSA items: repair parts, clothing, subsistence, medical supplies, industrial supplies; ground forces supplies |

^{*}AMCOM was established in FY 1998. It comprises the former MICOM (U.S. Army Missile Command) and elements from the former ATCOM (U.S. Army Aviation and Troop Command). SCBCOM was established in FY 1998 to manage troop support items from the former ATCOM. Redistribution of approved Personnel and Budgetary Resources accommodates the SCBCOM requirements. No additional resources were required.

BUDGET HIGHLIGHTS

Sales:

Supply Management, Army (SMA) gross sales in dollars will increase in FY 1999 due to changes in pricing. Sales volume will decline in FYs 1998 and 1999 based on changes to the Army's inventory management policies and procedures, the effects of the Consumable Item Transfer (CIT) to the Defense Logistics Agency, and the drawdown for support of contingency operations.

| MB) Digili | FY (997 | FY (1998 | FY/1999) |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Gross Sales | \$9,359.9 | \$9,414.0 | \$9,646.9 |
| Cost of Material Sold from Inventory | 6,032.0 | 6,023.9 | 6,134.7 |
| Obligations for Materiel (includes depot-level repair of DLRs) | 5,294.9 | 5,653.4 | 5,668.4 |
| Credit for Returns | 2,971.4 | 2,914.8 | 2,888.8 |

Operating Results:

The Army Working Capital Fund activity groups operate on a break-even basis over the budget cycle. The Army sets each activity's annual rates to achieve the results, positive or negative, required to bring accumulated operating results to zero in the budget year. The table below reflects net and accumulated operating results (AOR) for SMA:

| in the root (SU) | (7 ³) (7 7) (997) | FY61998 | FY/1999 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Net Operating Results | \$(27.9) | \$(.4) | \$(4.9) |
| Accumulated Operating Results | 5.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 |

Workload and Economic Assumptions:

Prices for Army-managed items have been adjusted upward an average of 2.3 percent in FY 1998. The SMA pricing structure continues the use of Army Working Capital Fund cash, initiated in FY 1997, through FY 1998 and FY 1999. The cash becomes available as the result of ongoing efforts to reduce inventory levels (primarily leadtime stocks) which results in lower replenishment and repair costs. The following presents general workload data and economic assumptions for the Wholesale Division.

| Trollector | FY (1 53) | EY/BEB | FY/-1999 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| SMA Line Items Managed (#) | 146,535 | 143,604 | 140,732 |
| SMA Requisitions Received (\$M) | \$3,990.2 | \$3,641.5 | \$3,740.8 |
| SMA Requisitions Received (#) | 1,165,330 | 1,142,023 | 1,119,182 |
| Receipts (#) | 392,155 | 379,245 | 373,910 |
| Issues (#) | 1,083,437 | 1,097,716 | 1,069,420 |
| Contracts Executed (# > \$100 K) | 3,658 | 3,550 | 3,500 |
| Credit Returns (\$M) | \$1,189.6 | \$1,118.7 | \$1,090.3 |
| Surcharge Rate (Composite) | 16.7% | 17.8% | 25.3% |
| Customer Price Change (%) | (6.0%) | 2.3% | 7.6% |
| SMA Purchases Inflation (%) | 2.0% | 1.4% | 1.2% |

Unit Cost:

Unit cost is a managerial control. It is measured by dividing gross materiel cost, which is the sum of total obligations and credit, by gross sales. The Retail Division buys and sells at the same price; its ratio therefore remains nearly one for one. The Wholesale Division is actively pursuing inventory reduction methods that permit it to sell materiel without replacement.

| ં ઇπίr⊛લ્ફાન્દ્રવા | FV 1897 | A FY (1998) | 77/1000 |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|---------|
| Retail | 0.98 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| Wholesale | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.89 |

Personnel:

The activity continues its downsizing efforts, as reflected in the Civilian End Strengths and work years (Full Time Equivalents, FTEs).

| indexion | ************************************** | EY 1998 a 1 | E 27 FY 1999 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Civilian End Strength | 3,742 | 3,432 | 3,417 |
| Civilian FTEs | 3,887 | 3,586 | 3,439 |
| Military End Strength | 10 | 18 | 17 |
| Military Work Years | 15 | 17 | 17 |

Inventory:

Inventory, revalued for unserviceability and potential disposal, declines through FY 1999 as a result of the Army's improved inventory management under the Total Army Inventory Management program, and efforts to reduce stock requirements by reducing administrative and procurement leadtimes. The FY 1999 inventory value reflects increased inventory serviceability and the improved ratio of applicable to inapplicable stocks. As inventory applicability and serviceability increases, the Army's stock turn ratio is expected to rise.

| | STEV CONT | richify/feets | ##EY 1999 |
|-----------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| Inventory (\$M) | 9,684 | 9,411 | 9,144 |

Supply Management Stock Availability:

Stock Availability measures the percentage of SMA requisitions satisfied upon initial processing in the wholesale supply system. The SMA target for Stock Availability, 85 percent demand satisfaction, is the basis for budget requirements for FY 1997 through FY 1999. Data provided reflects FY 1997 actual performance. Stock Availability fell in fourth quarter, FY 1997, due to sales below projections that reduced managers' authority available to replenish stocks. Aggressive management measures to improve Stock Availability have been instituted for FY 1998.

| 1097 | Zegy | 2(0,07) | 2000 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - 200 - |
|------|------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 84% | 85% | 85% | 84% |

Major Programmatic Adjustments:

The SMA will continue to use its activity cash to offset the Defense Agency costs in its surcharges for FYs 1998 (\$333.6 million) and 1999 (\$83.3 million).

Capital Budget Program:

The activity group seeks to maintain and develop capabilities through equipment and software acquisition. The Capital Budget Program primarily funds development of software to improve managerial decision-making quality and timeliness through efficient access to and use of data.

The SMA invests in local area networks, servers, desktop computers, high-speed printers and a variety of software products that enhance program integration streamlining for Materiel Management Centers and acquisition areas of the Inventory Control Points.

The planned capital obligations are:

| eacoox/(EMillous) | | 15V-1998 | PY/1996 |
|-------------------|------|----------|---------|
| Equipment | .1 | .3 | .4 |
| ADP | 1.4 | 1.9 | .6 |
| Software | 47.4 | 63.1 | 46.3 |
| TOTAL | 48.9 | 65.3 | 47.3 |

Revenue and Expenses (\$ in Millions)

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Revenue | | | |
| Net Sales | 6,552.1 | 6,499.2 | 6,758.1 |
| Operations | 6,487.5 | 6,417.6 | - |
| Capital Surcharge | 52.6 | 63.6 | 0.0 |
| Depreciation exc Maj Const | 12.0 | 18.0 | 20.7 |
| Total Income: | 6,552.1 | 6,499.2 | 6,758.1 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Cost of Material Sold from Inventory | 6,032.0 | 6,023.9 | 6,134.7 |
| Salaries and Wages: | 247.3 | 213.4 | 211.0 |
| Military Personnel Compensation & Benefits | 1.0 | 8.0 | 0.9 |
| Civilian Personnel Compensation & Benefits | 246.3 | 212.7 | 210.1 |
| Travel & Transportation of Personnel | 3.6 | 2.6 | 3.0 |
| Materiel & Supplies (For Internal Operations) | 3.2 | 1.8 | 1.3 |
| Equipment | 2.6 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
| Other Purchases from Revolving Funds | 137.7 | 224.7 | 184.7 |
| Transportation of Things | 44.9 | 66.3 | 66.3 |
| Depreciation - Capital | 12.0 | 18.0 | 20.7 |
| Printing and Reproduction | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Advisory and Assistance Services | 12.0 | 5.8 | 7.0 |
| Rent, Communication, Utilities & Misc. Charges | 5.5 | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| Other Purchased Services | 176.7 | 146.9 | 153.6 |
| Loss/Obsolescence Obs (includes condemnation) | 45.5 | 45.5 | 44.1 |
| European Redistribution Facility | 18.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Safety of Use/Flight | 15.2 | 15.2 | 14.7 |
| Total Expenses: | 6,756.8 | 6,769.6 | 6,846.3 |
| Operating Result | (204.7) | (270.4) | (88.2) |

Revenue and Expenses (\$ in Millions)

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Less Capital Surcharge Reservation Other Changes Affecting NOR/AOR: Cash offset | 52.6 (229.4) | 63.6 (333.6) | 0.0 (83.3) |
| Net Operating Result | (27.9) | (0.4) | (4.9) |
| Prior Year AOR | 33.2 | 5.3 | 4.9 |
| Accumulated Operating Result | 5.3 | 4.9 | 0.0 |

SOURCE OF REVENUE (\$ in Millions)

| | | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-------|--------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1. Ne | ew Orders | | | |
| a. | Orders from DoD Components: | | | |
| | Department of Army | | | |
| | Operations & Maintenance, Army | 4,547.3 | 4,603.7 | 4,669.8 |
| | Operations & Maintenance, ARNG | 333.0 | 334.5 | 335.1 |
| | Operations & Maintenance, AR | 208.9 | 227.0 | 229.7 |
| | Subtotal, O&M: | 5,089.2 | 5,165.2 | 5,234.6 |
| | Procurement Appropriations | 118.5 | 118.5 | 115.2 |
| | RDTE | 91.9 | 82.0 | 91.1 |
| | Military Personnel, Army | 114.6 | 120.6 | 123.1 |
| | Other | 51.5 | 51.1 | 62.2 |
| | Subtotal, Department of Army: | 5,465.7 | 5,537.4 | 5,626.2 |
| | Department of Air Force | 173.1 | 167.8 | 163.1 |
| | Department of Navy | 59.2 | 57.9 | 56.7 |
| | US Marines | 90.8 | 89.6 | 98.8 |
| | Department of Defense | 792.4 | 774.7 | 796.1 |
| | Subtotal, Other DoD Services: | 1,115.5 | 1,090.0 | 1,114.7 |
| b. | DWCF: | | | |
| | Depot Maintenance, Army | 255.1 | 258.3 | 254.4 |
| | Supply Management, Army (Retail) Other DWCF: DLA | 2,266.5 | 2,234.8 | 2,311.5 |
| | Subtotal DWCF: | 2,521.6 | 2,493.1 | 2,565.9 |
| C. | Total DoD | 9,102.8 | 9,120.5 | 9,306.8 |

SOURCE OF REVENUE (S in Millions)

| | | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------|---------|
| d. | Other Orders: | | | |
| | Other Federal Agencies | 19.9 | 17.1 | 18.3 |
| | Foreign Military Sales | 345.2 | 328.7 | 324.8 |
| | Other | 31.7 | 31.8 | 32.0 |
| | Total New Orders: | 9,499.6 | 9,498.1 | 9,681.9 |
| 2. | Carry-in Orders | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. | Total Gross Orders | 9,499.6 | 9,498.1 | 9,681.9 |
| 4. | Change in Backlog | 139.7 | 84.1 | 35.0 |
| 5. | Total Gross Sales | 9,359.9 | 9,414.0 | 9,646.9 |
| 6. | Less: Returns for Credit Less: Allowances Plus: Credit Differential | 2,971.4 11.5 175.1 | 2,914.8 | 2,888.8 |
| 7. | Net Sales | 6,552.1 | 6,499.2 | 6,758.1 |

^{*} GAO/NSIAD-94-131 ARMY INVENTORY: Changes to Stock Funding Reparables Would Save Operations and Maintenance Funds, recommended that the Supply Activity Group recoup excess credit given to customers in FY 1992. This was recouped in FY 1997 and recorded as a sale rather than a a prior year adjustment. The data above is displayed to be consistent with the official accounting reports for FY 1997.

Changes in the Costs of Operation (\$ in Millions)

| | | <u>Expenses</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| FY 1997 Actual Cost | | 6,756.8 |
| FY 1998 Estimate in President's Budget | | 7,021.0 |
| Pricing Adjustments | (4.7) | 0.2 |
| General Purchase Inflation Personnel Benefits | (1.7) 1.9 | |
| Program Changes | (07.1.0) | (251.6) |
| Sales Decrease | (251.6) | |
| FY 1998 Current Estimate | | 6,769.6 |
| Pricing Adjustments | | 241.7 |
| Civilian Personnel | 7.8 | |
| Surcharge Increase Effect * | 201.5 | |
| Other Intrafund Purchases | 0.3 | |
| Transportation | 1.4 | |
| DLSC / DAASO | 7.7 | |
| DISA | (1.2) | |
| Distribution Depots | 16.9 | |
| DRMS | 4.0 | |
| Other Purchased Services | 3.4 | |
| Program Changes | | (165.0) |
| Cost of Spares Efficiency | (22.0) | |
| ALT/PLT Efficiency | (25.0) | |
| Military Personnel | 0.1 | |
| Civilian Personnel | (6.7) | |
| Inventory Expenses | (69.6) | |
| Travel | 0.4 | |
| Material for Internal Operations | (0.5) | |

Changes in the Costs of Operation (continued) (\$ in Millions)

| | <u>Expenses</u> |
|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Equipment | 0.2 |
| Other Intrafund Purchases | (0.9) |
| Transportation | (1.5) |
| Depreciation | 2.7 |
| DLSC / DAASO | 4.0 |
| DISA | 1.4 |
| DFAS | (8.0) |
| Distribution Depots | 8.3 |
| DRMS | (55.1) |
| Other Purchased Services | 4.1 |

FY 1999 Estimate 6,846.3

^{*} FY 1998 Surcharge is 17.8%; FY 1999 Surcharge is 25.3%. Increase causes pricing effect.

Fuel Data (\$ in Millions)

| | Procured From DFSC | | | Procured by Service | | |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Product | Barrels (millions) | Cost Per Barrel (\$) | Extended Price (\$ M) | Barrels (millions) | Cost Per Barrel (\$) | Extended Price (\$ M) |
| FY 1997 | | | | | | |
| AVGAS | 0.000 | 99.12 | 0.0 | 0.001 | 99.12 | 0.1 |
| MOGAS (L) | 0.000 | 38.22 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 38.22 | 0.0 |
| MOGAS (U) | 0.339 | 31.08 | 10.5 | 0.111 | 31.08 | 3.4 |
| JP-4 | 0.683 | 32.34 | 22.1 | 0.088 | 32.34 | 2.8 |
| JP-5 | 0.058 | 33.18 | 1.9 | 0.010 | 33.18 | 0.3 |
| DISTILLATES | 0.492 | 31.08 | 15.3 | 0.351 | 31.08 | 10.9 |
| RESIDUALS | 0.186 | 18.90 | 3.5 | 0.236 | 18.90 | 4.5 |
| GASOHOL | 0.000 | 30.66 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 30.66 | 0.0 |
| JP-8 | 1.154 | 32.34 | 37.3 | 0.070 | 32.34 | 2.3 |
| TOTAL | 2.912 | 31.14 | 90.7 | 0.867 | 28.10 | 24.4 |
| FY 1998 | | | | | | |
| AVGAS | 0.000 | 153.30 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 153.30 | 0.0 |
| MOGAS (L) | 0.000 | 44.94 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 44.94 | 0.0 |
| MOGAS (Ú) | 0.341 | 36.96 | 12.6 | 0.110 | 36.96 | 4.1 |
| JP-4 | 0.025 | 49.56 | 1.2 | 0.088 | 49.56 | 4.4 |
| JP-5 | 0.000 | 39.06 | 0.0 | 0.009 | 39.06 | 0.4 |
| DISTILLATES | 0.493 | 36.96 | 18.2 | 0.351 | 36.96 | 13.0 |
| RESIDUALS | 0.182 | 23.10 | 4.2 | 0.235 | 23.10 | 5.4 |
| GASOHOL | 0.000 | 36.54 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 36.54 | 0.0 |
| JP-8 | 1.810 | 38.22 | 69.2 | 0.074 | 38.22 | 2.8 |
| TOTAL | 2.851 | 36.99 | 105.4 | 0.867 | 34.61 | 30.0 |

Fuel Data (\$ in Millions)

Procured From DFSC

Procured by Service

| Product | Barrels (millions) | Cost Per Barrel (\$) | Extended Price (\$ M) | Barrels (millions) | Cost Per Barrel (\$) | Extended Price (\$ M) |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| FY 1999 | | | | | | |
| AVGAS | 0.000 | 139.86 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 139.86 | 0.0 |
| MOGAS (L) | 0.000 | 41.16 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 41.16 | 0.0 |
| MOGAS (U) | 0.336 | 33.60 | 11.3 | 0.106 | 33.60 | 3.6 |
| JP-4 | 0.025 | 45.36 | 1.1 | 0.057 | 45.36 | 2.6 |
| JP-5 | 0.000 | 35.70 | 0.0 | 0.008 | 35.70 | 0.3 |
| DISTILLATES | 0.493 | 33.60 | 16.6 | 0.335 | 33.60 | 11.3 |
| RESIDUALS | 0.182 | 21.00 | 3.8 | 0.135 | 21.00 | 2.8 |
| GASOHOL | 0.000 | 34.44 | 0.0 | 0.000 | 34.44 | 0.0 |
| JP-8 | 1.810 | 34.86 | 63.1 | 0.066 | 34.86 | 2.3 |
| TOTAL | 2.846 | 33.70 | 95.9 | 0.707 | 32.28 | 22.8 |

| | CUSTOMER | NET | OBLIGATION TARGETS | | |
|----------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------|---------|
| RETAIL | ORDERS NET | SALES | OPERATING | MOB | TOTAL |
| FORSCOM | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | 1,367.1 | 1,581.3 | 1,355.4 | 0.0 | 1,355.4 |
| FY 1998 | 1,488.1 | 1,532.1 | 1,503.1 | 0.0 | 1,503.1 |
| FY 1999 | 1,539.8 | 1,586.2 | 1,525.8 | 0.0 | 1,525.8 |
| USAREUR | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | 701.9 | 720.8 | 632.9 | 0.0 | 632.9 |
| FY 1998 | 557.1 | 557.1 | 582.0 | 0.0 | 582.0 |
| FY 1999 | 589.6 | 591.0 | 590.9 | 0.0 | 590.9 |
| TRADOC | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | 768.0 | 862.3 | 906.2 | 0.0 | 906.2 |
| FY 1998 | 974.3 | 905.9 | 934.3 | 0.0 | 934.3 |
| FY 1999 | 976.5 | 925.4 | 957.9 | 0.0 | 957.9 |
| USAEIGHT | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | 282.7 | 301.7 | 308.1 | 0.0 | 308.1 |
| FY 1998 | 322.0 | 311.3 | 311.6 | 0.0 | 311.6 |
| FY 1999 | 329.0 | 329.0 | 328.3 | 0.0 | 328.3 |
| USARPAC | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | 198.7 | 220.4 | 207.7 | 0.0 | 207.7 |
| FY 1998 | 243.8 | 247.7 | 244.7 | 0.0 | 244.7 |
| FY 1999 | 249.0 | 249.8 | 246.6 | 0.0 | 246.6 |
| USARSO | | 45.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| FY 1997 | 45.6 | 45.9 | 40.9 | 0.0 | 40.9 |
| FY 1998 | | 36.5 | 36.5 | 0.0 | 36.5 |
| FY 1999 | 33.1 | 32.2 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 32.2 |
| AMC-ID | 0044 | 007.4 | 0.40.0 | 0.0 | 246.0 |
| FY 1997 | 334.1 | 367.4 | 346.0 | 0.0 | 346.0 |
| FY 1998 | 400.1 | 407.6 | 399.3 | 0.0 | 399.3 |
| FY 1999 | 404.1 | 410.8 | 406.4 | 0.0 | 406.4 |
| DSS-W | 477 | 22.6 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 19.9 |
| FY 1997 | 17.7 | 22.6 | 19.9 25.6 | 0.0 0.0 | 25.6 |
| FY 1998 | | 35.2 27.2 | 25.6 32.9 | 0.0 | 32.9 |
| FY 1999 | 31.6 | 21.2 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 52.5 |

| | | NET | MET | OBLIC | ATION TAD | DETC. |
|------------|---------|----------|-------|---------|------------|-------|
| DD (10101) | | CUSTOMER | NET | | ATION TARG | |
| DIVISION | | ORDERS | SALES | PERATIN | MOB | TOTAL |
| WHOLESA | LE-CONS | UMABLES | | | | |
| ACALA | | | | | • | |
| | FY 1997 | 180.8 | 162.4 | 76.1 | 0.0 | 76.1 |
| | FY 1998 | 163.9 | 158.5 | 92.2 | 0.0 | 92.2 |
| | FY 1999 | 160.4 | 159.9 | 89.9 | 0.0 | 89.9 |
| CECOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 203.0 | 201.3 | 89.6 | 0.0 | 89.6 |
| | FY 1998 | 199.2 | 194.9 | 99.1 | 0.0 | 99.1 |
| | FY 1999 | 208.4 | 205.4 | 92.5 | 0.0 | 92.5 |
| TACOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 311.5 | 286.2 | 189.0 | 0.0 | 189.0 |
| | FY 1998 | 302.6 | 290.6 | 212.7 | 0.0 | 212.7 |
| | FY 1999 | 300.0 | 291.9 | 158.4 | 0.0 | 158.4 |
| *ATCOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 262.0 | 181.1 | 88.7 | 0.0 | 88.7 |
| MICOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 40.2 | 33.6 | 24.3 | 0.0 | 24.3 |
| **AMCOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1998 | 149.6 | 151.1 | 126.8 | 0.0 | 126.8 |
| | FY 1999 | 139.8 | 140.4 | 107.4 | 0.0 | 107.4 |
| ***SCBCO | М | | | | | |
| | FY 1998 | 43.4 | 45.1 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 21.5 |
| | FY 1999 | 42.1 | 43.3 | 18.9 | 0.0 | 18.9 |
| | | | | | | |

^{*}Reflects air and ground support items

^{**}ATCOM (aircraft) and MICOM merged to form AMCOM--reflects air and missiles

^{***}Ground Support Items were transferred to a new command, SCBCOM.

| | | NET CUSTOMER | NET | OBLIG | ATION TARG | SFTS |
|----------|---------|-----------------|-------|---------|------------|-------|
| DIVISION | | ORDERS | SALES | PERATIN | MOB | TOTAL |
| WHOLESA | LE-REPA | RABLES | | | | |
| ACALA | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 137.3 | 116.7 | 69.7 | 0.0 | 69.7 |
| | FY 1998 | 160.8 | 131.9 | 63.2 | 0.0 | 63.2 |
| | FY 1999 | 160.0 | 135.7 | 74.3 | 0.0 | 74.3 |
| CECOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 309.7 | 313.4 | 218.3 | 0.0 | 218.3 |
| | FY 1998 | 281.0 | 277.0 | 203.0 | 0.0 | 203.0 |
| | FY 1999 | 284.2 | 285.0 | 219.0 | 0.0 | 219.0 |
| TACOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 358.0 | 339.6 | 237.9 | 0.0 | 237.9 |
| | FY 1998 | 333.0 | 325.0 | 202.7 | 0.0 | 202.7 |
| | FY 1999 | 353.1 | 354.6 | 196.4 | 0.0 | 196.4 |
| *ATCOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 636.2 | 539.6 | 318.4 | 0.0 | 318.4 |
| *MICOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1997 | 362.1 | 247.2 | 155.0 | 0.0 | 155.0 |
| *AMCOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1998 | 876.8 | 870.9 | 569.0 | 0.0 | 569.0 |
| | FY 1999 | 964.7 | 966.8 | 576.0 | 0.0 | 576.0 |
| **SCBCOM | | | | | | |
| | FY 1998 | 9.6 | 5.4 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| | FY 1999 | 10.6 | 6.1 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| | | | | | | |

^{*}Reflects air and ground support items

^{**}ATCOM (aircraft) and MICOM merged to form AMCOM--reflects air and missiles

^{***}Ground Support Items were transferred to a new command, SCBCOM.

| | NET | | | | |
|-------------|----------|---------|--------------------|-----|---------|
| | CUSTOMER | NET | OBLIGATION TARGETS | | |
| DIVISION | ORDERS | SALES | PERATIN | MOB | TOTAL |
| AMC-MOB | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | 11.6 | 8.7 | 10.7 | 0.0 | 10.7 |
| FY 1998 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 15.5 | 0.0 | 15.5 |
| FY 1999 | 17.3 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 17.5 |
| COST OF OPS | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | | | 627.5 | 0.0 | 627.5 |
| FY 1998 | | | 664.9 | 0.0 | 664.9 |
| FY 1999 | • | | 612.1 | 0.0 | 612.1 |
| CAPITAL | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | | | 48.9 | 0.0 | 48.9 |
| FY 1998 | | | 65.2 | 0.0 | 65.2 |
| FY 1999 | | | 47.3 | 0.0 | 47.3 |
| COMMITMENT | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | | | 111.0 | 0.0 | 111.0 |
| FY 1998 | | | 113.4 | 0.0 | 113.4 |
| FY 1999 | • | | 180.0 | 0.0 | 180.0 |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | |
| FY 1997 | 6,528.2 | 6,552.1 | 5,965.4 | 0.0 | 5,965.4 |
| FY 1998 | 6,583.2 | 6,499.2 | 6,382.2 | 0.0 | 6,382.2 |
| FY 1999 | 6,793.3 | 6,758.1 | 6,340.0 | 0.0 | 6,340.0 |

Operating Requirement By Weapon System/Category (\$ in Millions)

| WEAPON SYSTEM/CATEGORY | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Chemical Defense Equipment | 13.4 | 39.3 | 37.7 |
| Other Armament, Munitions and Chemicals | 48.6 | 67.5 | 63.0 |
| AH-64 | 170.8 | 178.0 | 130.6 |
| UH-60 | 132.7 | 132.3 | 143.6 |
| OH-58D | 15.9 | 42.5 | 46.2 |
| CH-47D | 38.9 | 46.7 | 57.5 |
| T701C Engines | 27.2 | 76.0 | 69.7 |
| Air Delivery/Aviation/Troop Equipment | 77.3 | 116.2 | 141.4 |
| MSE | 26.8 | 23.2 | 23.2 |
| Night Vision Equipment | 34.9 | 28.5 | 28.1 |
| Batteries | 50.4 | 60.5 | 49.5 |
| Other Communications/Electronics | 171.7 | 148.6 | 156.0 |
| MLRS | 12.5 | 22.5 | 23.9 |
| PATRIOT | 60.8 | 67.3 | 52.8 |
| Other Missile Systems | 100.5 | 52.6 | 46.5 |
| M1 Series Tank | 212.2 | 217.1 | 200.5 |
| M88 Recovery Vehicle | 29.5 | 19.5 | 17.9 |
| M109 Howitzer | 19.9 | 24.2 | 28.2 |
| M198 Howitzer | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.5 |
| M113 FOV | 11.5 | 20.2 | 22.2 |
| Bradley Fighting Vehicle | 37.6 | 63.4 | 76.2 |
| HMMWV | 29.9 | 36.4 | 20.7 |
| Tires | 46.2 | 39.4 | 33.9 |
| Other Tank & Automotive | 92.0 | 71.8 | 67.2 |
| TOTAL | 1,467.2 | 1,599.5 | 1,542.0 |

MATERIAL INVENTORY DATA FISCAL YEAR 1997 (\$ in Millions)

| | | Peacetime | | |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | <u>Total</u> | <u>Mobilization</u> | Operating | <u>Other</u> |
| Materiel Inventory BOP at Standard | 16,674.0 | 2,786.4 | 6,400.8 | 7,486.8 |
| 2. Materiel Inventory BOP (revalued-memo) | 10,774.0 | 1,800.4 | 4,135.9 | 4,837.6 |
| 3. BOP Materiel Inventory Adjustments | • | | | |
| a. Reclassification Changes | 0.0 | (40.5) | (558.0) | 598.5 |
| b. Price Changes (memo) | (595.0) | (30.0) | (241.6) | (323.4) |
| c. Inventory Reclassified and Repriced | 16,079.0 | 2,715.9 | 5,601.2 | 7,761.9 |
| 4. Receipts at Standard | 6,640.5 | 39.9 | 6,589.5 | 11.1 |
| 5. Gross Sales | 9,359.9 | 5.3 | 9,354.7 | 0.0 |
| 6. Materiel Inventory Adjustments | | | | |
| a. Capitalizations + OR (-) | (259.9) | (0.3) | (134.5) | (125.1) |
| b. Returns from Customers for Credit | 4,781.1 | 0.0 | 1,620.7 | 3,160.4 |
| c. Returns from Customers without Credit | 3,097.5 | 0.0 | 101.2 | 2,996.3 |
| d. Returns to suppliers (-) | (2,367.8) | (15.0) | (5.9) | (2,346.9) |
| e. Transfers to Property Disposal (-) | (2,274.6) | 0.0 | (9.3) | (2,265.3) |
| f. Issues/Receipts without Reimbursement | (129.4) | (40.0) | (12.4) | (77.0) |
| + OR (-) g. Other | (1,261.9) | (14.7) | (87.8) | (1,159.4) |
| <u> </u> | 1,585.0 | (70.0) | 1,472.0 | 183.0 |
| h. Total Adjustments | 1,565.0 | (70.0) | 1,472.0 | 103.0 |
| 7. Materiel Inventory EOP | 14,944.6 | 2,680.5 | 4,308.0 | 7,956.0 |
| 8. Materiel Inventory EOP (revalued-memo) | 9,867.9 | 1,696.6 | 3,217.6 | 4,953.7 |
| a. Economic Retention (memo) | 2,693.9 | | | 2,693.9 |
| b. Policy Retention (memo) | 1,567.2 | | | 1,567.2 |
| c. Potential Excess (memo) | 398.3 | | | 398.3 |
| 9. Materiel Inventory on Order EOP (memo) | 2,244.5 | 97.0 | 2,147.5 | |

MATERIAL INVENTORY DATA FISCAL YEAR 1998 (\$ in Millions)

| | <u>Total</u> | Pe <u>Mobilization</u> | acetime Operating | <u>Other</u> |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Materiel Inventory BOP at Standard | 14,944.6 | 2,680.5 | 4,308.0 | 7,956.0 |
| 2. Materiel Inventory BOP (revalued-memo) | 9,867.9 | 1,696.6 | 3,217.6 | 4,953.7 |
| 3. BOP Materiel Inventory Adjustments a. Reclassification Changes b. Price Changes (memo) c. Inventory Reclassified and Repriced | 0.0 290.1 15,234.7 | 71.8 30.3 2,782.6 | 1,018.1 130.0 5,456.1 | (1,089.9) 129.8 6,995.9 |
| 4. Receipts at Standard | 6,204.7 | 60.9 | 6,140.2 | 3.6 |
| 5. Gross Sales | 9,414.0 | 5.3 | 9,408.7 | 0.0 |
| 6. Materiel Inventory Adjustments a. Capitalizations + OR (-) b. Returns from Customers for Credit c. Returns from Customers without Credit d. Returns to suppliers (-) e. Transfers to Property Disposal (-) f. Issues/Receipts without Reimbursement + OR (-) g. Other h. Total Adjustments | (27.4) 4,708.9 1,935.7 (1,868.5) (1,881.3) (60.9) (153.5) 2,653.0 | (0.8) 0.0 0.0 (20.0) 0.0 (52.5) 24.3 (49.0) | (23.9) 1,766.3 152.1 (7.1) (7.3) 0.0 (29.2) 1,850.9 | (2.7) 2,942.6 1,783.6 (1,841.4) (1,874.0) (8.4) (148.6) 851.1 |
| 7. Materiel Inventory EOP 8. Materiel Inventory EOP (revalued-memo) a. Economic Retention (memo) b. Policy Retention (memo) c. Potential Excess (memo) | 14,678.4 9,593.7 2,762.9 1,522.7 417.2 | 2,789.2 1,759.5 | 4,038.5 2,848.7 | 7,850.6 4,985.5 2,762.9 1,522.7 417.2 |
| 9. Materiel Inventory on Order EOP (memo) | 2,267.9 | 76.0 | 2,191.9 | |

MATERIAL INVENTORY DATA FISCAL YEAR 1999 (\$ in Millions)

| | <u>Total</u> | Pe <u>Mobilization</u> | acetime Operating | <u>Other</u> |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Materiel Inventory BOP at Standard | 14,678.4 | 2,789.2 | 4,038.5 | 7,850.6 |
| 2. Materiel Inventory BOP (revalued-memo) | 9,593.7 | 1,759.5 | 2,848.7 | 4,985.5 |
| 3. BOP Materiel Inventory Adjustments a. Reclassification Changes b. Price Changes (memo) c. Inventory Reclassified and Repriced | 0.0 609.6 15,288.0 | 14.0 74.5 2,877.7 | 1,206.0 252.4 5,496.9 | (1,220.0) 282.7 6,913.3 |
| 4. Receipts at Standard | 6,176.3 | 29.1 | 6,146.9 | 0.3 |
| 5. Gross Sales | 9,611.7 | 5.3 | 9,606.4 | 0.0 |
| 6. Materiel Inventory Adjustments a. Capitalizations + OR (-) b. Returns from Customers for Credit c. Returns from Customers without Credit d. Returns to suppliers (-) e. Transfers to Property Disposal (-) f. Issues/Receipts without Reimbursement + OR (-) g. Other h. Total Adjustments | (15.5) 4,758.4 1,901.5 (1,875.0) (1,908.8) (44.4) (136.8) 2,679.4 | 14.0 0.0 (20.0) 0.0 (37.2) | (12.5) 1,753.1 141.4 (7.0) (6.8) (0.1) (94.3) 1,773.8 | (1.7) 2,991.3 1,760.1 (1,848.0) (1,902.0) (7.1) (39.0) 953.6 |
| 7. Materiel Inventory EOP | 14,532.0 | 2,853.5 | 3,811.2 | 7,867.2 |
| 8. Materiel Inventory EOP (revalued-memo) a. Economic Retention (memo) b. Policy Retention (memo) c. Potential Excess (memo) | 9,323.1 2,721.8 1,481.7 422.6 | 1,800.1 | 2,623.1 | 4,899.9 2,721.8 1,481.7 422.6 |
| 9. Materiel Inventory on Order EOP (memo) | 2,201.5 | 65.9 | 2,135.6 | |

Wholesale Only Customer Price Change

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1. Gross Sales at Cost | 3,099.7 | 3,030.6 | 2,939.5 |
| 2. Less Materiel Inflation Adjustment | 62.0 | 42.5 | 35.3 |
| 3. Revised Gross Sales at Cost | 3,037.7 | 2,988.1 | 2,904.2 |
| 4. Surcharge (dollars) | 506.2 | 539.9 | 742.9 |
| 5. Change to Customers: | | | |
| a. Previous Years Surcharge (rate) | 26.5% | 16.7% | 17.8% |
| b. This year's Surcharge divided by line 3 above (\$) | 18.7% | 19.5% | 26.8% |
| c. Percent change to customer | -6.0% | 2.3% | 7.6% |

Army Working Capital Fund FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates Depot Maintenance

Functional Description

Depot Maintenance represents one of the major mission areas assigned to the Industrial Operations Command (IOC) located at Rock Island, IL. This activity group provides the Army an organic industrial capability to repair, overhaul, and upgrade weapon systems and equipment; store and distribute ammunition, war reserve materiel, and other selected items; and provide tenant support to other Army Materiel Command (AMC), Army, and DoD activities. Depot maintenance activities both compete and partner with private industry to deliver goods and services efficiently and effectively. This activity group serves as the logistics bridge linking peacetime readiness to wartime sustainment and reconstitution. There are currently eight major depots and four subordinate depot activities in this group.

Activity Group Composition

Anniston Army Depot

Anniston, AL

Maintains and repairs heavy tracked combat vehicles; stores, maintains, distributes, and demilitarizes conventional ammunition; and supports a DLA Distribution Depot and Chemical Biological Defense Command (CBDCOM) chemical munitions storage

Blue Grass Army Depot

Lexington, KY

Stores, maintains, distributes and demilitarizes conventional ammunition; maintains and repairs chemical defensive equipment; and supports CBDCOM chemical munitions storage

Corpus Christi Army Depot

Corpus Christi, TX

Maintains, repairs, overhauls, and upgrades rotary wing aircraft, engines and components

Letterkenny Army Depot

Chambersburg, PA

Maintains and repairs self-propelled and towed artillery, light recovery vehicles, and tactical missile systems; stores, maintains, distributes, and demilitarizes conventional ammunition; and provides tenant support

Pueblo Army Depot Activity

Pueblo, CO

Stores and preserves chemical ammunition (transfers to CBDCOM in FY 1998)

Red River Army Depot

Texarkana, TX

Maintains and repairs light armored vehicles and select missile systems; stores, maintains, distributes, and demilitarizes conventional ammunition; and supports a DLA Distribution Depot and other tenants

Savanna Army Depot Activity Savanna, IL

Stores, maintains, distributes, and demilitarizes conventional ammunition and war reserve materiel; designs and fabricates special purpose ammunition handling and production equipment; and supports US Army Defense Ammunition Center and School and other tenants

Seneca Army Depot Activity Romulus, NY

Stores, maintains, distributes and demilitarizes conventional ammunition; and provides tenant support

Sierra Army Depot

Stores, maintains, distributes, and demilitarizes munitions; supports Operational Project Stocks; and provides tenant support

Herlong, CA

Tobyhanna Army Depot Tobyhanna, PA

Manufactures, maintains, tests, and fields communications-electronics systems and equipment; supports a DLA Distribution Depot; and provides tenant support

Tooele Army Depot Tooele, UT

Maintains and repairs generators and rail locomotives; stores, maintains, distributes, and demilitarizes conventional ammunition; designs and fabricates special-purpose ammunition handling and production equipment; and provides tenant support

Umatilla Army Depot Activity Hermiston, OR

Stores and preserves chemical ammunition (transfers to CBDCOM in FY 1998)

Budget Highlights

Personnel:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Civilian End Strength | 13,886 | 13,485 | 12,881 |
| Civilian FTEs | 14,425 | 13,595 | 12,991 |
| Military End Strength | 85 | 89 | 77 |
| Military Workyears | 69 | 70 | 66 |

This budget displays an overall downward trend in manpower levels consistent with Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) actions at Letterkenny, Red River, Savanna, Seneca, and Sierra. Reduced manpower levels will be achieved through continued VERAVSIP, reductions in force, and hiring freezes. Civilian end strength is projected to decrease 7% from FY 1997 to FY 1999 despite a significant increase in manpower associated with workload transferring from the Air Force's Sacramento Air Logistics Center to Tobyhanna under BRAC 95 beginning in FY 1998.

Costs, Operating Results (OR) and Rates:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Costs of Goods and Services Produced (Expenses) (\$M) | 1,541.4 | 1,524.4 | 1,511.7 |
| Costs of Goods and Services Sold (\$M) | 1,554.5 | 1,743.3 | 1,513.1 |
| Net Operating Results (\$M) | (136.3) | (73.2) | 9.6 |
| Accumulated Operating Results (\$M) | (22.0) | (4.8) | 0.0 |
| Customer Revenue Rate per DLH | \$90.07 | \$93.71 | \$105.61 |
| Percent Rate Change from Prior Year | 6.92% | 4.04% | 12.70% |
| Unit Costs (\$/DLH) | \$101.30 | \$115.37 | \$106.32 |
| DLH (000) | 15,346 | 15,110 | 14,232 |

Costs of Goods Sold (CGS).

Costs change programmatically from FY 1997 to FY 1999 based on several factors. Increases are associated primarily with BRAC-related VERA/VSIP and travel and transportation, and a new War Reserve mission at Letterkenny. Decreases are associated primarily with workyear savings and reduced material requirements. The FY 1998 spike in CGS is the result of a change in procedures for recognizing revenue to comply with policy as directed in a DoD Financial Management Regulation. A System Change Request (SCR) was implemented on October 1, 1997 which enables the depots to recognize revenue on a "percent completed" rather than "units completed" basis. This accounting system change will decrease Work in Process (WIP) by over \$200 million during FY 1998. This reduction in WIP will create a corresponding increase in the CGS.

Unit Costs.

Unit costs are calculated by dividing the CGS by direct labor hours. The substantial FY 1998 increase in CGS, attributed to the change in revenue recognition, explains the

spike in FY 1998 unit costs. The decrease in direct labor hours from FY 1997 to FY 1999 also causes unit costs to fluctuate.

Operating Results and Rates.

Operating results were much poorer than planned for FY 1997. The FY 1998/1999 President's Budget projected Net Operating Results (NOR) to be (\$71.1) million; instead, actual NOR was (\$136.3) million. The additional loss over budgeted NOR was the result of several factors, which include schedule slippages at Anniston and Corpus Christi associated with parts nonavailability, an inability to reduce overhead personnel at Corpus Christi, and underfunding of BRAC and Unutilized Plant Capacity pass-through funding due to Congressional reductions. The Army has attempted to mitigate the effect of FY 1997 losses on future rates by collecting against valid prior year unpaid bills. This increased emphasis on prior year collections will not affect FY 1998 NOR, but will reduce FY 1998 Accumulated Operating Results (AOR). In order to achieve an AOR of zero in FY 1999 and to restore some cash to the corpus, this budget sets the revenue rate at \$105.61 per direct labor hour. This rate represents recovery of \$4.8 million in AOR losses and a \$75 million cash surcharge.

Performance Indicators.

Performance effectiveness indicators for this activity group are labor hour costs, NOR, and schedule conformance. The goal is to execute labor hour costs at or below budgeted levels, to achieve or exceed budgeted operating results, and to complete at least 95 percent of items worked on schedule. The activity group will not achieve its budgeted NOR in either FY 1997 or FY 1998 due primarily to decreased pass-through funding for Unutilized Plant Capacity and BRAC operating losses. Apprehension concerning downsizing actions and job security also contribute to productivity losses, making achievement of other performance goals difficult.

Productivity Initiatives/Cost Reductions.

This activity group has implemented plans to comply with directed productivity targets. Initiatives include capital investments, value engineering, employee suggestions, and methods and standards. The cost projections and rates calculated in this budget reflect the effects of productivity initiatives. However, BRAC actions and workload not materializing as planned threaten to nullify these assumptions.

Carry-Over.

The number of months of carry-over has been calculated in accordance with OSD policy adopted as a result of the Carry-Over Task Force Study. Carry-over is projected to decrease from 3.2 months in FY 1997 to 2.5 months in FY 1999 as reflected below. No value is shown for the contract liabilities exclusion, despite the fact that the Army estimates this exclusion to be worth \$16 million to \$18 million per year, as accounting reports lack visibility over this discrete information.

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| (\$M) | | | |
| New Orders | 1,347.5 | 1,391.4 | 1,514.5 |
| Carry-In | 891.3 | 789.9 | 490.7 |
| Gross Orders | 2,238.8 | 2,181.4 | 2,005.2 |
| Total Revenue | 1,448.9 | 1,690.7 | 1,597.4 |
| Carry-Over | 789.9 | 490.7 | 407.8 |
| Less WIP | 250.8 | 31.8 | 30.4 |
| Less BRAC, Non-DoD, FMS Intra/Inter DWCF (excluding SMA) Less Contract Liabilities | 156.7 | 113.2 | 49.6 |
| Net Carry-Over | 382.5 | 345.6 | 327.8 |
| Carry-Over in Months | 3.17 | 2.45 | 2.46 |

Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR).

Looking to the future (beyond FY 1999), recommendations of the QDR hold important changes and potential savings for this activity group. There will be an increased emphasis on outsourcing and privatization and/or implementation of the most efficient organization concept in the delivery of Base Support services. There will be streamlining associated with execution of the Conventional Ammunition Demilitarization Program and Chemical Stockpile Demilitarization; and five depots will transfer from the IOC to each depot's parent commodity command, resulting in a reduction to IOC's management structure.

Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC).

BRAC 95 presents a major challenge to the activity group, establishing two closures (Savanna and Seneca) and three realignments (Letterkenny, Red River, and Sierra).

Savanna, Seneca, and Sierra must retain adequate BRAC and OMA funding for relocation of ammunition within a balanced manner to provide a reasonably stable staffing level from one fiscal year to the next. Two installations also gain significant workload (Anniston and Tobyhanna). These actions must be completed by July 13, 2001.

Closure of Seneca and Savanna will necessitate their decapitalization from the AWCF no later than fiscal year-end 2001, possibly sooner. This will require extraordinary efforts since neither is a self-contained financial entity. Seneca is entwined in the Tobyhanna financial database. Savanna is entwined within the Letterkenny financial database. Of particular concern is the treatment of AWCF real and personal assets which will be disposed of/transferred to the Local Reuse Authority. In many cases, real property will not be available for transfer until several years after closure (2001) when all environmental problems have been resolved. Likewise, a major portion of Letterkenny, Red River, and Sierra assets will be disposed of/transferred during the same period as they realign to a "reduced footprint".

Capital Budget.

The Capital Investment Program (CIP) contains several minor adjustments, reprogramming actions, and new projects in FY 1997 and FY 1998. A summary of this program follows:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| (\$M) | | | |
| Equipment | 21.5 | 22.4 | 7.7 |
| ADPE & Telecommunications | 7.5 | 1.1 | 1.0 |
| Software | 7.9 | 16.2 | 20.0 |
| Minor Construction | 11.3 | 4.0 | 4.6 |
| Total | 48.2 | 43.6 | 33.3 |

Most of the CIP involves two categories of projects, equipment and software. Within the equipment category, projects primarily involve replacing existing but worn equipment, or increasing productivity via installation of newer technology. Examples of replacement equipment include bore drill mills, transmission test and cooling equipment, and a vertical turret lathe. Examples of equipment designed to increase productivity include installation of a whirltower for testing helicopter rotor blades and the automated storage and retrieval system for handling repair parts. Within the software category, most of the funding reflects a transfer beginning in FY 1998 of software development projects formerly managed by the Joint Logistics Systems Center (JLSC).

Since JLSC is being disestablished, end-users must now assume responsibility for development of certain systems. The Army Depot Maintenance activity group will receive projects valued at approximately \$9.5 million in FY 1998 and \$14.5 million in FY 1999. The remaining funding is associated with the upgrade and Year 2000 compliance of the Army's industrial legacy system known as the Standard Depot System.

Revenue and Expenses (\$ in Millions)

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|------------------|
| Revenue | | | |
| Gross Sales: | 1,448.9 | 1,690.7 | 1,597.4 |
| Operations | 1,393.7 | 1,624.8 | 1,545.7 |
| Capital Surcharge | 17.0 | 20.6 | |
| Depreciation excluding Major Construction | 38.3 | 45.3 | 51.7 |
| Major Construction Depreciation | | | |
| Other Income | | | |
| Refunds/Discounts (-) | | | |
| () | • | | |
| Total Income: | 1,448.9 | 1,690.7 | 1,597.4 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Salaries and Wages: | 712.7 | 684.7 | 681.9 |
| Military Personnel Compensation & Benefits | 3.9 | 4.8 | 3.5 |
| Civilian Personnel Compensation & Benefits | 708.8 | 679.9 | 678.4 |
| Travel & Transportation of Personnel | 12.4 | 17.5 | 28.5 |
| Materials & Supplies (For Internal Operations) | 450.4 | 421.9 | 423.2 |
| Equipment | 16.8 | 13.0 | 13.6 |
| Other Purchases from Revolving Funds | 62.5 | 82.6 | 84.1 |
| Transportation of Things | 13.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Depreciation - Capital | 38.3 | 45.3 | 51.7 |
| Printing and Reproduction | 3.6 | 2.3 | 2.4 |
| Advisory and Assistance Services | 8.5 · | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Rent, Communication, Utilities, & Misc. Charges | 32.2 | 33.6 | 33.7 |
| Other Purchased Services | 191.0 | 204.5 | 173.8 |
| Total Expenses: | 1,541.4 | 1,524.4 | 1,511.7 |
| Operating Result | (92.5) | 166.3 | 85.7 |
| Less Capital Surcharge Reservation Nonrecoverable Losses | 17.0 | 20.6 | |
| Other Changes Affecting NOR: Less Cash Surcharge | (26.8) | (218.9) | (76.1) (74.7) |
| Net Change in WIP | 13.1 | 218.9 | 1.4 |
| Other Expenses | 13.7 | 210.9 | 1.7 |
| Other Expenses | 13.7 | | • |
| Net Operating Result | (136.3) | (73.2) | 9.6 |
| Other Changes Affecting AOR | | 90.4 | (4.8) |
| Prior Year Adjustments | 45.0 | | |
| Prior Year AOR | 69.4 | (22.0) | (4.8) |
| Accumulated Operating Result | (22.0) | (4.8) | (0.0) |
| | | | |

Source of Revenue (\$ in Millions)

| | | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----|---------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1. | New Orders | | | |
| a. | Orders from DoD Components: Department of Army | | | |
| | Operations & Maintenance, Army | 622.4 | 592.4 | 513.8 |
| | Operations & Maintenance, ARNG | 6.1 | 4.1 | 11.5 |
| | Operations & Maintenance, AR | 2.7 | 11.1 | 14.4 |
| | Subtotal, O&M: | 631.1 | 607.6 | 539.6 |
| | Aircraft Procurement | 34.3 | 35.7 | 31.7 |
| | Missile Procurement | 19.4 | 27.6 | 31.3 |
| | Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles | 40.0 | 85.6 | 75.0 |
| | Procurement of Ammunition | 26.3 | 27.6 | 38.8 |
| | Other Procurement | 37.1 | 70.7 | 96.6 |
| | Subtotal, Procurement: | 157.2 | 247.1 | 273.4 |
| | RDTE | 2.9 | 5.5 | 6.8 |
| | BRAC | 19.1 | 31.5 | 54.8 |
| | Family Housing | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.8 |
| | Military Construction | | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| | Other | 0.5 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
| | Subtotal, Department of Army: | 812.2 | 896.1 | 879.4 |
| | Department of Air Force O&M | 6.8 | 19.8 | 29.4 |
| | Department of Navy O&M | 63.0 | 89.1 | 113.9 |
| | US Marines O&M | 14.2 | 13.6 | 16.1 |
| | Department of Defense O&M | | 5.1 | 6.9 |
| | Subtotal, Other DoD Services: | 84.0 | 127.5 | 166.3 |
| | Other DoD Agencies: | 31.9 | 30.3 | 37.7 |
| | Other DoD Agencies | 31.7 | 29.6 | 37.7 |
| | CAWCF | 0.1 | 0.7 | |

Source of Revenue (\$ in Millions)

| | | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| h | . DWCF: | | | |
| | Depot Maintenance, Army | 5.8 | 14.0 | 16.2 |
| | Supply Management, Army | 250.2 | 253.8 | 341.7 |
| | DECA | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | DFAS | 3.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| | DISA | 5.5 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
| | DLA | 31.4 | 27.1 | 24.7 |
| | Other | 69.8 | 16.5 | 24.9 |
| | Subtotal, DWCF: | 366.3 | 317.1 | 413.5 |
| c. | Total DoD | 1,294.3 | 1,371.0 | 1,496.8 |
| d. | Other Orders: | 53.2 | 20.4 | 17.7 |
| | Other Federal Agencies | 7.2 | 1.8 | 1.6 |
| | Foreign Military Sales | 46.0 | 15.6 | 13.0 |
| | Nonappropriated | | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| | Non-Federal Agencies | | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| | Total New Orders: | 1,347.5 | 1,391.4 | 1,514.5 |
| 2. | Carry-in Orders | 891.3 | 789.9 | 490.7 |
| 3. | Total Gross Orders | 2,238.8 | 2,181.4 | 2,005.2 |
| 4. | Funded Carry-over | 789.9 | 490.7 | 407.8 |
| 5. | Total Gross Sales | 1,448.9 | 1,690.7 | 1,597.4 |
| 6. | Number of Months of Carry-Over | 3.17 | 2.45 | 2.46 |

Changes in Costs of Operation (\$ in Millions)

| | | | <u>Expenses</u> |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| FY 1997 | Actual Cost | | 1,541.4 |
| FY 1998 | Estimate in President's Budget | | 1,449.3 |
| Estimate | d Impact in FY 1998 of Actual FY 1997 Actions | | 33.9 |
| | Inflation and Pricing | (2.0) | |
| | Depreciation | 5.0 | |
| | Rent, Communications, Utilities | 9.1 | |
| | Civilian Compensation | 11.9 | |
| | Travel and Transportation | (1.8) | |
| | Materials, Supplies, Equipment | (17.8) | |
| | Other Purchases from Revolving Funds | 7.6 | |
| | Other Purchased Services | 21.8 | |
| Program | Changes | | 41.2 |
| | War Reserves: increase for new mission | 32.0 | |
| | Other (Transfer of Workload from Air Force | 9.2 | |
| • | to Tobyhanna) | | |
| FY 1998 | Current Estimate | | 1,524.4 |
| Pricing A | djustments | | 38.9 |
| | Annualization of Prior Year Pay Raises | | 4.5 |
| | FY 1999 Pay Raise | | 15.2 |
| | Civilian Personnel | 15.0 | |
| | Military Personnel | 0.1 | |
| | Fund Price Changes | | 14.8 |
| | General Purchase Inflation | | 4.4 |
| Program | Changes | | (51.6) |
| | Personnel Reductions for Decreased Workload | (29.2) | |
| | BRAC-related costs, including tvl, trans, etc. | 5.2 | |
| | Reduction in supplies, materials & equipment | (18.7) | |
| , | Depreciation | 6.4 | |
| | Other Purchased Services | (33.8) | |
| | Other (Additional Transfer of Workload from Air Force to Tobyhanna) | 18.6 | |
| FY 1999 | Estimated Cost | | 1,511.7 |

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION

IOC (which manages both the Army Depot Maintenance and the Army Ordnance activity groups) is to build a viable world-class industrial infrastructure to produce quality The Ordnance manufacturing and ordnance activities are managed by the US Army Industrial Operations Command (IOC) located at Rock Island, Illinois. The mission of the munitions and large caliber weapons while providing the full range of maintenance of ammunition for American and allied services. IOC is a major subordinate command of the US Army Materiel Command.

This activity group manufactures, renovates, and demilitarizes materiel for all branches of DoD. Specifically, it manufactures and sells 155MM howitzers, 120MM M256 tubes, 120MM smoke mortars, gun mounts for the M1A1 Abrams tank, grenades and smoke rounds, rebuilt gas masks, tool sets and kits and demilitarized munitions. It also provides depot operations, depot maintenance, set assembly, tenant support, and national procurement services for thin and thick walled cannons. It is responsible for logistics support management, including follow-on procurement, production, maintenance, engineering and integrated logistics support management. It also furnishes engineering services in support of production, industrial management, value engineering, configuration management, international logistics, tools and equipment engineering, product assurance, transportation and traffic management for assigned systems and materials.

Customers of this activity group include Army, the Conventional Ammunition Working Capital Fund, Foreign Military customers, Navy and other uniformed services.

ACTIVITY GROUP COMPOSITION

Pine Bluff Arsenal

Pine Bluff, AR

Primary materiel responsibilities include chemical, smoke, incendiary, illumination, and other pyrotechnic munitions, agents and mixes; chemical defensive/protective items and test equipment; and other items as assigned. Also provides base support to tenants.

Rock Island Arsenal

Rock Island, IL

Primary materiel or industrial responsibilities include aircraft weapons, some infantry weapons, air defense weapons and artillery; armament for tanks, artillery, personnel and cargo carriers; and special tools and tools sets. Provides base support to the Industrial Operations Command, Armament and Chemical Acquisition and Logistics

Activity, health clinic, DFAS, DRMS, DISA, and Management Engineering College as well as to other smaller tenants.

Watervliet Arsenal

Watervliet, NY

Primary materiel or industrial responsibilities include mortars, recoilless rifles, cannon for tanks and towed and self propelled artillery, special tool sets, training devices and simulators. Also provides base support to tenants.

Crane Army Ammunition Activity

Crane, IN

Produces and renovates conventional ammunition and ammunition-related components; performs manufacturing, engineering and product assurance in support of production; receives, stores, ships, demilitarizes, and disposes of conventional ammunition. Crane is a tenant on a Navy installation.

McAlester Army Ammunition Activity

McAlester, OK

Produces, renovates, demilitarizes, and stores ammunition and related components. Primary responsibility is load, assemble, and pack of conventional ammunition, bombs, warheads, and rockets; and manufacture of wood and metal pallets; and provision of base support to tenants.

BUDGET HIGHLIGHTS

Personnel:

This budget submission reflects the continued downward trend in manpower levels. Civilian end strength decreases by 6.4% from FY 1997 to FY 1999. The IOC has aggressively pursued reshaping its installations to reflect the planned workload. We will achieve the additional reductions through continued VERA/VSIP and hiring freezes.

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Civilian End Strength | 5,109 | 4,966 | 4,784 |
| Civilian FTEs | 5,173 | 4,991 | 4,826 |
| Military End Strength | 22 | 23 | 22 |
| Military Workyears | 22 | 22 | 22 |

Costs, Operating Results (OR), and Rates:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| Costs of Goods & Svcs Produced (Expenses) (\$M) | 476.4 | 502.5 | 491.4 |
| Costs of Goods & Svcs Sold (CGS) (\$M) | 530.6 | 511.5 | 493.2 |
| Net Operating Results (NOR) (\$M) | (38.5) | (38.4) | 5.6 |
| Accumulated Operating Results (\$M) | 16.8 | (5.6) | 0 |
| Customer Revenue Rate per DLH | \$88.93 | \$81.72 | \$105.12 |
| Percent Rate Change from Prior Year | 4.9% | (8.1%) | 28.6% |
| Unit Costs (\$/DLH) | \$102.45 | \$93.59 | \$94.94 |
| DLH (000) | 5,179 | 5,465 | 5,195 |

Costs.

Expenses increase from FY 1997 to FY 1998 primarily due to more material intensive programs planned for Pine Bluff Arsenal (change in workload mix from low cost illuminating rounds and white and red phosphorus rounds; additional material requirements at McAlester for increased production of the BLU 110 PBX, Penetrator, BLU 109).

Unit Costs.

Unit costs decrease from a high of \$102.45 in FY 1997 to \$94.94 in FY 1999. Unit costs were unusually high in FY 1997 as this activity group experienced significant slippages in production and was unable to work the direct labor hours necessary to bring unit costs down.

Operating Results.

FY 1997 operating results of \$-38.5 million are lower than the \$-20.4 million projected in the FY 1998/1999 President's Budget due to workload slippages, congressional reduction of funding for unutilized plant capacity and a dramatic decrease in work in process.

Rates.

Customer revenue rates are set to achieve a zero accumulated operating result (AOR) in the budget years. FY 1999 rates recover \$5.6 million for accumulated losses and

contain a cash surcharge of approximately \$8 per direct labor hour to return approximately \$23 million to the cash corpus.

Performance Indicators:

This activity group has established performance standards to measure timeliness (schedule conformance), quality (scrap/rework costs), and customer satisfaction (fill rate). FY 1997 results are indicated below:

| Performance Measure | Goal | FY 1997 Results |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Schedule Conformance | Complete 96% on schedule | 89% |
| Scrap/Rework Costs | Less than 2% | 1.5% |
| Fill Rate (Shipments) | Achieve 99% customer satisfaction | 98% |

Productivity Initiatives/Cost Reductions:

The Ordnance activity has implemented plans to comply with directed productivity targets. Initiatives include the capital investment program, value engineering, Army Ideas for Excellence, methods and standards, and other programs such as Civilian Personnel Regionalization.

Carry-Over:

We have computed the number of months of carry-over in accordance with OSD policy adopted as a result of the Carry-Over Task Force Study. Carry-over decreases from 6.8 months in FY 1997 to 4.2 months at the end of FY 1999. Because this activity group's primary focus is on manufacturing, the 3-month criteria for pure maintenance operations does not apply. The greater carry-over amount will accommodate the longer lead-time requirements associated with the manufacturing process. Also, we show no value for the contract liabilities exclusion despite the fact that we estimate that value to be between \$45 and \$51 million. No accounting report currently discretely captures this information.

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| \$M | | | |
| New Orders | 375.5 | 413.0 | 502.8 |
| Carry-In | 438.3 | 335.4 | 274.8 |
| Gross Orders | 813.9 | 748.5 | 777.6 |
| Total Revenue | 478.4 | 473.7 | 521.6 |
| Carry-Over | 335.4 | 274.8 | 256.0 |
| Less WIP | 45.3 | 36.3 | 34.4 |
| Less BRAC, Non-DoD, FMS | 19.0 | 19.1 | 38.0 |
| Intra/Inter DWCF (excluding SMA) | | | |
| Less Contract Liabilities | | | |
| Net Carry-Over | 271.1 | 219.4 | 183.5 |
| Carry-Over in Months | 6.8 | 5.6 | 4.2 |

Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR):

Looking to the future (beyond FY 1999), recommendations of the QDR hold important changes and potential savings for this activity group. Increased emphasis will be placed on outsourcing and privatization and/or implementation of the most efficient organization. Also, overhead and headquarters functions will be streamlined.

Capital Budget Program:

The Capital Investment Program (CIP) contains several minor adjustments from the program submitted in the FY 1998/1999 President's Budget: a reprogramming of obligation authority from Ordnance to Supply Management, Army for Integrated Sustainment Maintenance, a new FY 1998 requirement at Pine Bluff for a fluid bed mixing machine, and slippage to FY 1999 of an FY 1998 project for an air pollution control upgrade.

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------|---------|
| \$M. | | | |
| \$M. Equipment | 11.8 | 12.1 | 13.2 |
| ADPE & Telecommunications | .3 | 1.1 | .6 |
| Software | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minor Construction | 2.1 | 2.9 | 1.9 |
| Total | 14.2 | 16.1 | 15.7 |

Types of equipment include lathes, rail service material handler, recondition scrubber blowers, turret lathes, finisher rotational parts and jig grinders which will improve efficiency, increase capacity, and replace unsafe or inoperative/unusable assets. Examples of automated data processing equipment scheduled for replacement include LANs, servers, and printers which will increase production and reduce maintenance costs.

Revenue and Expenses (\$ in Millions)

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Revenue | | | |
| Gross Sales: | 478.4 | 473.7 | 521.6 |
| Operations | 463.3 | 456.6 | 481.3 |
| Cash Surcharge | | | 22.8 |
| Depreciation excluding Major Construction | 15.2 | 17.1 | 17.5 |
| Major Construction Depreciation | | | |
| Other Income | | | |
| Refunds/Discounts (-) | | | |
| Total Income: | 478.4 | 473.7 | 521.6 |
| 5 | | | |
| Expenses Salaries and Wages: | 264.0 | 265.6 | 260.4 |
| Military Personnel Compensation & Benefits | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Civilian Personnel Compensation & Benefits | 262.6 | 264.2 | 259.0 |
| Travel & Transportation of Personnel | 3.1 | 5.2 | 4.6 |
| Materials & Supplies (For Internal Operations) | 64.2 | 88.9 | 89.2 |
| Equipment | 16.1 | 16.4 | 16.9 |
| Other Purchases from Revolving Funds | 5.1 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Transportation of Things | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Depreciation - Capital | 15.2 | 17.1 | 17.5 |
| Printing and Reproduction | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Advisory and Assistance Services | 3.0 11.8 | 3.1 12.4 | 3.1 12.6 |
| Rent, Communication, Utilities, & Misc. Charges | 92.0 | 12. 4 87.4 | 80.7 |
| Other Purchased Services | 92.0 | 07. 4 | 60.7 |
| Total Expenses: | 476.4 | 502.5 | 491.4 |
| Operating Result | 2.0 | (28.8) | 30.2 |
| Less Cash Surcharge Reservation | | | 22.8 |
| Plus Appropriations Affecting NOR/AOR Other Changes Affecting NOR: | (40.5) | (9.6) | (1.8) |
| Other Inventory Adjustments | 13.6 | (0.6) | (1.5) |
| Net Change in WIP | 54.2 | 9.0 | 1.8 |
| Net Operating Result | (38.5) | (38.4) | 5.6 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | 12.9 | 16.0 | |
| · | | | |
| Prior Year AOR | 42.5 | 16.8 | (5.6) |
| Accumulated Operating Result | 16.8 | (5.6) | 0.0 |

Source of Revenue (\$ in Millions)

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1. New Orders | | | |
| a. Orders from DoD Components: | | | |
| Department of Army | | | |
| Operations & Maintenance, Army | 155.8 | 155.1 | 189.6 |
| Operations & Maintenance, ARNG | 8.0 | | |
| Operations & Maintenance, AR | 0.6 | | |
| Subtotal, O&M: | 157.2 | 155.1 | 189.6 |
| Aircraft Procurement | 6.1 | 4.0 | 3.9 |
| Missile Procurement | 0.3 | | v |
| Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles | 24.2 | 50.2 | 63.1 |
| Procurement of Ammunition | 46.9 | 56.7 | 62.7 |
| Other Procurement | 11.6 | | |
| Subtotal, Procurement: | 89.2 | 111.0 | 129.8 |
| RDTE | 15.6 | 11.7 | 15.2 |
| BRAC | | | 8.0 |
| Family Housing | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.6 |
| Other | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Subtotal, Department of Army: | 264.1 | 279.7 | 338.0 |
| Department of Air Force O&M | 3.5 | 2.6 | 5.1 |
| Department of Navy O&M | 3.8 | 0.4 | 4.0 |
| US Marines O&M | 1.2 | 4.6 | 5.7 |
| Department of Defense O&M | 3.5 | 4.4 | 2.9 |
| Subtotal, Other DoD Services: | 12.0 | 12.0 | 17.7 |
| Other DoD Agencies: | 38.7 | 30.0 | 41.6 |
| Other DoD Agencies | 9.9 | 4.1 | 41.6 |
| CAWCF | 28.8 | 25.9 | |

Source of Revenue (\$ in Millions)

| | | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| h | . DWCF: | | 6. | |
| D | Depot Maintenance, Army | 7.7 | 20.8 | 14.4 |
| | Supply Management, Army | 29.2 | 22.5 | 42.4 |
| | DFAS | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.4 |
| | DISA | | 2.3 | 3.4 |
| | DLA | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| | Other | 3.8 | 3.0 | 3.4 |
| | Subtotal, DWCF: | 41.3 | 50.4 | 66.2 |
| C | . Total DoD | 356.1 | 372.1 | 463.4 |
| d | . Other Orders: | 19.4 | 41.0 | 39.4 |
| - | Other Federal Agencies | 0.6 | 2.3 | 2.7 |
| | Foreign Military Sales | 10.3 | 28.2 | 33.7 |
| | Trust Fund | | | |
| | Nonappropriated | 3.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 |
| | Non-Federal Agencies | 4.7 | 7.9 | 2.0 |
| | Total New Orders: | 375.5 | 413.0 | 502.8 |
| 2. | Carry-in Orders | 438.3 | 335.4 | 274.8 |
| 3. | Total Gross Orders | 813.9 | 748.5 | 777.6 |
| 4. | Funded Carry-over | 335.4 | 274.8 | 256.0 |
| 5. | Total Gross Sales | 478.4 | 473.7 | 521.6 |
| 6. | Number of Months of Carry-Over | 6.8 | 5.6 | 4.2 |

Changes in Costs of Operation (\$ in Millions)

| | | <u>E</u> | xpenses |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|
| FY 1997 | Actual Cost | | 476.4 |
| FY 1998 | Estimate in President's Budget | | 488.5 |
| Estimate | d Impact in FY 1998 of Actual FY 1997 Actions | | 14.0 |
| | Civilian Personnel Compensation | 15.4 | |
| | Rent, Communications, Utilities | (5.1) | |
| | MaterialsPrograms slipped at Pine Bluff | 3.6 | |
| Pricing A | djustments | , | |
| | Inflation and Pay Raise | (0.5) | |
| FY 1998 | Current Estimate | | 502.5 |
| Pricing A | djustments | | 12.0 |
| _ | Annualization of Prior Year Pay Raises | | 1.8 |
| | FY 1999 Pay Raise | | 5.9 |
| | Civilian Personnel | 5.8 | |
| | Military Personnel | 0.0 | |
| | Fund Price Changes | | 1.4 |
| | General Purchase Inflation | - | 2.9 |
| Productiv | vity Initiatives and Other Efficiencies | | (8.3) |
| Program | Changes | | (14.8) |
| - | Workload Reductions: continued reshaping of the workforce to control costs | (9.1) | . , |
| | Decreased VSIP costs | (4.4) | |
| • | Decreased material costs | (1.6) | |
| | Other | 0.2 | |
| FY 1999 | Estimated Cost | | 491.4 |

FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION

The primary mission of the Information Services activity group is to provide for the development and operational sustainment of automated information and communication systems for specified customers. This mission covers a broad range of services such as requirements analysis and definition, system design, development, testing, integration, implementation support, and documentation services. A new addition to the activity group, known as the Army Small Computer Program (SCP), provides customers with fully-competed commercial sources for purchase of small and medium computers, hardware, software, and support services.

The US Army Materiel Command (AMC), located in Alexandria, Virginia, exercises management control over this activity group. One major subordinate command provides additional oversight. It is the Communications and Electronics Command (CECOM) located at Fort Monmouth, NJ.

ACTIVITY GROUP COMPOSITION

- 1. Central Design Activities (CDA's)
- a. Industrial Logistics Systems Center (ILSC)

Chambersburg, PA

Systems Supported:

Standard Depot System (SDS)

Automated Time Attendance and Production System (ATAAPS)

Defense Property Accounting System (DPAS)

Standard Industrial Fund System (SIFS)

Retail Army Stock Fund Inventory Accounting and Reporting System (RASFIARS)

Army Self Service Supply Center (ASSSC)

AMC Automated Manpower Management Information System (AAMMIS)

Automated Financial Entitlements System (AFES)

b. Logistics Systems Support Center (LSSC)

St. Louis, MO

Systems Supported:

Commodity Command Standard System (CCSS)

Standard Operations and Maintenance Army Research and Development System (SOMARDS)

Security Assistance Automation, Army (SA3)

c. Software Development Center - Lee (SDC-Lee)

Fort Lee, VA

Systems Supported:

Department of the Army Movement Management System (DAMMS)

Standard Army Ammunition System (SAAS)

Standard Army Maintenance System (SAMS)

Standard Army Retail Supply System (SARSS)

Unit Level Logistics System (ULLS)

Army Food Management Information System (AFMIS)

Standard Army Intermediate Level Supply System (SAILS)

Integrated Facilities Systems-Micro/Minicomputers (IFS-M)

Standard Army Automation Contracting System (SAACONS)

Standard Property Book System-Redesign (SPBS-R)

Capability Maturity Model (CMM)

Integrated Combat Service Support System (ICS3)

Direct Support Unit Standard Supply System (DS4)

Centralized Army Aviation Support System (CAASS)

Transportation Coordinator Automated Command and Control Information

System (TCACCIS)

Automated System for Army Commissaries (ASAC)

Automated Systems Criminal Investigation - Criminal Investigation Command ASCI-CIDC)

Combat Service Support Control System (CSSCS)

d. Software Development Center - Wash (SDC-Wash) Fairfax, VA* Systems Supported:

Acquisition Information Management (AIM)

Housing Operations Management System (HOMES)

Military Police Management Information System (MPMIS)

Standard Installation/Division Personnel System (SIDPERS-3)

The Army Authorization Documentation System - Redesign (TAADS-R)

Sustaining Base Information Services/Installation Support Modules (SBIS/ISM)

Standard Installation/Division Personnel System (SIDPERS-2)

Army Company Information System (ARCIS)

Windows Compliance Assessment and Sustainment System (WINCASS)

Inspector General Network (IGNET)

Joint Recruiting Information Support Systems (JRISS)

Central Issue Facility (CIF)

Installation Materiel Condition Status Reporting System (IMCSRS)

2. U.S. Army Information Systems Management Activity Small Computer Program (SCP), Fort Monmouth, NJ

*SDC-Wash is scheduled to move to Fort Meade, MD effective FY 1999 due to BRAC.

BUDGET HIGHLIGHTS

Personnel:

In FY 1997, civilian and military end strength and workyears executed significantly below projections in last year's President's Budget. This was due to unplanned voluntary early retirements in the civilian work force. The projections for military manpower were based on authorizations; the "fill" rate was lower due to competing force structure requirements.

Civilian personnel decrease slightly by FY 1999. The downsizing is due to the changing environment of workload requirements for more computer scientists, engineers, and network specialists rather than programmers with backgrounds in mainframes and COBOL coding skills. The military enlisted personnel are also being reduced because of a lack of sufficiently developed technical skills. The activity group is being restructured toward a higher ratio of contract to organic skill mix.

Civilian and military end strengths and FTEs are as follows:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Civilian End Strength | 866 | 900 | 850 |
| Civilian FTEs | 913 | 927 | 869 |
| Military End Strength | 186 | 165 | . 128 |
| Military Workyears | 186 | 166 | 128 |

Costs, Operating Results and Rates:

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Costs of Goods and Services Produced (Expenses) (\$M) | 162.8 | 174.5 | 162.2 |
| Costs of Goods and Services Sold (\$M) | 162.8 | 174.5 | 162.2 |
| Net Operating Results (\$M) | (8.6) | (1.8) | 9.0 |
| Accumulated Operating Results (\$M) | (7.1) | (8.9) | 0.0 |
| Customer Revenue Rate per DLH | \$64.89 | \$62.56 | \$69.93 |
| Percent Rate Change from Prior Year | 5.24% | -3.59% | 11.79% |
| CDA Unit Costs (\$/DLH) | \$80.88 | \$77.10 | \$68.79 |
| TOTAL DLH (000) | 1,249 | 1,213 | 1,233 |
| CDA DLH (000) | 1,249 | 1,187 | 1,209 |
| SCP DLH (000) | | 26 | 24 |

Total costs for FY 1997 are slightly under the projections in last year's President's Budget. In FY 1998 and FY 1999, as workload is being contracted out to obtain required labor and skill mix, other purchased services exceed the President's Budget estimates \$11.6 million and \$11.5 million respectively. Additional unique costs for travel, equipment, printing, and training which the CDAs purchase or provide for the customers are "passed through" the activity group and are also included as other purchased services. Contracting cost increases are offset by commensurate increases in revenue.

Costs:

Other costs are also above costs reflected in last year's President's Budget due to increased Management and Professional Support Services and Engineering and Technical Services for the Small Computer Program. These services include the contractor-operated order tracking office, contractors for the Industrial Fund Accounting System (IFAS) input, research services, support for protests, support from the CECOM Acquisition Center, support from the Technical Integration Center for new equipment testing and technical evaluation, and contractor technical support for reviews and working groups. All support services for the SCP are offset by the one percent fee charged to the customers. Additional costs above last year's President's Budget also include Civilian Personnel Operations Center costs in FY 1999 and additional Base Support requirement for SDC-Lee in FY 1997 and FY 1999.

Unit Costs:

Although unit costs are higher than those reflected in last year's President's Budget as a result of decreased direct labor hours, they are projected to decrease from year to year as the CDAs streamline and devote more labor to direct rather than overhead functions.

Operating Results and Rates:

The FY 1999 rate increases from \$65.41 projected in the FY 1998/1999 President's Budget to \$69.93. The increase is primarily due to AOR recovery of a \$2.7 million deficit at the end of FY 1998 instead of a gain of \$3.2 million projected in the President's Budget. The loss is due to a decrease in new orders (primarily in OMA.) The majority of the decrease was from Program Manager Integrated Logistics Systems (PM-ILOGS). The funding level is expected to be restored in FY 1998 and FY 1999.

Performance Indicators:

This activity group has established the following performance measures: CDAs: Net Operating Results (NOR) and percentage of DLH executed versus projected.

SCP: Adherence to delivery schedule --(all deliveries within 30 days); quality of deliveries --(less than 1% of equipment returned); order processing time --(no more than 1 week).

Productivity Initiatives/Cost Reductions:

CECOM is implementing an initiative to upgrade the skill mix of CDA organic and contract workforce. The technology base and customer demand are constantly changing. Workload requirements are creating increasing demands for computer scientists, engineers, and network specialists rather than the current organic skill base of programmers with a primary knowledge of mainframe computers and COBOL. In order to fill the requirement for a different skill mix, the business is being restructured and Voluntary Separation Incentive Pay (VSIP) will be offered to the organic workforce at all CDAs during FY 1998-1999. Existing workload beyond the capacity of the remaining organic workforce will be contracted out.

Carry-over:

Carry-over represents a mix of organic and contract workload. For FY 1997 carry-over is at 3.3 months. It is projected to remain relatively constant across FY 1998 and FY 1999. No value is shown for the contract liabilities exclusion as accounting reports currently lack visibility over this discrete information.

| | | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| (\$M) | | | | |
| New Orders | • | 158.3 | 159.6 | 175.1 |
| Carry-In | | 57.1 | 60.8 | 47.7 |
| Gross Orders | | 215.4 | 220.4 | 222.8 |
| Total Revenue | | 154.6 | 172.7 | 171.1 |
| Carry-Over | | 60.8 | 47.7 | 51.7 |
| • | Less WIP | | | |
| | Less BRAC, Non-DoD, FMS Intra/Inter DWCF (excluding SMA) Less Contract Liabilities | 18.0 | 2.2 | 0.2 |
| Net Carry- | | 42.8 | 45.5 | 51.5 |
| Over Carry-Over in N | Months | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.6 |

Capital Budget:

This activity group has only one project in FYs 1998 and 1999 which involves extending a local area network throughout SDC-Lee.

| (\$M) | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| ADPE & Telecommunications | | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| | | | |

Revenue and Expenses (\$ in Millions)

| , | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|-------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Revenue | | 470.7 | 474.4 |
| Gross Sales: | 154.6 | 172.7 | 171.1 |
| Operations | 154.6 | 172.6 | 171.0 |
| Capital Surcharge | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Depreciation excluding Major Construction | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Major Construction Depreciation | | | |
| Other Income | | | |
| Refunds/Discounts (-) | | | |
| Total Income: | 154.6 | 172.7 | 171.1 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Salaries and Wages: | 70.6 | 71.2 | 66.9 |
| Military Personnel Compensation & Benefits | 5.1 | 7.7 | 5.1 |
| Civilian Personnel Compensation & Benefits | 65.5 | 63.5 | 61.8 |
| Travel & Transportation of Personnel | 2.7 | 1.8 | 0.5 |
| Materials & Supplies (For Internal Operations) | 2.7 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| Equipment | 1.7 | 1.1 | 0.9 |
| Other Purchases from Revolving Funds | 3.6 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Transportation of Things | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Depreciation - Capital | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Printing and Reproduction | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| Advisory and Assistance Services | 0.6 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| Rent, Communication, Utilities, & Misc. Charges | 5.2 | 5.9 | 4.0 |
| Other Purchased Services | 75.4 | 84.6 | 80.1 |
| Total Expenses: | 162.8 | 174.5 | 162.2 |
| Operating Result | (8.2) | (1.8) | 8.9 |
| Less Capital Surcharge Reservation | | | |
| Non-recoverable Losses | (0.4) | | |
| Other Changes Affecting NOR: | | | |
| Other Losses | | | |
| Net Change in WIP | | | |
| Net Operating Result | (8.6) | (1.8) | 8.9 |
| Prior Year Adjustments | | | |
| Prior Year AOR | 1.5 | (7.1) | (8.9) |
| Accumulated Operating Result | (7.1) | (8.9) | (0.0) |

Source of Revenue (\$ in Millions)

| | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1. New Orders | | | |
| a. Orders from DoD Components: | | | |
| Department of Army Operations & Maintenance, Army | 114.1 | 110.2 | 121.3 |
| Operations & Maintenance, Army Operations & Maintenance, ARNG | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| Operations & Maintenance, AR | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Subtotal, O&M: | 114.9 | 111.0 | 122.0 |
| Aircraft Procurement | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Missile Procurement | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Procurement of Ammunition | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Procurement | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Subtotal, Procurement: | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| RDTE | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| BRAC | 8.3 | 8.0 | |
| Family Housing | 5.9 | 4.6 | 4.8 |
| Military Construction | | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Subtotal, Department of Army: | 129.2 | 116.6 | 127.2 |
| Department of Air Force O&M | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Department of Air Force Investment | , | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Department of Navy O&M | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Department of Navy Investment | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Department of Defense O&M | | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Department of Defense Investment | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Subtotal, Other DoD Services: | | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Other DoD Agencies: | | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Other DoD Agencies | | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Source of Revenue (\$ in Millions)

| | | FY 1997 | FY 1998 | FY 1999 |
|----|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| b | DWCF: | | | |
| - | Depot Maintenance, Army | 4.5 | 6.6 | 4.9 |
| | Information Services, Army | | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| | Supply Management, Army | 11.0 | 17.1 | 22.4 |
| | DFAS | 12.3 | 14.1 | 15.7 |
| | DLA . | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | JLSC | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| | Subtotal, DWCF: | 28.2 | 40.4 | 45.8 |
| C | Total DoD | 157.4 | 157.4 | 173.3 |
| d | Other Orders: | 1.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 |
| | Foreign Military Sales | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| | Nonappropriated | | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| | Total New Orders: | 158.3 | 159.2 | 175.1 |
| 2. | Carry-in Orders | 57.1 | 60.8 | 47.3 |
| 3. | Total Gross Orders | 215.4 | 220.0 | 222.4 |
| 4. | Funded Carry-over | 60.8 | 47.3 | 51.3 |
| 5. | Total Gross Sales | 154.6 | 172.7 | 171.2 |
| 6. | Number of Months of Carry-Over | 3.3 | 3.1 | 3.6 |

Changes in Costs of Operation (\$ in Millions)

| | | | Expenses |
|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|----------|
| FY 1997 | Actual Cost | | 162.8 |
| FY 1998 | Estimate in President's Budget | | 165.3 |
| Estimate | d Impact in FY 1998 of Actual FY 1997 Actions | | (0.7) |
| | Civ Reductions | (0.7) | |
| | at Lee & Wash | | |
| Pricing A | djustments | | |
| | Pay Raise & Inflation | | 0.2 |
| Program | Changes | | 9.7 |
| | Workload Mix | 0.7 | |
| | Increased Contractor Costs / CCSS Upgrade | 4.4 | |
| | Increased Support Services for SDC-Lee & SCP | 1.9 | |
| | Other | 2.7 | |
| FY 1998 | Current Estimate | | 174.5 |
| Pricing A | djustments | | 2.9 |
| | Annualization of Prior Year Pay Raises | | 0.4 |
| | FY 1999 Pay Raise | | 1.6 |
| | Civilian Personnel | 1.4 | |
| | Military Personnel | 0.2 | |
| | Fund Price Changes | | (0.6) |
| | General Purchase Inflation | | 1.4 |
| Productiv | vity Initiatives and Other Efficiencies | | (8.1) |
| | Military Reductions | (1.8) | |
| | Civilian Reductions | (4.3) | |
| | Leased Space Reductions at LSSC and SDC-Wash | (2.0) | |
| Program | Changes | | (7.1) |
| | CPOC & BASOPS Costs | 0.6 | () |
| | Purchased Services | (7.7) | |
| | | () | |
| FY 1999 | Estimated Cost | | 162.2 |

| | Activity | Group Capit Supply N (\$ in | Activity Group Capital Investment Summary Supply Management (\$ in Millions) | t Summai | 2 | | | |
|---------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Line No. | Description | , | ₹ ₹ | 97 Total Cost | FY 98 Quantity To | 98 Total Cost | FY 99 Quantity To | 99 Total Cost |
| 98-13 99-2 | EQUIPMENT-Replacement Various Other Equipment <\$500K Virtual Mock-ups for Spares | | ļ | 0.136 | ₩. | 0.279 | 4 | 0.400 |
| | | SUBTOTAL | - | 0.136 | 1 | 0.279 | 4 | 0.400 |
| | EQUIPMENT TOTAL | - | ~ | 0.136 | ~ | 0.279 | 4 | 0.400 |
| 98-5 96-3 | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING Network Upgrade/Replacement Mat'l Mgt ADPE Equip Replacement | | 85 | 0.365 | 170 | 0.722 | | |
| 96-4 96-1 | Log&Maint ADPE Equip Replacement Mini-Computer System | | 72 | 0.360 | | | | |
| 97-2 97-7 | CCSS High-Speed Printer Local Area Network (LAN) | | ~ ~ | 0.258 | | | | |
| 98-7 99-1 | Logistics & Read Ctr Equip Replace PPS Printer | | | | 28 | 0.650 | <u> </u> | 0.135 |
| 98-8 | Log & Readiness Ctr PCs and Printers | | | | 150 | 0.496 | 150 | 0.496 |
| <u></u> | ADP TOTAL | | 160 | 1.347 | 348 | 1.868 | 151 | 0.631 |
| 97-3 | SOFTWARE CCSS Common User Interface | | က | 4.933 | | | | |
| 9-26 | Single Stock Fund | | ~ | 5.000 | _ | 5.968 | _ | 5.313 |
| 96-20 | Materiel Management System (MMS) | | ν- | 15.000 | _ | 4.720 | _ | 1.460 |
| 97-4 | Conversion of MILSTEP | , | | 0.489 | - τ | 0.489 | * | 7 444 |
| 98-15 98-1 | CCSS Century Date Change | | - 2 | 3.314 | - 2 | 2.972 | - 2 | 7.444 2.854 |

| | Activity Group Capital Investment Summary Supply Management | up Capital Investmen Supply Management | ıt Summaı | у | | | - |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|
| | (\$ in | (\$ in Millions) | | | | | |
| • | | FY 97 | | FY 98 | 86 | FY 99 | 66 |
| Line No. | Description | Quantity Total Cost | otal Cost | Quantity | Quantity Total Cost | | Quantity Total Cost |
| 98-2 | LOGSA Century Date Change | 1 | 092'0 | _ | 1.678 | 1 | 0.746 |
| 98-3 | Integrated Sustainment Maint (ISM) | က | 3.295 | က | 5.390 | လ | 3.995 |
| 98-4 | Remote Site Processing | | - | ~ | 0.131 | | |
| 9-86 | On Net Transfer Protocol | | | _ | 1.055 | | |
| 6-86 | Lateral Redistribution | | | _ | 1.000 | - | 1.500 |
| 98-14 | Common Operating Environment (COE) | _ | 2.967 | _ | 16.017 | _ | 11.364 |
| 98-10 | CCSS Defense Logistics Mgt Systems | | | 2 | 1.640 | 2 | 3.920 |
| 98-12 | Single Item Inventory Record (SIIR) | | | | | ~ | 1.000 |
| 98-11 | LOGSA Defense Log Mgt Systems | | | _ | 1.750 | | |
| 8-66 | Integrated Data Environment (IDE) | | | ~ | 11.320 | ~ | 4.400 |
| 99-4 | Commercial Asset Visibility (CAV II) | | | | | က | 2.280 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | SOFTWARE TOTAL | 4 | 47.381 | 18 | 63.145 | 18 | 46.276 |
| | Supply Management | 175 | 48.864 | 367 | 65.292 | 173 | 47.307 |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT-Replacement (\$ in Thousands) | JP CAPITAL INVESTMENT EQUIPMENT-Replacement (\$ in Thousands) | AL INVESTMEN NT-Replaceme Thousands) | <u> </u> | CATION | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-13 | | Item Description Various Other Equipment <\$500K | tion er Equipme | ant <\$500K | D. Activity Identification TACOM |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost Total Cost | |
| Various Other Equipment <\$500K | _ | 136.168 | 136.168 | - | 279.291 | 279.291 | | | |
| TOTAL | | | 136.168 | 1 | | 279.291 | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: This represents various replacement equipment that costs <\$500K, which will improve efficiency through replacement, modification, or addition of production and maintenance capability and compliance with regulatory requirements. Includes the acquisition and installation of capital investment items valued between \$100,000; \$500,000 with a useful life of two years or more. Examples of equipments includes the acquisition and installation of capital investment items valued between \$100,000 with a useful life of two years or more. Examples of equipment to be purchased include Water Jet Cutter, 3D Laser Imaging, and Rapid Prototype Support. \$500,000 with a useful life of two years or more. Examples of equipment will allow more effective and efficient use of manpower. Benefits include spare parts cost and schedule reductions and an increase in quality of products, improved readiness (parts availability) and reduction of waste/scrap. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Cost of critical out-of-supply AWCF items will be high. Increase risk of supply parts shortage for som weapon systems because the contractor no longer supports. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. Multiple economic indicators for various projects. | G EQUIPMER Sement equiporal purpliance with two years or no quality of programity of programity of programmer contractor no rereformed. | NT AND SHC ment that cos h regulatory I nore. Example ent of equipn ducts, impro rAL INVEST I longer supp ? Yes. Multi | SRTCOMINGS: sts <\$500K, whi requirements. I bles of equipme nent will allow n ved readiness (MENT: Cost of borts. iple economic ir | SS: which will i s. Includes ment to be we more effers a parts avit of critical ic indicators | mprove effic the acquisi purchased ective and e allability) ar out-of-suppl | siency throughing and institution and institution and institution the conduction by AWCF iter projects. | allation of an Jet Cutto of manpow of waste/s | ment, modification, or s capital investment item er, 3D Laser Imaging, s er. Benefits include sp scrap. high. Increase risk of s | Anticreation: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: This represents various replacement equipment that costs <\$500K, which will improve efficiency through replacement, modification, or addition of production and maintenance capability and compliance with regulatory requirements. Includes the acquisition and installation of capital investment items valued between \$100,000 and sanitantenance capability and compliance with regulatory requirements. Includes the acquisition and installation of capital investment items valued between \$100,000 and \$500,000 with a useful life of two years or more. Examples of equipment to be purchased include Water Jet Cutter, 3D Laser Imaging, and Rapid Prototype Support. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Replacement of equipment will allow more effective and efficient use of manpower. Benefits include spare parts cost and schedule reductions and an increase in quality of products, improved readiness (parts availability) and reduction of waste/scrap. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Cost of critical out-of-supply AWCF items will be high. Increase risk of supply parts shortage for some weapon systems because the contractor no longer supports. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. Multiple economic indicators for various projects. |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$415.5K | Net Present Value | | of Benefits: | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment | Ratio: | Payback Period: |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPIT EQUIPM (\$ ir | JP CAPITAL INVESTMENT EQUIPMENT-Replacement (\$ in Thousands) | AL INVESTME ENT-Replacem Thousands) | FAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION ENT-Replacement | ICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 99-2 | | Item Description Virtual Mock-ups for Spares | ption k-ups for S | pares | | D. Activity Identification TACOM | T |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | Τ |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Quantity Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | | |
| CAD System | | | | | | | 1 | 160.000 | 160.000 | | |
| Virtual Reality Hard System | | | | | | | _ | 80.000 | 80.000 | | |
| Virtual Reality Software | | | | | | | 2 | 80.000 | 160.000 | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | 4 | | 400.000 | | |
| Norrotive Instification: | | | | | | | | | | | Γ |

Narrative Justification:

CAD System is obsolete and does not have adequate processing power to prepare CAD models efficiently and effectively for design visualization. The processing power components. Reverse Engineering enables competitive procurement instead of sole source procurement. In the process of reverse engineering, one of the important developed and reverse engineered. The visualization of components is dependent on the CAD system, Virtual Reality (VR) Hardware and VR software. The exiting is also not adequate for the utilization of Virtual Reality technology and related visualization techniques. This leads to longer response time and poor quality of the a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: TACOM currently has a requirement for the capability to reverse engineer fielded vehicle elements is design visualization of CAD models. The design visualization is an effective process for design reviews and trade off studies for components being design.

components will be greatly enhanced. By providing technical drawings for the reverse engineered components in time and facilitating competitive procurement instead of b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: The objective of this investment is to improve productivity by using state-of-the-art CAD System and Design Visualization Virtual Reality technology. The added capability will greatly improve the efficiency of the reverse engineering process. In addition, the reliability and quality of the reverse engineered sole source, approximately 25% to 30% of the procurement cost can be saved.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Reverse engineering support will not be cost effective without upgraded CAD System, Virtual Reality hardware and software. The quality of the reverse engineered components will have negative impact without appropriate visualization techniques.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----|-----------------|-----------|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$400.0K | \$400.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: | \$430.7K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | 2.1 | Payback Period: | 2.6 years |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | APITAL INI ATED DATA (\$ in Thou | AL INVESTMENT JUS DATA PROCESSING Thousands) | T JUSTIFIC SSING | ATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-5 | | Item Description Network Upgrade/Replacement | otion grade/Rep | lacement | | D. Activity Identification CECOM | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Netrwork Upgrade 100 Pentium PC's | | | | 100 | 1.028 6.500 | 71.960 650.000 | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | 170 | | 721.960 | | | | | |

Narrative Justification:

- supports over 125 users. Diagnostics done on this network indicated that it is quickly reaching the saturation point, as network applications are being increased and users become more dependent on the viability of the network. The Communications and Electronics Command (CECOM) acquisition mission is also dependent on the network a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The Acquisition Center Network is made up of four shared ethernet segments, each of which for connectivity to its contracting system. This represents a descoped requirement.
- b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: The upgrade will allow the network to remain viable as a critical part of the Acquisition Center's automation capability. It will make it possible to continue to improve productivity through the use of newer and better network applications. It will also allow for the implementation of Electronic Commerce/Electronic Data Interchange (EC/EDI) to meet the Federal Acquisition Network Standardization Act (FACNET).
- c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Without this upgrade, the deployment of the Procurement Automated Data and Document System (PADDS) EC/EDI will be inadequate and could deny the CECOM Acquisition Center the ability to become FACNET compliant. Savings of between \$7 and \$11 million dollars each year will not be realized.
- d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|---------|------------------------------|-----|-----------------|---------|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$722.0K | Net Present Value of Benefits: | \$33.2K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | 2.9 | Payback Period: | 2.2 mos |

| | ACTIVI | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | APITAL INVESTM ATED DATA PRO (\$ in Thousands) | L INVESTMENT JUS DATA PROCESSING Thousands) | T JUSTIFIC | ATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | ssion ed s |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | ate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-2 | | Item Description CCSS High-Speed Printer | tion Speed Prir | ıter | | D. Activity Identification MICOM | ication |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost Quantity | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Printer Replacement TOTAL | | 258.000 | 258.000 | | | | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The U.S. Army Missile Command is currently utilizing two Honeywell PPS-II high-speed printers to support printing of the Commands critical item accounting, acquisition, payroll, and other Commodity Command Standard System and unique applications. The PPS-II systems output approximately 3.5 million pages per month. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: The printers currently in use are approximately 8 years old, are constantly being repaired, and have reached the end of their expected life cycles. Repair parts are in such short supply they are being cannibalized from other printers. Acquisition of new printers will ensure that MICOM's critical item accounting, acquisition, payroll, and other Coss and unique applications are printed as required. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: The Honeywell PPS-II high-speed printers support printing of the Command's critical item accounting, acquisition, payroll, and have reached the end of their useful lives. Replacing them is imperative. If these printers are not replaced, the potential exists that printing to support mission essential operations will not be possible. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | EQUIPMENT ds critical item 5 million page The printers of short supply occurs and uniques SED CAPITAL ommodity Con sached the enc tions will not b | AND SHORT accounting, as per month. currently in us they are being ue application mand Standa of their usefue possible. | COMINGS: acquisition, p e are approx g cannibalize s are printed ard System a ard System a all lives. Rep | The U.S. ayroll, and imately 8 d from oth as require leging the lacing the | Army Miss I other Com | ile Command imodity Comr ire constantly Acquisition of seed printers is. The PPS- tive. If these | is current mand Stan being rep of new prin support pr Il systems | ly utilizing to dard Syster alired, and haters will ensinting of the output appure not replace | vo Honeywe n and unique ave reachec ure that MIC Command's oximately ei | GS: The U.S. Army Missile Command is currently utilizing two Honeywell PPS-II high-speed printe on, payroll, and other Commodity Command Standard System and unique applications. The PPS-II proximately 8 years old, are constantly being repaired, and have reached the end of their expected alized from other printers. Acquisition of new printers will ensure that MICOM's critical item account nited as required. Honeywell PPS-II high-speed printers support printing of the Command's critical item accounting, em and unique applications. The PPS-II systems output approximately eight years old, are being Replacing them is imperative. If these printers are not replaced, the potential exists that printing to | ed printers to ne PPS-II expected life naccounting, being rinting to |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$258.0K | Net Present Value of | /alue of Benefits: | İ | \$1,388.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | restment F | | 3.9 | Payback Period: | 2 yrs |

| | ACTIVI | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL INV NATED DAT/ (\$ in Thou | AL INVESTMENT JUS DATA PROCESSING Thousands) | IT JUSTIFIC SSING | ATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Jate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-7 | | Item Description Logistics & Read Ctr Equip Replace | ition Read Ctr E | quip Replac | | D. Activity Identification CECOM |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Replacement of Network Hubs | | | | 28 | 23.200 | 649.600 | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | 28 | | 649.600 | | | | |

- network system is limited to smaller ports which limits the amount and speed of data transmission. Network traffic has increased significantly with the addition of electronic a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The Logistics and Readiness Center personnel currently over uses the existing network hubs to transmit data. With the increased amount of required mainframe systems there is a need for higher bandwidth capability to filter and transmit data faster. The present mail, scheduling capabilities, and shared files. Total requirement is less than approved based on a unit price decrease.
- b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: These upgraded network switches will provide increased networking capability and bandwidth, which will enable better usage of Commandmandated systems, i.e., JCALS, JEDMICS, etc. This will allow increased capability to interact and transmit data with other Commands and customers via the Internet and World-Wide Web.
- Also, CECOM's Item Manager personnel will be restricted in their ability to process and correct rejected customer requirements. The increased requirement to use network c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: LRC personnel will not be able to interact with each other and other activities efficiently using the current network system. Personnel will not be able to fully use required Command systems (JEDMIC, DMS, JCALS, etc.), reliably, to reduce the reliance on paper processes. mainframe systems will result in increased network collisions and downtime which will restrict users unless the switches are upgraded.
- d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| | lue of Benefits: \$8,829.0K Benefit to Investment Ratio: 3.2 Payback Period: 1 year |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| An address of the second | Net Present Value |
| | \$649.6K |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | Total Cost of the Project |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | ROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTAUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | IL INVESTMEN DATA PROCES | T JUSTIFIC SSING | SATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date | Date | | | C. Line No | | Item Description | otion | | | D. Activity Identification | |
| Supply Management | | 24-Feb-98 | | 99-1 | | PPS Printer | | | | TACOM | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | Unit Cost | Sost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Page Printing System | | | | | | | ŀ | 134.850 | 134.850 | | |
| (PPS) Replacement | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | 1 | | 134.850 | | |
| Narrative Justification: | | | | | | | | | | | |

unavailability of parts. Currently, only one printer is operational as the second is being cannibalized for parts. One unobtainable damaged part will halt the entire system. a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Two existing PPS printers are required to print 400,000 report pages per month (Supply Control Studies, Budget Strats, DEPLOY, etc.) and required constant supervision and operation. These printers are 20 years old and are difficult and costly to maintain due to The maintenance cost continues to escalate and technical expertise is disappearing. Additionally, sources for paper and supplies have diminished causing costs to b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Decreased maintenance, paper and supply costs. Printer is self-operating so there is low operator intervention and minimal commitment of human resources. Improved performance of printing capability. Reports are generated on smaller size paper facilitating handling, storage, transportability, and use. Increased reliability and productivity of the system.

Control Studies, Budget Strats, DEPLOY reports, etc. will not be available to200 item managers causing monthly work stoppage in requirements determination, procurement c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: PPS printers must be replaced in order to maintain our printing capability. Without PPS printers Supply and maintenance direction for all TACOM managed secondary items.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | • | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----|---------------|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$134.9K | Net Present Value of Benefits: | \$407.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | 4.1 | Payback Peric |
| | | | | | | |

2.5 yrs

iod:

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | ROUP CAPITAL INV AUTOMATED DATA (\$ in Thou | L INVESTMENT JUS DATA PROCESSING Thousands) | T JUSTIFIC SSING | ATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-8 | | Item Description Log & Readiness | otion iness Ctr F | Item Description Log & Readiness Ctr PCs and Printers | nters | D. Activity Identification MICOM |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total (| | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Sost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | |
| Replacement of Personal Computers and Printers | | | | 85 | 4.306 | 366.010 130.000 | 85 | 4.306 | 366.010 130.000 | |
| TOTAL | | | | 150 | | 496.010 | 150 | | 496.010 | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The Logistics and Readiness Center (LRC) is in need of replacement of 386PCs that are not capable of performing required functions. Increased mainframe access capability and data interchange are needed to accomplish the duties.

These interactions make retrieval of drawings and configuration readily available and enable digital output and transmission of acquisition data directly to the C3I Acquisition Data/Configuration Management System (TD/CMS), Joint Computer Aided Logistics Systems (JCALS) and Joint Engineering Data Management Information (JEDMICS). b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: The upgraded capability is needed to implement Continuous Acquisition and Life Cycle Support Initiatives to interact with the Technical Center, thus reducing reliance on paper processes and speeding the processing of Acquisition Requirements Packages (APRs).

network systems. Also, personnel will be unable to use JCALS, DRS, TE/CMS, JEDMICS, and the Defense Messaging System (DMS), which will reduce the reliance on c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Personnel will not be able to interact with each other and other activities efficiently using the current paper processes.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

ECONOMIC INDICATORS:

Total Cost of the Project

Net Present Value of Benefits:

\$992.0K

\$6,989.0K Benefit to Investment Ratio:

2.6 Payback Period:

1 year

| | ACTIN | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL INVEST SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousand | AL INVESTME DETWARE Thousands) | NT JUSTIFI | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-3 | 0 | Item Description CCSS Common User Interface | otion non User | Interface | | D. Activity Identification AMC/LSSC | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | . : | FY 99 | | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| LABOR-CDA | 1 | 1,378.000 | 1,378.000 1,378.000 | | | | | | | | |
| SOFTWARE ACQUISITION | _ | 570.000 | 570.000 | | | • | | | | | |
| LABOR-CONTRACTOR | _ | 2,985.000 2,985 | 2,985.000 | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | ო | | 4,933.000 | | | | | | | | |
| Morrotive Instification: | | | | | | | | | | | |

design based on technology available at that time. Some limited technology upgrades have been accomplished, however, the critical element of CCSS operating software a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The Commodity Command Standard System (CCSS) was developed in the late 1960's with a remains unchanged. This includes the fundamental data handling routines which are totally unique to CCSS. The current system does not provide the user a uniform access methodology. Users cannot access heterogeneous databases on multiple platforms.

shielded from the changes in file and database structures that occur. It will incorporate Commercial Off the Shelf (COTS) software as the solution and provide enabling accessing all data within CCSS and the capability to access other systems through the same means. As CCSS is modernized over a period of years, the users will be b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This initiative results in a cost avoidance of \$26,611,770 (current dollars). This initiative provides users a single uniform mode of technology for business process re-engineering. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Cost avoidance will not be realized. Users will be unable to access necessary data in a timely manner to accomplish their mission. CCSS modernization will cause major disruption as users struggle to cope with the mixed old and new environments until modernization is

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| | years |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | Payback Period: 2 |
| | 4.5 |
| | \$2,6611.0K Benefit to Investment Ratio: |
| | \$4,933.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | Total Cost of the Project \$4.93 |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL INVESTMI SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | AL INVESTME OFTWARE I Thousands) | NT JUSTIFIA | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-6 | | Item Description Single Stock Fund | otion Fund | | | D. Activity Identification AMC |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| LABOR-CDA | - | 5,000.000 5,000.000 | 5,000.000 | _ | 5,968.000 | 5,968.000 5,968.000 | - | 5,313.000 | 5,313.000 5,313.000 | |
| TOTAL | 1 | | 5,000.000 | ~ | | 5,968.000 | | | 5,313.000 | |

financial operations were decentralized to Army Materiel Command (AMC) for wholesale and to other Major Commands (MACOMs) for retail. The MACOMs have further a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The Army has a horizontal management structure (with three points of sale) because supply and decentralized retail operations through their installations. Decentralized stock record accounting generates redundant supply inventories and allows retail managers to order supplies the Army doesn't need

management by reducing order-ship-time while providing greater excess asset visibility for redistribution and procurement offsets. Global asset visibility and ownership of installation inventories will prevent buying what the Army already owns and disposal of what the Army needs, thereby increasing overall Army readiness. With SSF, the b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This initiative results in a cost savings of \$18.2 million. The SSF concept integrates retail and wholesale inventory, management, and financial accounting functions to produce business process improvements and inventory efficiencies. A vertical stock fund for Army managed items will eliminate one wholesale level would gain ownership and visibility of Army installation assets and thus be able to respond more rapidly than the installation for high priority or Nonpoint of sale between AMC and the installations. This change will align Army with Navy and Air Force Supply Management structures and will allow global asset management and ownership of Army managed items. Eliminating this point of sale will end duplication of logistical/financial processing, and will support velocity Mission Capable Supply (NMSCS) requisitions

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: If funding is not approved, the Army will continue to process in an inefficient horizontal structure which may jeopardize readiness. As downsizing minimizes funding and resources, the redundancies of processing wholesale and retail systems must be minimized. Also, efficiencies must be gained in redistribution of assets

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| 1 | • |
|----|--------------|
| 1 | U |
| 1 | ď |
| ı | = |
| ı | C |
| ı | ۲ |
| ı | 4 |
| ı | C |
| 1 | - |
| ı | \mathbf{c} |
| -1 | 7 |
| 1 | • |
| 3 | - |
| ł | |
| 1 | L |
| 1 | = |
| ł | - |
| 1 | - |
| ł | 7 |
| 1 | 20 |
| ı | = |
| ı | 4 |
| ١ | C |
| ı | Č |
| ı | ш |

Total Cost of the Project \$16,281.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

\$1,300.0M Benefit to Investment Ratio:

13.2 F

Payback Period:

10 years

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL INVEST SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousand | AL INVESTMEI DFTWARE Thousands) | NT JUSTIFIC | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submiss FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 96-20 | | Item Description Materiel Management System (MMS) | ition nagement | System (MN | (S) | D. Activity I SM Invento | D. Activity Identification SM Inventory Control Points | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | | |
| Hardware/Software | | 15,000.000 15,000 | 15,000.000 | | 4,720.000 | 4,720.000 | ~ | 1,460.000 | 1,460.000 | | | |
| TOTAL | 7 | | 15,000.000 | 1 | | 4,720.000 | 1 | | 1,460.000 | | | *** |
| a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Funds are to support fielding of the Materiel Management System (MMS). b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: The MMS will provide radically improved functional capability to the military services and DLA, reduce costs for information services and establish a systems infrastructure on which DOD can improve the way it does business. Specific improvements include: reduced inventories through better management, reduced labor requirements, reduced overhead costs, and improved control of assets. Once implementation is completed, legacy applications will be reduced or eliminated, decreasing ADP costs markedly. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Benefits will not be achieved and ADP costs for the legacy systems will remain high. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | S EQUIPMEN The MMS vare on which sts markedly SED CAPIT | IT AND SHO vill provide ra DOD can imp sad costs, an AL INVESTIV Yes. | RTCOMINGS dically improved to improve improve improved to improve impr | S: Funds ved functii / it does b control of s | are to supponal capabilitiess. Spinssets. Onc | INGS: Funds are to support fielding of the Materiel Management System (MMS). uproved functional capability to the military services and DLA, reduce costs for information service way it does business. Specific improvements include: reduced inventories through better man; ved control of assets. Once implementation is completed, legacy applications will be reduced or Benefits will not be achieved and ADP costs for the legacy systems will remain high. | if the Mater lary servic ements in cation is co | iel Manager es and DLA clude: redu mpleted, leg he legacy s | nent Systen , reduce cos ced inventor gacy applica ystems will r | rts for infornies through tions will be emain high. | finGS: Funds are to support fielding of the Materiel Management System (MMS). Improved functional capability to the military services and DLA, reduce costs for information services and e way it does business. Specific improvements include: reduced inventories through better managemenwed control of assets. Once implementation is completed, legacy applications will be reduced or Benefits will not be achieved and ADP costs for the legacy systems will remain high. | ent, |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$21,180.0K | \$21,180.0K Net Present Value of | /alue of Benefits: | efits: | - | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | restment F | Ratio: | | Payback Period: | eriod: | |

| | ACTI | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION | CAPITAL INVEST | VESTME | NT JUSTIFIC | ATION | | | | A. Budget Submission | sion |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | • | | \$OF IN (\$ in Thou | Thousands) | | | | | | FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-4 | | Item Description Conversion of MILSTEP | tion of MILSTE | <u></u> | | D. Activity Identification AMC/LOGSA | ation |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| LABOR-CDA | - | 489.000 | 489.000 | | 489.000 | 489.000 | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | 489.000 | F | | 489.000 | | | | | |
| narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: MILSTEP reads transactions such as requisitions and supply status records which are in an 80 card column format. Raw requisition and status data is processed and sorted into several hard copy performance reports for use by Inventory Control Points and higher headquarters. In summary, intensive manual effort is required to transmit and translate MILSTEP data into the charts and spreadsheets required to perform supply performance analysis. Information is stored in flat files in a 29 year old database. | S EQUIPME! isition and stensive manuition is stored | NT AND SHOI latus data is p lal effort is req d in flat files in | RTCOMINGS processed and quired to trans a 29 year old | s: MILST d sorted in smit and tr d database | EP reads tra ito several ha anslate MILS | nsactions su ard copy perf 3TEP data in | ich as requiormance ito the cha | uisitions and eports for u rts and spre | d supply statise by Inventerates | MINGS: MILSTEP reads transactions such as requisitions and supply status records which are in an 80 ed and sorted into several hard copy performance reports for use by Inventory Control Points and higher transmit and translate MILSTEP data into the charts and spreadsheets required to perform supply ear old database. | re in an 80 and higher supply |
| b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: MILSTEP, if converted, would be able to read and compile reports based on the new variable length records and new transaction formats described in the Defense Logistics Management Standard System (DLMS). If data were put into a centralized, relational database with Graphic User Interface, reports not available through current canned output products could be produced. | MILSTEP, stics Manage anned outpu | if converted, v ment Standar t products cou | would be able d System (DI ald be produc | e to read a LMS). If ded. | and compile rata were put | eports baser into a centra | d on the nalized, rela | ew variable itional datab | length recor | ds and new transa aphic User Interfac | ction formats e, reports |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: MILSTEP supply performance reporting as described in DoD 4000.23, DoD 4100.25-1-M, and DoE would cease because it would not be programmed to read variable length records and new transaction formats. DLMS is scheduled for implementation in Oct 98. | SED CAPIT not be progr | FAL INVESTW ammed to rea | CK. | rEP suppl | y performand ds and new t | se reporting a | as describ vrmats. D | ed in DoD 4 LMS is sche | 1000.23, Do[eduled for im | MILSTEP supply performance reporting as described in DoD 4000.23, DoD 4100.25-1-M, and DoD 4410.6 ble length records and new transaction formats. DLMS is scheduled for implementation in Oct 98. | d DoD 4410.6 ct 98. |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? This is a required p | ERFORMED' | ? This is a red | quired progra | m for Arm | orogram for Army to comply with DoD regulations. | with DoD reg | julations. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$978.0K | Net Present | Net Present Value of Benefits: | efits: | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | estment f | Ratio: | | Payback Period: | |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPIT S (\$ ii | CAPITAL INVEST SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousand | AL INVESTME OFTWARE I Thousands) | FAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION OFTWARE n Thousands) | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-15 | | Item Description Vision 2010 | otion | | | D. Activity Identification AMC | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | Total Cost | Quantity | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Software Development | _ | 8,623.000 | 8,623.000 8,623.000 | - | 9,015.000 9,015.000 | 9,015.000 | - | 7,444.000 | 7,444.000 7,444.000 | | |
| TOTAL | - | | 8,623.000 | ~ | | 9,015.000 | - | | 7,444.000 | | |

- limited technology upgrades have been accomplished; however, the critical element of CCSS operating software remains unchanged. The obsolete technology and lack outsourcing. The structure and technology of CCSS do not allow for user on-line access to all data. There is no capability to access data residing in current and future interactive data, data processing routines, technical utilities with files and data bases serving multiple business processes. It was developed in the late 1960's. Some of system documentation increase maintenance costs; hinder business process improvements and reduce capability to augment the downsized workforce through a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The Commodity Command Standard System (CCSS) is a tightly integrated system with Army and DoD systems.
- b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: The Army will enable joint operations envisioned by Joint Vision 2010, a shared data environment, decreased production costs, and more rapid, cost effective business process improvements. This focused logistics will be the fusion of information, logistics, and transportation technologies to provide rapid crisis response, to track and shift assets even while enroute, and to deliver tailored logistics packages and sustainment directly at the strategic operational and tactical evel of operations. It will be fully adaptive to the needs of our increasingly dispersed and mobile forces, providing needed capabilities in hours or days versus weeks.
- c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Army Materiel Command organizations will be unable to fully support the Vision 2010 concept. Directing the logistics packages to the operational level will be hindered without the elements contained within the initiative. Army agencies will be unable to take advantage of advanced business practices, commercial economies, and global networks.
- d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? This is an OSD approved/directed program (PBD 401).

ECONOMIC INDICATORS:

Total Cost of the Project \$25,082.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| B. Component, Activity Group, Date 24-Feb-98 99-1 CCSS Century Date Change AMCLSSC Activity Identification Supply Management FY 94 FY 99 FY 99 FY 99 Element of Cost Quantity Lift Cost Total Cost Quantity Lift Cost Total Cost Quantity Lift Cost LABOR CDA 1,006.000 1,006.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.000 1,070.00 | C. Line No Item Description CCSS Century Date Change AMC/LSSC | ange | D. Activity Identification AMC/LSSC cesses use a six position date incial accounting and requisition ed systems operational |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | trantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost The Control Total Unit Cost Unit Cost Unit Cost Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit Unit Uni | hit Cost Total Cost 856.000 998.000 998.000 2,854.000 a System (CCSS) processes ilons, forecasting, financial a ration. | es use a six position date accounting and requisition stems operational |
| | 1 1,006.000 1,006.000 1 1,070.000 1,902.000 1 1 2,308.000 2,308.000 1 1,070.000 1,070.000 1 1 2,308.000 2,308.000 2,308.000 2 3,314.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972.000 2 2,972. | 856.000 1,856.000 998.000 998.000 d System (CCSS) processestions, forecasting, financial a ration. | es use a six position date accounting and requisition stems operational |
| | 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2,972.000 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | d System (CCSS) processestions, forecasting, financial a ration. | es use a six position date accounting and requisition stems operational |
| | QUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The current Commodity Command Stands are used in nearly all applications and data bases for status accounting, comput s reached, CCSS will be unable to determine the correct year in its current config six position date fields in CCSS must be changed from six positions to eight posi D CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Immediate and catastrophic system failure resulting | d System (CCSS) processestions, forecasting, financial a atton. | ss use a six position date accounting and requisition stems operational |
| | s accounting, forecasting, financial management, requisition processing and other ORMED? N/A. | n an unprecedented failure to ogistic support functions. | to meet business |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project \$9,140.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: Benefit to Investment | | | Payback Period: |

| | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | P CAPITAL INVESTM SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | IVESTMENT VARE Isands) | JUSTIFICATI | NO | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-2 | Item LOG | Item Description LOGSA Century Date Change | e Change | | D. Activity Identification AMC/LOGSA |
| Element of Cost | FY 97 Quantity Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity U | FY 98 Unit Cost Tota | Total Cost Quantity | FY 99 / Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Software Development | | 760 | | 1,678.000 1,67 | | 746.000 | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: | G EQUIPMENT AND SH | ORTCOMING | S: Current | systems do no | 1,678.000 | ning to the 21s | /46.000 st Century. | Current systems do not allow transitioning to the 21st Century. Data fields must be changed in |
| databases useless. This project will involve 5,850 programs for a total of 4,850,000 lines of code. | ct will involve 5,850 progr | rams for a tota | of 4,850,000 | lines of code. | ינומנפ מיות ייונס | | בר אווי. בי | act, relidel triese LOGOA |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: The systems supported by these LOGSA databases will become involved processes will fail, resulting in serious ongoing damage to critical Army information processes. | SED CAPITAL INVEST sulting in serious ongoing | ၌ စ် | systems supplical Army infi | w continuation of effective LOGOA sup. The systems supported by these LOG to critical Army information processes. | A support into to LOGSA datab | ases will beco | ı y. ome ineffecti | in continuation of effective EOGSA support into the flext century. The systems supported by these LOGSA databases will become ineffective and inoperable. All date- to critical Army information processes. |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? N/A. | ERFORMED? N/A. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$3,184.0K Net Present Value of | | Benefits: | Bene | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | it Ratio: | | Payback Period: |

-

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL IN SOFTW (\$ in Thou | L INVESTME FTWARE 'housands) | NT JUSTIFIC | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-3 | | Item Description Integrated Susta | otion ustainmen | Item Description Integrated Sustainment Maint (ISM) | | D. Activity Identification AMC |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Hardware | 1 | 715.000 | 715.000 | _ | 1,430.000 1,430.000 | 1,430.000 | | 715.000 | 715.000 715.000 | |
| Software Development | | | | | | | | | | |
| Labor Contractor | ~ | 880.000 | 880.000 | - | 1,760.000 1,760.000 | 1,760.000 | _ | 880.000 | 880.000 | |
| Software | ~ | 1,700.000 | 1,700.000 | , | 2,200.000 | 2,200.000 2,200.000 | _ | 2,400.000 | 2,400.000 2,400.000 | |
| TOTAL | က | | 3,295.000 | က | | 5,390.000 | က | | 3,995.000 | |
| Marrative Institination: | | | | | | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Various organizations and Major Commands (MACOMs) are responsible for sustainment maintenance. There is duplication of maintenance capability, redundancy in support, and fragmented command and control of maintenance capability.

maintenance efficiencies. Investment is required in order to gain efficiencies. Investment is shared among AMC and other MACOMs, such as Forces Command, Training b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This initiative results in savings to the Army of \$142M (FY 98-03). ISM provides for centralized management and decentralized execution of sustainment maintenance in the Army. Savings will be realized through improved "repair versus buy" decisions at the national level, regional cost avoidance, and and Doctrine Command, Office, Chief Army Reserves, and the National Guard Bureau.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: The expansion of the ISM automation management information system cannot be accomplished. Without the automation management information system, ISM cannot be implemented and, therefore, no savings will be realized.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. Cost benefit analysis is being updated.

ECONOMIC INDICATORS:

Total Cost of the Project

\$12,680.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| | ACTIVI | TY GROUP | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | IVESTMEN VARE usands) | IT JUSTIFI | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | sion |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Ð | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-4 | | Item Description Remote Site Processing | tion Processin | <u> </u> | | D. Activity Identification AMC | ation |
| | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Software Development TOTAL | | | · · | | 131.000 | 131.000 | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Procurement Automated Data and Document System (PADDS) and Commodity Command Standard System (CCSS) Procurement applications currently are accessed through on-site Local Area Networks (LANs). Any remote site processing must be accomplished using the Work Ordering and Reporting Communications System (WORCS) which queues one site's requirements to another site. While this allows for remote site processing, it requires dedicated LAN lines to accomplish this task. Reduced cost will be realized through use of the Internet in lieu of LAN lines at a accomplishing activities. The implementation of this initiative will reduce costs associated with Army and DoD downsizing efforts oriented toward consolidating contracting activities. The implementation of this initiative will reduce communication infrastructure cost through use of the Internet in lieu of installation of dedicated lines at each Command. Currently, remote site processing of procurement actions is accomplished through the WORCS which allows for the purchase of requirements with committed funds transferred from the customer to the buying Command. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Savings will not be realized. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | QUIPMENT ment application dedicated tunning the ward cons of dedicat equirement CAPITA ORMED? | T AND SHO cations curr Reporting Cc LAN lines to PADDS Da colidating co ed lines at e is with comm | RTCOMINGs entity are acc ommunication o accomplish ta Base Man ntracting acti ach Comman nitted funds ta | S: Procure essed throns System this task. agement S vities. The nd. Currer ransferred gs will not | wings: Procurement Auton e accessed through on-site ications System (WORCS) vaplish this task. Reduced ocal management System (DBN g activities. The implement gransferred from the custods transferred from the custods will not be realized. | nated Data a Local Area h which queues ost will be rea MS) on the Ir ation of this is site processi stomer to the | and Docum Networks () s one site's alized throut ternet will nitiative will ng of proces buying Co | lent System LANs). Any s requirements and use of the significantly li reduce con urement act ommand. | (PADDS) ar remote site anothe arts to anothe in the Internet ir reduce cos mmunication ions is acco | nd Commodity Corprocessing must be site. While this a lieu of LAN lines. Is associated with infrastructure cosmplished through t | nmand ee allows for Army and t through use he WORCS |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project \$13 | \$131.0K N | Net Present Value | /alue of Benefits: | | \$3,152.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | estment F | | 26.0 | Payback Period: | 1 year |

| | ACTIVI | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPIT S (\$ ir | CAPITAL INVESTM SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | VESTME /ARE isands) | AL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION OFTWARE 1 Thousands) | SATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | - |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | ate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-6 | | Item Description On Net Transfer Protocol | ition sfer Protoc | <u>0</u> | | D. Activity Identification MICOM | 5 |
| | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Hardware/Software Replacement | | | | - | 1,055.000 | 1,055.000 | | | | - | |
| TOTAL | | · | | 1 | | 1,055.000 | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Procurement Automated Data and Document System (PADDS) currently transmits data to the logistics financial and contract administration applications. To ensure timely and accurate dissemination of payment and delivery information, the On Net Files Transfer Protocol (TRP) is needed to facilitate faster data transmission. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This project will facilitate attainment of the AMC goal to reduce procurement administrative leadtimes by fifty percent. It is estimated that these improvements will yield a two-day improvement in procurement administrative leadtime. This estimate is substantiated by the approved economic analysis. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: The Army wholesale procurement and logistics community will be limited in its access to technical data or drawings if the necessary platforms are not available. This project satisfies requirements to make changes (directed, or considered urgent) to the legacy systems. Failure to implement these changes will result in increased manual effort to support the various functional areas. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | EQUIPMENT Iministration Itate faster d This project wo-day impr ms are not a nese change rese change | r AND SHO applications ata transmis will facilitate ovement in vailable. Tr s will result Yes. | RTCOMINGS s. To ensure ssion. attainment of procurement fENT: The A nis project sa in increased | timely an timely and the AMC administration whole tisfles requessional effects. | ement Auton d accurate di 2 goal to redi ative leadtim esale procur uirements to ffort to suppo | ssemination ssemination Le procurer e. This estir make chang rt the variou | nd Docum of paymel nent admir nate is sut gistics cor ges (directe s functions | ent System nt and deliv nistrative le stantiated t nmunity will ad, required | (PADDS) candimes by find the appropriate in the consider or consider. | MINGS: Procurement Automated Data and Document System (PADDS) currently transmits data to the ansure timely and accurate dissemination of payment and delivery information, the On Net Files Transfer ment of the AMC goal to reduce procurement administrative leadtimes by fifty percent. It is estimated that ement administrative leadtime. This estimate is substantiated by the approved economic analysis. The Army wholesale procurement and logistics community will be limited in its access to technical data or ect satisfies requirements to make changes (directed, required, or considered urgent) to the legacy sassed manual effort to support the various functional areas. | to the ransfer lated that s. |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project \$ | \$1,055.0K Net Present Value | Net Present | Value of Benefits: | efits: | \$2,982.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment | ⊰atio: | 3.9 | Payback Period: | 1 year |

| | ACTIN | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARF | CAPITAL II | ITAL INVESTMEN | AT JUSTIFIC | SATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended | |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----|
| | | | (\$ in Tho | Thousands) | | | | | | Budget Estimates | |
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date | Date | | | C. Line No | | Item Description | otion | | | D. Activity Identification | Γ |
| Supply Management | | 24-Feb-98 | | 6-86 | | Lateral Redistribution | stribution | | | AMC | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | Γ |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | | |
| Software Development | | | | - | 1,000.000 1,000.000 | 1,000.000 | 1 | 1,500.000 | 1,500.000 1,500.000 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | *** |
| TOTAL | | | | | | 1,000,000 | _ | | 1.500.000 | | |
| Narrative Instification: | | | | | | | | | | | Т |

result of the audit findings, Deputy Under Secretary of Defense, Logistics, directed all DoD components to provide visibility and redistribution capabilities. These \$500M (DoD) which could have been used if the Primary Inventory Control Activity (PICA) had visibility of assets at the Secondary Inventory Control Activity (SICA) level. As a a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Several audit findings revealed over \$500M of excess assets within Department of Defense excess assets were not available for the soldier due to the lack of visibility thereby decreasing redistribution and procurement offsets. Narrative Justification.

manager concept, additional system changes are required to realize visibility and utilize worldwide assets. This initiative supports velocity management because it will b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This initiative results in a cost savings of \$64M. Lateral redistribution provides visibility of assets across DoD that will allow for the redistribution of excess assets to fill backorders and offset procurement buys. Both wholesale and retail assets will be utilized. As items migrate to the single DoD increase asset visibility across DoD, offset procurement buys, provide greater utilization of excess assets, and reduce order-ship-time (OST).

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Benefits to be derived from a reduced OST will occur. Asset visibility across DoD will be limited and procurement in excess of requirement will occur.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Not required per DoD 4140-1R.

| • | |
|-----|----------------|
| ł | |
| | ٠. |
| - [| u |
| ı | Ξ, |
| - 1 | n |
| ŀ | - |
| 1 | _ |
| 1 | • |
| 1 | • |
| | _ |
| ı | _ |
| | - |
| - 1 | |
| 1 | \overline{c} |
| Į | = |
| 1 | = |
| ı | ட |
| 1 | = |
| - [| \mathbf{z} |
| - 1 | _ |
| 1 | |
| - 1 | |
| - 1 | C |
| ı | = |
| - 1 | |
| ı | - |
| | ⋛ |
| - 1 | • |
| - 1 | • |
| - 1 | - |
| - 1 | • |
| - 1 | _ |
| - 1 | • |
| - 1 | - |
| - 1 | L |
| | |

Total Cost of the Project \$2,500.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL IN SOFTW (\$ in Thou | AL INVESTME DFTWARE Thousands) | NT JUSTIFI | CATION | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-14 | | Item Description Common Operat | Item Description Common Operating Environment (COE) | | D. Activity Identification AMC |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | FY 97 FY 98 FY 99 FY 99 Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | |
| Software Development | - | 5,967.000 | 5,967.000 5,967.000 | | 1 16,017.000 16,017.000 | 16,017.000 | 1 11,364.000 | 1 11,364.000 11,364.000 | |
| TOTAL | - | | 5,967.000 | | | 16,017.000 | | 11,364.000 | |

logistics mission area. It was developed in the late 1960's. Some limited technology upgrades have been accomplished; however, the critical element of CCSS operating software remains unchanged. The obsolete technology and lack of system documentation increase maintenance costs, hinders business process improvements, and interactive data, data processing routines, technical utilities with files and data bases serving multiple business processes within the Army Materiel Command (AMC) reduces capability to augment the downsized workforce through outsourcing. The structure of CCSS does not allow for user on-line access to all data. There is no a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The Commodity Command Standard System (CCSS) is a tightly integrated system with capability to access data residing in current and future Army and DoD systems

what-if scenarios. This initiative will provide the enabling technology for business process reengineering efforts to enhance asset visibility leading to inventory reductions, business process improvements and supporting technology infusion. It will also allow users analytical tools with real time data access for simulations, trend analysis and b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Introduction of major business process improvements and other DoD standard systems into the Army Automated System environment is introduced and old systems are replaced. This initiative establishes a common environment for end users as the wholesale logistics systems evolve through continuous operations. Not all of the current legacy systems are expected to be replaced. The end users will be faced with trying to navigate through a combination of new and old expected to take place over several years in an incremental fashion. During this timeframe, Army must continue to rely on existing legacy systems to conduct its daily systems/databases residing on different hardware/software platforms. This combination of new and old systems will require retraining each time new systems are procurement offsets, and enhanced readiness.

| ı | u | 7 |
|---|---------|---------|
| ı | ٥ | Ĺ |
| ı | C | 1 |
| ı | Ĕ | _ |
| ı | < | ĺ |
| ı | C | ì |
| ı | = | Ξ |
| i | C | 3 |
| l | = | , |
| | | |
| ı | = | |
| I | = | ֓ |
| I | <u></u> | > |
| | | > |
| | | <u></u> |
| | | |
| | | |

Total Cost of the Project

\$33,348.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| B. Co Suppl Softwara d. EC | S (\$ it B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management Supply Management Element of Cost Software Development TOTAL Narrative Justification (Continuation Sheet): c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: visibility for reduced inventory costs and improved readiness will d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? N/A. | ACTIV Date Quantity ation Sheet): costs and imp RFORMED? | 24-Feb-98 FY 97 Unit Cost iroved readin | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) C. Line No Item Deserged Bar 14 Common FY 97 Theet): CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Cost avoidance benefits will not be readiness will be much more difficult and costly. MED? N/A. | WARE usands) C. Line No 98-14 Quantity avoidance buth more of | AL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATIO OFTWARE I Thousands) C. Line No Item D 98-14 Comm FY 98 Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost avoidance benefits will not be be much more difficult and costly. | ealiz ostrip | Ouantity Ed. Busin | Nvironment (FY 99 Unit Cost ess process | COE) Total Cost | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates D. Activity Identification AMC | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates D. Activity Identification AMC ing to achieve enhanced | d asset |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| ECO! Total | ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | | Net Present | Net Present Value of Benefits: | efits: | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | restment R | atio: | | Payback Period: | eriod: | |

| nent, Activity Group, Date nagement Cost Quantity relopment | SOF I WARE (\$ in Thousands) | | | | | | A. Budget Submission |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| ity Group, Date Quantity | (\$ in Thousands | | | | | | FY 1999 Amended |
| ty Group, Date Quantity | | (s | | | | | Budget Estimates |
| Quantity | C. Line No | | Item Description | ion | | | D. Activity Identification |
| Quantity | eb-98 98-10 | | CCSS Defense Logistics Mgt Systems | se Logistic | s Mgt Syste | | AMC |
| Quantity | 26 | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | |
| Software Development Labor Contractor | Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | ity Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Labor Contractor | | | | | | | |
| | | 1 984.000 | 984.000 | _ | 2,352.000 | 2,352.000 2,352.000 | |
| Labor-CDA | | 1 656.000 | 656.000 | _ | 1,568.000 | 1,568.000 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | 2 | 1,640.000 | 2 | | 3,920.000 | |

- is in alignment with industry and commercial standards. All CCSS applications will required change. The interim proposal is to develop a front-end and back-end process variable length format to be used for processing all military standard transactions. DoD has directed all services and DLA to adopt the variable length record format which a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Commodity Command Standard System (CCSS) applications are not compatible with the new to convert records into useable format to enable CCSS to process them when the new format is installed.
- b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This will enable AMC systems to interface and utilize standardized formats to process military standard records and transactions, such as requisitions, and to use the Defense Automated Address System (DAAS). This format is the standardized format for transaction processing used in industry
- c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: AMC automated logistics systems will not be able to process incoming or outgoing military standard traffic such as requisitions or use DAAS services.
- d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? No. DoD directed change under Corporate Information Management (CIM) Guidance.

ECONOMIC INDICATORS:

Total Cost of the Project

\$5,560.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL INVESTM SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | VESTMEI /ARE isands) | AT JUSTIFI | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | ate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-12 | | Item Description Single Item Inver | otion Inventory F | Item Description Single Item Inventory Record (SIIR) | | D. Activity Identification AMC | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Software Development TOTAL | | | | | | | - | 1,000.000 | 1,000.000 | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Currently, there are two separate inventory records that require daily reconciliation. Audits reveal that records are inaccurate by as much as 35 percent. This discrepancy is attributed to the volume of receipt and adjustment transactions that flow between the Inventory Control Points and the Depots. Current systems contain up to three separate inventory records. Depots utilize Standard Depot System while Inventory Control Points utilize Commodity Command Standard System. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: By creating a single accountable record, SIIR would eliminate the need for database reconciliation between activities. SIIR implementation would promote a seamless logistics inventory record and increase the readiness posture by decreasing denial rates. In addition, processing time will improve due to improved record accuracy, and order-ship time will be reduced. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Manual database reconciliation between activities will be continued with the inherent inaccuracies and errors associated with manual reconciliation. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? No. DoD directed. | EQUIPMEN s much as 3 Current sys andard Syst reconciliatic tes. In addit econciliation | IT AND SHO Spercent. T tems contain tem. It a single acc on between a tion, processi tion, DoD di on DoD di | RTCOMING: his discrepar up to three s ountable rec ctivities. SIIF ng time will in | 5: Curren rey is attril eparate in ord, SIIR v impleme nprove du | tly, there are ventory rectory | finGS: Currently, there are two separate inventory records that require daily reconsepancy is attributed to the volume of receipt and adjustment transactions that flow iree separate inventory records. Depots utilize Standard Depot System while Inventere separate inventory records. Depots utilize Standard Depot System while Inventerer. SIIR implementation would promote a seamless logistics inventory record and incomiliation will improve due to improved record accuracy, and order-ship time will be reduced. Manual database reconciliation between activities will be continued with the inherer | ite inventor sceipt and s utilize Sta for separa seamless curacy, an activities | y records the adjustment tandard Depotent tree custodial logistics inversely will be continued. | at require daransactions of System what accountime will be time will be nued with the | AINGS: Currently, there are two separate inventory records that require daily reconciliation. Audits reversepancy is attributed to the volume of receipt and adjustment transactions that flow between the Inventorree separate inventory records. Depots utilize Standard Depot System while Inventory Control Points e record, SIIR would eliminate the need for separate custodial and accountable records. SIIR would simplementation would promote a seamless logistics inventory record and increase the readiness will improve due to improved record accuracy, and order-ship time will be reduced. Manual database reconciliation between activities will be continued with the inherent inaccuracies and | weal ntory s |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$1,000.0K | \$1,000.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: | Value of Ben | efits: | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment l | Ratio: | | Payback Period: | |

| C. Line No item Description DGSA Defense Log Mgt Systems FY 98 Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total C | | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPIT S (\$ ir | CAPITAL INVESTM SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | VESTMEI /ARE isands) | AL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION OFTWARE 1 Thousands) | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | mission Ided tes | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Element of Cost TOTAL Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCON syntax variable length records will require analysis and software communication front-end processing along with the creation of specific changes to legacy applications and databases. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This update will enable AMC syrecords and to use the Defense Automated Address System (Dyrecords and to use the Defense Automated Address System (Dyrecords are requisitions or use DAAS services. d. ECONOMIC INDICATORS: ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project \$1,750.0K Net Present Value of Total Cost of the Project | B. Component, Activity Group, D Supply Management | Jate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-11 | | Item Descrip LOGSA Defe | ition ense Log ∧ | Agt Systems | | D. Activity Identification AMC/LOGSA | ıtification | |
| Software Development TOTAL Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCON Syntax variable length records will require analysis and software communication front-end processing along with the creation of specific changes to legacy applications and databases. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This update will enable AMC syrecords and to use the Defense Automated Address System (D. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: such as requisitions or use DAAS services. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? No. DoD directed ECONOMIC INDICATORS: ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project \$1.750.0K Net Present Value of the Present Pres | Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | | |
| An arrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCON syntax variable length records will require analysis and software communication front-end processing along with the creation of a specific changes to legacy applications and databases. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This update will enable AMC sy records and to use the Defense Automated Address System (D. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: such as requisitions or use DAAS services. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? No. DoD directed a ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project \$1.750.0K Net Present Value of Total Cost of the Project \$1.750.0K Net Present Value of States and Sta | | | | | | 1,750.000 | 1,750.000 | | | | | | |
| \$1.750.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: Benefit to Investment Ratio: | | i EQUIPMEN will require a ssing along vications and This update Automated AS services. | IT AND SHO nalysis and s with the crea databases. will enable / Address Sys AL INVESTR | IRTCOMING software englition of a transtem of a transtem (DAAS). MENT: AMC lirected changes | S: Modifineering for stator/com. This form automate. | cation to the reater to sup rerter to sup ce and utiliz at is the stand at is the stand of the standard of the | Logistic Surpression LOC port near terression and ardized formation Maformation Magnetical formation for Magnetical formation for Magnetical formation for Magnetical for Magn | oport Agen SSA. This m requiren ed format t irmat for tra ot be able | cy (LOGSA) cost is base nents. This to process in to process ii | systems to ed on making figure also in tigure | accommodate in accomm | the ANSI-S odifications o begin mak | -12 to iing raffic |
| | | \$1,750.0K | Net Present | | efits: | | Benefit to In | ivestment l | Ratio: | | Payback Period: | .d: | |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL INVESTIMI SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | IVESTMEI VARE usands) | NT JUSTIFI | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management |)ate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 99-3 | | Item Description Integrated Data Environment (IDE) | ition ata Enviro | nment (IDE | | D. Activity Identification AMC |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Hardware, Software, Contracts | | | | | 11,320.000 | 11,320.000 11,320.000 | 7 | 4,400.000 | 4,400.000 4,400.000 | |
| TOTAL | | | | - | | 11,320.000 | + | | 4,400.000 | |

Automated Data Processing (ADP) equipment, inadequate communications devices and antiquated automated systems which were originally created in the 1960's with the technology which was available at that time. Over the years, some band aid changes were made to improve the equipment available for some users but far from all approach was exacerbated over the years due to the very limited changes that the Army could make to any of its supply management systems due to the constraints some limited communications upgrades were completed, and the automated systems were marginally improved. The approach to fix the problem and the amount of a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The wholesale supply management process within the Army is performed using obsolete funding from one supply management activity to another varied so much that the types of equipment and business processes were not consistent. This band aid placed on system changes by the Joint Logistics System Center (JLSC) which controlled all of the funding for system changes.

logistics, f.) facilitates Business Process Improvements/Reengineering of the logistics processes and , g.) essential process to achieve a Common Operating Environment exchange of data between government activities and contractors, c.) ensures the delivery of Army weapon systems and associated spares of the most efficient costs, d.) b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: The Integrated Data Environment (IDE) will create an environment which will receive, store, and share logistics data in a digital format. positive aspects of this initiative are: a.) reduces the operations and sustainment costs of Army weapons systems, b.) enables acquisition reform and the electronic This initiative will provide Army activities with the necessary hardware, software, and communications equipment to prepare Army logistics for the 21st century. The ensures high quality data that is created once and shared with all Army and other users throughout Department of Defense (DoD), e) reduces cycle times related to (COE) and Joint Visions 2010.

| ı | | |
|---|---|---|
| ı | | |
| ı | U | ŋ |
| ľ | ٥ | ۷ |
| l | C |) |
| į | F | _ |
| i | < | ۲ |
| | C | Š |
| 1 | | - |
| i | C | 3 |
| ł | 2 | , |
| 1 | | = |
| 1 | П | _ |
| ł | C | د |
| 1 | Ì | = |
| 1 | | 5 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| ı | C |) |
| 1 | - | , |
| 1 | | • |
| 1 | C |) |
| 1 | ť | ١ |

Total Cost of the Project

\$15,720.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPIT S (\$ ir | CAPITAL INVESTM SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | VESTMEN ARE sands) | AL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION OFTWARE 1 Thousands) | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | sion |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Supply Management | 24-Feb-98 | 0 0 | C. Line No 99-3 | | Item Description Integrated Data Environment (IDE) | tion ata Enviror | ment (IDE) | | D. Activity IdentificationAMC | ation |
| Element of Cost Qua | FY 97 Quantity Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Hardware, Software, Contracts | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification (Continuation Sheet): | Sheet): | | | | | | | | | |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: IDE is the Army's solution to remedy all of the above mentioned problems, assist in the completion of business process improvements and create a common infrastructure which will result in an environment which will contribute to the Army's and DoD's logistics goals: i.e., the Army Vision 2010, Commodity Command Standard Systems (CCSS) Modernization and Integrated Combat Service Support System (ICS3); the Defense Integrated Infrastructure/Common Operating Environment (DII/COE), and the Global Data Management System. IDE, in conjunction with these other initiatives, will revolutionize the way that the Army manages its supply function. IDE is the basis for a more effective and efficient supply management business processes for the 21st century. Failure to fund this effort will result in a continuation of the current obsolete supply management business processes and will not take advantage of the technology currently available to achieve all of the benefits delineated above. | CAPITAL INVESTM create a common in ommand Standard S wironment (DII/COE) y function. IDE is the ation of the current os delineated above. | ENT: IDE is frastructure vystems (CCS), and the Gloe basis for a lesolete supplement | the Army's which will re S) Modern bal Data N more effecty y manager | solution to ssult in an e ization and lanagemen lanagemen ive and effinent busin | remedy all c environment I Integrated C it System. IE icient supply ess processe | of the above which will compat Ser Ser, in conjumanagemess and will reserved. | mentione contribute to vice Suppor nction with ent busines | d problems, of the Army's of System (I these other is process from the system and the system of the | IDE is the Army's solution to remedy all of the above mentioned problems, assist in the completion of incure which will result in an environment which will contribute to the Army's and DoD's logistics goals: i.e., s (CCSS) Modernization and Integrated Combat Service Support System (ICS3); the Defense Integrated the Global Data Management System. IDE, in conjunction with these other initiatives, will revolutionize the stor a more effective and efficient supply management business process for the 21st century. Failure to e supply management business processes and will not take advantage of the technology currently | etion of s goals: i.e., Integrated Ilutionize the Failure to |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? No. This program | RMED? No. This pr | ogram is requ | uired to exe | cute the O | is required to execute the OSD approved/directed program of Vision 2010. | 1/directed p | rogram of | Vision 2010 | | |
| | | | | • | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | Net Present Value | Value of Benefits: | efits: | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment R | atio: | | Payback Period: | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE | CAPITAL INVEST SOFTWARE | VVESTME! | NT JUSTIFI | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended | |
|------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|--|
| | | | (\$ in Thousands) | usands) | | | | | | Budget Estimates | |
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date | Date | | | C. Line No | | Item Description | otion | | | D. Activity Identification | |
| Supply Management | | 24-Feb-98 | | 99-4 | | Commercial Asset Visibility (CAV II) | Asset Vis | ibility (CAV I | | AMC | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Labor | | | | | | | - | 1,618.000 | 1,618.000 1,618.000 | | |
| Travel | | | | | | | _ | 304.000 | 304.000 | | |
| Contracts/Hardware/ | | | | | | | _ | 358.000 | 358.000 | | |
| Program Management | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | က | | 2,280.000 | | |
| Narrative Justification: | | | | | | | | | | | |

- nor a method to correct financial or inventory imbalances. During physical inventories done at nine contractor sites in 1993 and 1994, assets totaling \$35M were located a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Under the Commodity Command Standard System (CCSS), the Inventory Control Points (ICPs) have limited visibility of assets being repaired at commercial contractor sites. There is no automated system to provide accountability reporting, notification of shipment, which had been unaccounted for at the ICPs and assets totaling \$2.6M which were unaccounted for at the contractors' sites.
- b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: CAV II increases asset visibility in CCSS, improves shipping procedures, measures repair turn-around time, and monitors contractor performance
- has directed CAV II implementations be expedited by all Army ICPs. If CAV II is not implemented, the discrepancies in asset balances; the reduction of returned, repaired components repaired under National Maintenance Contracts. Significant mismatches have been discovered between on hand assets and what is reflected in CCSS. DA c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Department of the Army (DA) has recognized a material weakness on the lack of accurate visibility of components; and the cost of new procurement will continue to escalate.
- d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | Total Cost of the Project \$2,280. |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | \$2,280.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: |
| | Benefit to Investment Ratio: |

Exhibit Fund 9d Capital Budget Execution Department of Army Supply Management

(\$ in Millions)

FY 97

PROJECTS ON THE FY 1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

| Explanation | Reprogramming from the Local Area Network | , Reprogrammed to Various Other Equip <\$500K | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Asset/ Deficiency | | (0.000) | (0.000) |
| Current Proj Cost | 0.136 | 0.365 0.360 0.300 0.258 0.064 | 4.933 5.000 15.000 0.489 8.623 3.314 0.760 3.295 5.967 |
| Approved Proj Cost | 0.136 | 0.365 0.360 0.300 0.258 0.064 | 4,933 5,000 15,000 0,489 8,623 3,314 0,760 3,295 5,967 |
| Reprogs | 0.002 | (0.002) | |
| Approved Project Amount | 0.134 | 0.365 0.360 0.300 0.258 0.066 | 4.933 5.000 15.000 0.489 8.623 3.314 0.760 3.295 5.967 |
| Approved Project . FY Title | EQUIPMENT-Replacement FY 97 Various Other Equipment <\$500K | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING FY 97 FY 97 FY 97 Mat'l Mgt ADPE Equip Replacement FY 97 Log&Maint ADPE Equip Replacement FY 97 Mini-Computer System FY 97 CCSS High-Speed Printer FY 97 Local Area Network (LAN) | SOFTWARE FY 97 CCSS Common User Interface FY 97 Single Stock Fund FY 97 Single Stock Fund FY 97 Single Stock Fund FY 97 Conversion of MILSTEP FY 97 Conversion of MILSTEP FY 97 CSS Century Date Change FY 97 CSS Century Date Change FY 97 Integrated Sustainment Maint (ISM) FY 97 |

Exhibit Fund 9d Capital Budget Execution Department of Army Supply Management 16-Sep-96 (\$ in Millions)

FY 98

PROJECTS ON THE FY 1998/1999 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

| e <i>t</i> enc <u>y</u> Explanation | (0.079) Reprogramming covered requirement in FY 97 | (0.636) Descoped Requirement | (0.230) Unit Price Change | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|--|
| Asset/ Deficiency | • | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current Proj Cost | 0.279 | 0.722 | 0.650 | 0.496 | | 5.968 | 4.720 | 0.489 | 9.015 | 2.972 | 1.678 | 5.390 | 0.131 | 1,055 | 1.000 | 16.017 | 1.640 | 11.320 | 1.750 | |
| Approved Proj Cost | 0.358 | 1.358 | 0.880 | 0.496 | | 5.968 | 4.720 | 0.489 | 9.015 | 2.972 | 1.678 | 5.390 | 0.131 | 1.055 | 1.000 | 16.017 | 1.640 | 11.300 | 1.750 | |
| Reprogs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approved Project Amount | 0.358 | 1.358 | 0.880 | 0.496 | | 5.968 | 4.720 | 0.489 | 9.015 | 2.972 | 1.678 | 5.390 | 0.131 | 1.055 | 1.000 | 16.017 | 1.640 | 11.300 | 1.750 | |
| Approved Project Title | EQUIPMENT.Replacement EQUIPMENT.Replacement FY 98 Various Other Equipment <\$500K | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING FY 98 FY 98 FY 98 FY 98 FY 98 FY 98 | stics & Read Ctr Equip Replace | & Readiness Ctr PCs and Printers | ш | Single Stock Fund | Materiel Management System (MMS) | Conversion of MILSTEP | Vision 2010 | CCSS Century Date Change | LOGSA Century Date Change | Integrated Sustainment Maint (ISM) | Remote Site Processing | On Net Transfer Protocol | Lateral Redistribution | Common Operating Environment (COE) | CCSS Defense Logistics Mgt Systems | | | |
| Ξĺς | EQUIPMEN EQU FY 98 Vario | AUTOMATE FY 98 Netw FY 98 FY 98 FY 98 FY 98 | FY 98 Logis | FY 98 Log | SOFTWARE FY 98 | | FY 98 Mate | FY 98 Con | FY 98 Vision | FY 98 CCS | FY 98 LOG | FY 98 Integ | FY 98 Rem | FY 98 On N | | FY 98 Com | FY 98 CCS | | | |

(0.945)

65.292

66.217

66.217

Total

| | Activity Group Capital Investment Summary Depot Maintenance | up Capital Investmen Depot Maintenance | ıt Summar | ٦ | | | | |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|-------|
| | (\$ in t | (\$ in Millions) | | | | | | |
| | | FY 97 | | FY 98 | 8 | FY 99 | 66 | |
| Line No. | Description | Quantity | Total Cost | Quantity | Total Cost | Quantity | Total Cost | st |
| | EQUIPMENT-Replacement | | | | | | | |
| 27 747 | of the second se | • | 0 | | | | | |
| 97-M7 | Engine Test Cell Opgrade Various Other Fouripment (<\$500K) | - 25 | 0.000 | 5 | 4 278 | 7 | 2 601 | - |
| 97-M13 | Bore Drill Mill Machine | } - | 5.371 | 2 | 1 | _ | i | 5 |
| 97-M16 | Horizontal Boring Mill | 2 | 1.400 | | | | | |
| 97-M30 | Xerox 4090 Page Printer | _ | 0.461 | | | | | |
| 98-M1 | Indoor Radar Test Range | | | _ | 0.723 | | | |
| 97-M31 | Page Printing System | ~ | 336.000 | | | | | |
| 97-M40 | XSMN Test Cells Cooling System | _ | 1.576 | | | | | |
| 98-M2 | | | | ~ | 1.400 | | | |
| 98-M-42 | SH60 Transmission Test Stand | | | | 1.309 | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL | 32 | 355.193 | 12 | 7.710 | 7 | 2.601 | 5 |
| | EQUIPMENT- Productivity | | | | | | | |
| 97-M24 | Computer Numerical Control Punch Press | - | 0.640 | | | | | |
| 97-M26 | Electronic Van Refurbishment | ~ | 0.875 | | | | | |
| 98-M15 | Shot Blast Booth | | | _ | 0.750 | | | |
| 98-M4 | Whirltower | , | | τ- | 11.256 | | | |
| 97-M9 | Production Assembly Cell | ~ | 0.459 | | | | | |
| 99-M5 | Horizontal Machining Center | | | | | _ | 0.7 | 0.732 |
| 98-M8 | CNC Automatic Punch Press | | | _ | 0.706 | | | |
| 98-M3 | CNC 5 Axis Mach Ctr | | | | | _ | 0.9 | 0.923 |
| 98-M5 | CNC Horizontal Mch Ctr | | | _ | 0.869 | | | |
| 99-M33 | Auto Storage & Retrieval System | | | | | _ | 2.4 | 2.403 |
| 5M-86 | Automated Storage & Retrieval System | | | _ | 1.066 | ~ | 1.0 | 1.075 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 3 | 1.974 | 5 | 14.647 | 4 | 5.1 | 5.133 |

| | Activity Group Capital Investment Summary | up Capital Investmen | t Summar | ٧ | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | Depot M | (\$ in Millions) | | | | | |
| Line No. | Description | FY 97 Quantity To | 97 Total Cost | FY 98 Quantity To | 98 Total Cost | FY 99 Quantity To | 99 Total Cost |
| 97-M12 | EQUIPMENT- Environmental Fume & Dust Collection | 7 | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | SUBTOTAL EQUIPMENT TOTAL | 1 36 | 357.167 | 17 | 22.357 | 11 | 7.734 |
| 99-M10 | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING Dial Central Office(DCO) Upgrade | | | | | - | 0.950 |
| 97-M21 97-M23 | Fiber Optic LAN Depot Maint. Standard System (DMSS) Miscellandous ADDE | | 4.140 | c | 0 500 | | |
| 97-M28 97-M28 97-M 29 | Encrypted Trunk Radio Network Laser Digitizing System | - ~ ~ | 1.544 | 1 |))) | | |
| 98-M-41 | Fiber Optic LAN (RRAD) ADP TOTAL | 5 | 7.456 | 2 | 1.100 | _ | 0.950 |
| 98-M30 97-M22 | MINOR CONSTRUCTION Ammo Renovation Autoclave Bidg. Minor Construction | 30 | 11.300 | - 5 | 0.994 | 15 | 4.557 |
| *************************************** | MINOR CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | 30 | 11.300 | 13 | 4.022 | 15 | 4.557 |
| 97-M34 | SOFTWARE SDS Defense Log. Mgmt. Sys. (DLMS) | ~ | 0.671 | | | ~ | 1.262 |
| 97-M32 | SDS Common Operating Environment (COE) | | 6.200 | o - | 10.000 | | 3.980 |
| 98-M-43 99-M-44 | Standard Depot System/ MRP DM Interfaces | - | | | 4.260 | | 10.490 |
| | SOFTWARE TOTAL | 3 | 7.908 | က | 16.160 | 3 | 20.014 |
| | Depot Maintenance | 74 | 383.830 | 35 | 43.639 | 30 | 33.255 |

| | DEPOT N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPI | CE CAPITA | L INVEST | MENT JUST | ITAL INVESTIMENT JUSTIFICATION | | | | A. Budaet Submission |
|------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|----------------------------|
| | | E | EQUIPMENT- | NT- Replacement | ent | | | | | FY 1999 Amended |
| | | | (\$ in Thousands) | usands) | | | | | | Budget Estimates |
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date | Date | | | C. Line No | | Item Description | tion | | | D. Activity Identification |
| Depot Maintenance | | 24-Feb-98 | | 97-M7 | | Various Other Equipment (<\$500K) | er Equipme | ent (<\$500k | | All Depots |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Various Other Eqmt (<\$500K) | 25 | 1 | 391.396 9,784.900 | 10 | 427.800 | 427.800 4,278.000 | 7 | 371.500 | 371.500 2,600.500 | |
| TOTAL | 25 | | 9,784.900 | 10 | | 4,278.000 | 2 | | 2,600.500 | |
| Norrotino histification: | | | | | | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

addition of production and maintenance capability, and will improve compliance with regulatory requirements. Equipment supports organic maintenance, overhaul, This category represents various modernization/replacement equipment costing <\$500K which will improve depot efficiency through replacement, modification, or rebuild, conversion, renovation, modification and repair programs.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

requirements for environmental hazardous waste reduction or regulatory agency mandated requirements. This new equipment increases reliability and productivity, thus Acquisition of this equipment improves productivity, increases capacity which cannot be met with current equipment, replaces unsafe or unusable assets, and includes enabling the depots to be more competitive.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

Equipment support capability will not provide for mission needs. Specific impacts include:

Failure to meet present and future workload requirements Decreased accuracy and dependability Inability to meet production schedules Increased man-hour expenditures Reduced mission capability Excessive downtime

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| • • |
|--------|
| ഗ |
| × |
| ₩. |
| О |
| ř |
| _ |
| ۹ |
| ပ |
| 茥 |
| \Box |
| 7 |
| = |
| |
| O |
| Ė |
| ≥ |
| |
| U |
| 7 |
| = |
| U |
| Ö |
| ŭ |
| |

Total Cost of the Project \$16,663.4K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

₹ Ž

Ratio: N/A

Payback Period:

₹

| | DEPOT N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT- Replacement (\$ in Thousands) | NCE CAPITAL INVESTMEN EQUIPMENT- Replacement (\$ in Thousands) | PITAL INVEST ENT- Replacen n Thousands) | MENT JUST lent | IFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-M1 | | Item Description Indoor Radar Test Range | otion ir Test Rar | lge | | D. Activity Identification Tobyhanna Army Depot | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | (| | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Cost Quantity | Unit Cost | မျ | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Indoor Radar Test Range | | | | ~ | 723.000 | 723.000 | | | | | |
| IP93090 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | - | | 723.000 | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: | G EQUIPMEI | NT AND SHC | ORTCOMING | ;s: | | | | | | | |
| The existing radar range has the capability to test radar antenna parameters that are limited to a small group of radar, antenna systems. A modernized capability is required to test the additional radar parameters of the Advanced Quick Look (AQL). The AQL was designated as the lifetime contractor logistics support system. The contractor has lost the ability to test AQL. | he capability radar parame o test AQL. | to test radar sters of the Ac | antenna par dvanced Qui | ameters th | lat are limite ∖QL). The A | d to a small o | group of re ignated as | idar, antenn the lifetime | a systems. A contractor Ic | ia parameters that are limited to a small group of radar antenna systems. A modernized capability is od Quick Look (AQL). The AQL was designated as the lifetime contractor logistics support system. The | |
| b ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: | • | | | | | | | | | | |

It will improve turn-around time, reduce downtime, and support improvements for fielded radar/surveillance systems. It will also support an increase in the number of organic programs. In addition, operating costs to perform this testing will be reduced by more than 50% and safety will be improved. Efficiency and productivity will increase with year-round indoor testing. Interference with local air traffic will be eliminated.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

hindered. Many mission essential operations would be limited to "fair weather" operations. The prime contractor has lost the ability to test the AQL. Without the proposed Modernization and rapid deployment abilities would be adversely impacted. The efficient integration of base closures and mission realignment functions would be funding support, the AQL will be lost and readiness will be compromised.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----|-----------------|------------|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$723.0K Net Pr | Net Present Value of Benefits: | \$275.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | 1.1 | Payback Period: | 7.65 years |

| | DEPOT N | MAINTENAN E(| DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT- Replacement (\$ in Thousands) | L INVEST Replacen usands) | MENT JUST | TFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-M2 | | Item Description Vertical Turret Lathe | otion et Lathe | | | D. Activity Identification Anniston Army Depot | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Vertical Turret Lathe | | | | - | 1,400.000 | 1,400.000 1,400.000 | | | | | |
| H398030 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | 1,400.000 | | | | | |
| Marrative Institication: | | | | | | | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

The Machining Division fabricates a wide variety of parts for in-house use during maintenance, modification and upgrade of tracked vehicles. This machine is nearly 15 years old and is becoming increasingly unreliable due to normal wear and tear. Downtime and the inability to perform precision work are increasing. The machine tool controls are functionally obsolete.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

table, an automatic tool changer, and grinding and live tooling capabilities. Needed parts will be available to support mission workload and will be produced in a cost The new machine will provide a productivity increase of 50%. This is due to improved mechanical condition, higher horsepower, and added features such as a larger effective manner.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

downtime. Mechanical wear will limit this machine for secondary nonprecision use, will increase the workload on other machines, will contribute to schedule delays Costs for the existing machine will increase as its condition continues to deteriorate. Increasingly unavailable repair parts and service will add to the amount of resulting from lack of required parts, and will increase work in process.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----------------|------------|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$1,400.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | 1.0 | Payback Period: | 9.04 years |
| | | | | | |

| | DEPOT | AAINTENAN E | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT- Replacement (\$ in Thousands) | L INVEST Replacen ousands) | MENT JUS | IIFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-M40 | | Item Description XSMN Test Cells Cooling System | otion Cells Cool | ing System | | D. Activity Identification Corpus Christi Army Depot | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| XSMN Tests Cells Cooling Sy | _ | 1,575.500 | 1 1,575.500 1,575.500 | | | | | | | | |
| J398008 TOTAL | ~ | | 1,575.500 | | | | | | | | |
| Norrotive histification: | | | | | | | | | | | Γ |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

CCAD is required to test all transmission components prior to shipping to the customer. During test, heat is transferred to lubricating oil, which in turn is transferred to

in the cooling system. This water is then pumped thru a cooling tower to reduce water temperatures from 125 degrees F down to 85 degrees F. The test facility cannot operate without a cooling tower to remove excess heat generated during transmission testing. CCAD maintains 7 cooling towers to support 18 test cells. All of the

cooling towers are in poor condition and must be replaced. Major cracks and leaks are clearly visible; structural steel foundations are rusting severely

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

CCAD will consolidate all of the transmission test cell cooling systems into one large, single system with multiple loops serving the 18 transmission test cells. This new system will be a low maintenance, energy efficient system featuring state-of-the-art tower (composite materials) and the latest water treatment design. This system will eliminate the need to replace the seven individual cooling towers estimated at \$866K. This system will also eliminate annual operating cost of \$114K per year

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

New water treatment chemical control equipment are scheduled for installation on each cooling tower in FY98. CCAD will continue to experience large operating costs CCAD will continue to operate the seven existing cooling towers. Due to the advanced state of deterioration, all seven cooling towers are scheduled for replacement. which includes continuous testing of water samples, operating pumps and fan motors, replacing chemicals and water lost through distribution piping, and performing preventive maintenance.

ECONOMIC INDICATORS:

Payback Period: 7 Benefit to Investment Ratio: \$164.0K \$1,575.5K Net Present Value of Benefits: Total Cost of the Project

6.92 years

| B. Component, Activity Group. Date 24-Feb-98 99-M-42 SH60 transmission Test Stand Corpus Christil Army Depot Maintenance Torpus Christil Army Depot Maintenance TOTAL T | | DEPOT M | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CA EQUIPM (\$ i | NCE CAPITAI EQUIPMENT- (\$ in Tho | NITAL INVESTMEN IENT- Replacement n Thousands) | MENT JUST ent | PITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION ENT- Replacement Thousands) | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | по |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Element of Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Guantity Unit Cost Guantity Unit Cost Guantity Unit Cost Guantity Unit Cost Guantity Guantit | B. Component, Activity Group, I Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-M-42 | | Item Descrip SH60 transn | otion nission Tes | it Stand | | D. Activity Identifica Corpus Christi Arm | tion / Depot |
| SH60 Transmission Test Stand TOTAL TOTAL A CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: CCAD must obtain the additional test stands in order to meet workload. Currently, CCAD can only test 68 main transmissions per year during the day shift (due telectrical load limits) and 111 main transmissions per year on the night shift. b. ANTCIPATED BENEFITS: Based on OPS 29, workload projection for FY98 is 412 transmissions (326 UH60, 28 US60L, 58 SH60). c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Without the transmission test stand, 50% of the organic and 33% of total test capacity for all H60 platforms to include Army, Navy, and Air Force will be lost if thes stands are not returned to operational status. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. EA exemption - directed by FY93 BRAC decision to transition SH60 overhaul and repair mission to CCAD. ECONOMIC INDICATORS: NA Payback Period: NA Payback Period: NA Payback Period: NA Payback Period: NA | Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: CCAD must obtain the additional test stands in order to meet workload. Currently, CCAD can only test 68 main transmissions per year on the night shift. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Based on OPS 29, workload projection for FY98 is 412 transmissions (326 UH60, 28 US60L, 58 SH60). c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Without the transmission test stand, 50% of the organic and 33% of total test capacity for all H60 platforms to include Army, Navy, and Air Force will be lost if thes stands are not returned to operational status. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. EA exemption - directed by FY93 BRAC decision to transition SH60 overhaul and repair mission to CCAD. ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project NA Net Present Value of Benefits: NA Benefit to Investment Ratio: NA Payback Period: N | SH60 Transmission Test Stand TOTAL | <u> </u> | | | . — — — | 1,308.869 | 1,308.869 | | | | | |
| b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Based on OPS 29, workload projection for FY98 is 412 transmissions (326 UH60, 28 US60L, 58 SH60). c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Without the transmission test stand, 50% of the organic and 33% of total test capacity for all H60 platforms to include Army, Navy, and Air Force will be lost if thes stands are not returned to operational status. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. EA exemption - directed by FY93 BRAC decision to transition SH60 overhaul and repair mission to CCAD. ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project NA Net Present Value of Benefits: NA Benefit to Investment Ratio: NA Payback Period: N. | Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTINC CCAD must obtain the addition electrical load limits) and 111 n | S EQUIPMEN nal test stanc nain transmis | IT AND SHC Is in order to | ORTCOMING meet worklo | SS: nad. Curre tht shift. | ntly, CCAD | can only tes | t 68 main t | ransmissio | ns per year o | during the day shift (| due to |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Without the transmission test stand, 50% of the organic and 33% of total test capacity for all H60 platforms to include Army, Navy, and Air Force will be lost if these stands are not returned to operational status. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. EA exemption - directed by FY93 BRAC decision to transition SH60 overhaul and repair mission to CCAD. ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project NA Net Present Value of Benefits: NA Benefit to Investment Ratio: NA Payback Period: N. | b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Based on OPS 29, workload pr | : rojection for F | -798 is 412 t | iransmission | s (326 UH | 60, 28 US6 | 0L, 58 SH60 | ~ | | | | |
| SIS PERFORMED? Yes. I by FY93 BRAC decision to transition SH60 overhaul and repair mission to CCAD. RS: NA Net Present Value of Benefits: NA Benefit to Investment Ratio: NA Payback Period: | c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROP Without the transmission test s stands are not returned to open | OSED CAPI stand, 50% of rational statu | FAL INVEST the organic s. | MENT: and 33% of | total test c | apacity for a | all H60 platfo | rms to incli | ude Army, I | Navy, and Ai | ir Force will be lost if | these test |
| RS: NA Net Present Value of Benefits: NA Benefit to Investment Ratio: NA Payback Period: | d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PF EA exemption - directed by FY | E RFORMED ; '93 BRAC de | Yes. cision to tran | sition SH60 | overhaul इ | ınd repair m | ission to CC | AD. | | | | |
| RS: NA Net Present Value of Benefits: NA Benefit to Investment Ratio: NA Payback Period: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | NA A | Net Present | | | NA A | Benefit to In | vestment F | Ratio: | NA A | Payback Period: | Ϋ́Z |

| | DEPOT N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT-Productivity (\$ in Thousands) | NCE CAPITAL INVESTME EQUIPMENT-Productivity (\$ in Thousands) | NTAL INVESTRENT-Productiv | MENT JUST | IFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-M15 | | Item Description Shot Blast Booth | ooth | | | D. Activity Identification Sierra Army Depot | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Shot Blast Booth | | | | - | 750.000 | 750.000 | | | | | Π |
| JD98011 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | - | | 750.000 | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Currently SIAD is shot blasting military vans in small booths and other inappropriately sized work areas. The number of vans to be blasted and repainted is increasing despite facility limitations. The 40 foot shot blast booth currently in operation is capable of completing three international standard organization (ISO) containers per day. | S EQUIPME military van: 40 foot shot | NT AND SHC s in small bod blast booth | ORTCOMING oths and oth currently in o | 3S: er inapprop | oriately sized | d work areas | . The nurr hree intern | iber of vans | to be blaste dard organiz | d and repainted is increasing | <u>.</u> |
| ANTION OF THE STREET | | | | | | | | | | | |

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

The additional booth will be used for new mission requirements as well as existing requirements and will be located in building 363. This facility will be used to refurbish ISO containers. The new 60 foot booth will provide capacity to shot blast up to 35 ISO containers per day. More containers could be done per day depending on condition and scope of work. Army owns approximately 14,000 ISO containers. The installation and scope of work. Army owns approximately 14,000 ISO containers. This new drive-through shot blast booth is needed to support the Inland Petroleum Distribution System (IPDS), Water Support System (WSS) and Force Provider (FP). Navy and Marines as well.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:
If the booth is not purchased, future operations will be limited by existing capacity. Work area inefficiencies are already an issue based on Army workload levels.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| Total Cost of the Project \$750.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: \$831.0K Benefit to Investment Ratio: 2.2 Payback Period: | ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----|-----------------|------------|
| | Total Cost of the Project | \$750.0K | Net Present Value of Benefits: | \$831.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | 2.2 | Payback Period: | 4.83 years |

| | DEPOT N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAF | CE CAPITAI | - INVEST | PITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION | IFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission | |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------------------------|---|
| ± | | Ш | EQUIPMENT-Productivity | Productiv | /ity | | | | • | FY 1999 Amended | |
| | | | (\$ in Thousands) | usands) | • | | | | | Budget Estimates | |
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date | Jate | | | C. Line No | | Item Description | tion | | | D. Activity Identification | Γ |
| Depot Maintenance | | 24-Feb-98 | | 98-M4 | | Whirltower | | | | Corpus Christi Army Depot | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total (| | Quantity | Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | 7 |
| Whirltower | | | | 1 | 1 11,256.000 11,256.000 | 11,256.000 | | | | | |
| 000000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 000000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | 1 | | 11,256.000 | | | | - | |
| Name tire Instiffection. | | | | | | | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

Additional workload is transferring from Navy in FY 97 for 320 SH60 (Seahawk) blades and for 245 CH47D (Chinook) fore and aft blades. Testing CH47D fore and aft blades requires changeover/recalibration of the rotor heads. Under the current work schedule of 112 hours/week, the maximum production capacity is expected to be only 1,197 blades per year when 1,465 blades per year is the requirement. CCAD needs additional production capacity to meet this increased workload demand.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

New production capacity will allow CCAD to complete authorized workload for dynamic testing of UH60 Blackhawk, SH60 Seahawk and CH47D Chinook blades.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:
The installation cannot accomplish 100% of the annual dynamic testing workload as required by DA/DOD. Currently there is no provision to provide for unscheduled downtime due to major repairs, unscheduled maintenance, or catastrophic failure.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project

\$11,256.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

\$17,000.0K Benefit to Investment Ratio:

Payback Period:

٧

ΑX

| 90 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT-Productivity (\$ in Thousands) | NCE CAPITAL INVES EQUIPMENT-Product (\$ in Thousands) | PITAL INVESTME ENT-Productivity Thousands) | MENT JUST | TIFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | LC. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 99-M5 | | Item Description Horizontal Machining Center | otion Iachining C | enter | | D. Activity Identification Tobyhanna Army Depot | ion spot |
| Element of Cost Qua | FY 97 Quantity Unit Cost | t Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost Quantity | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Horizontal Mach Center | | | | | | - | 732.000 | 732.000 | | |
| IP99007 | | - <u> </u> | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | _ | | 732.000 | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The current horizontal milling center contributes to productivity losses. Since 1958, this machine has operated an average of 7 hours per day. It has lost its capability to consistently machine parts to the close tolerances needed. This inability is causing excessive setup times, scrap and waste. | JIPMENT AND SI contributes to pro | HORTCOMINGS: oductivity losses. eded. This inabilit | sS: s. Since 1 | 958, this ma | achine has o ive setup tim | perated an les, scrap a | average of | 7 hours per | day. It has lost its ca | spability to |
| b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Computer Numerically Controlled (CNC) machine tools with Digreater productivity rates and the ability to maintain/expand cor | :NC) machine too ility to maintain/e | ols with Distribu xpand core wo | stributed Nume e workload. | rical Contro | l (DNC) are t | secoming o | ommonplac | e in industry | istributed Numerical Control (DNC) are becoming commonplace in industry. Their shorter lead times mean e workload. | times mean |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Longer lead times contribute to rate increases and limit the abil | CAPITAL INVES increases and lim | STMENT: nit the ability to | meet "just | -in-time" pro | duction requ | irements. | Failure to in | plement wil | ity to meet "just-in-time" production requirements. Failure to implement will compromise readiness. | ess. |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | RMED? Yes. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project \$732.0K | 0K Net Present Value | ent Value of Ber | of Benefits: | \$36.9K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment F | tatio: | | Payback Period: | 9.37 years |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| | DEPOT | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAI EQUIPM (\$ in | NCE CAPITAL INVESTMEI EQUIPMENT-Productivity (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL INVESTI IIPMENT-Productiv (\$ in Thousands) | PITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION ENT-Productivity Thousands) | TFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date | Date | | | C. Line No | | Item Description | tion | | | D. Activity Identification | |
| Depot Maintenance | | 24-Feb-98 | | 98-M8 | | CNC Automatic Punch Press | atic Punch | Press | | Tobyhanna Army Depot | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | Unit Cost | Sost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| CNC Auto Punch Press | | | | 1 | 706.000 | 706.000 | | | | | |
| IP98003 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | _ | | 706.000 | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

operates 24 hours per day, 7 days a week, this machine is approaching an end to its economic life and will require an extensive and costly overhaul. Without additional not have cutting capabilities to prepare and perform the work. The manufacturing process is very time consuming and labor intensive due to excessive production time The current equipment performs stamping operations on metal sheets used in manufacturing electronic and communications components. The current machine does for set-up, piece loading, metal cutting and shearing, and handling of sheets. The control system also needs updating. Because the machine is 12 years old and capability, the depot cannot meet present production schedules.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

the organic base. An estimated average productivity increase of 46% will be realized. This increase is based on an estimated productivity increase of 67% for table travel The proposed CNC machine, with air plasma cutter, and precise punching and cutting procedures, provides the capability to reduce the backlog of work and to maintain operations and a portion of the nibbling punch operations; and 25% for other operations. The resulting cost savings (approximately \$66K annually) will mean a lower price to the customer.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

Without this machine, turn-around times will not be reduced and material losses will continue at a higher rate than necessary. The depot will continue to experience slippages and will not meet projected workload increases. Rapid deployment capability would be jeopardized which compromises readiness.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| i | |
|---|-----|
| ı | Ŝ |
| | ጅ |
| | О |
| | Ĕ |
| | ⋖ |
| | 1 |
| | ⋇ |
| | 브 |
| | 롣 |
| | Ξ |
| | 으 |
| | 5 |
| | ᅒ |
| | ¥ |
| | £ |
| | ñ |
| | ပ္သ |
| | ш |

Total Cost of the Project

\$706.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

\$337.7K

1.7 Payback Period:

8.1 years

| | DEPOT I | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT-Productivity (\$ in Thousands) | NCE CAPITAL INVES EQUIPMENT-Produc((\$ in Thousands) | PITAL INVESTME IENT-Productivity Thousands) | IENT JUST | FICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | uc |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance |)ate | 24-Feb-98 | <u> </u> | C. Line No 98-M5 | | Item Description CNC Horizontal Mch Ctr | tion Ital Mch Ct | | | D. Activity IdentificationAnniston Army Depot | ion |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| CNC Horizontal Mch Ctr | | | | - | 869.000 | 869.000 | | | | | |
| H398027 | | | | ······································ | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | 1 | | 869.000 | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: A. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: This machine fabricates a wide variety of parts for in-house use during maintenance, modification, and upgrade of tracked vehicles. A 3 axis horizontal machining center was bought in 1983, but due to normal wear and tear is becoming increasingly unreliable in terms of downtime and inability to do high precision work required. In addition, the machine tool controls are functionally obsolete. | tequipmeis variety of property of the | NT AND SHO arts for in-hour and tear is t | RTCOMING Ise use durin Decoming inc ete. | S: g maintena reasingly u | ance, modifi ınreliable in | cation, and u | upgrade of wntime anc | tracked vel I inability to | nicles. A 3 a do high pre | ixis horizontal machi cision work required. | ning center In |
| b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This equipment will increase productivity by 25% due to a higher horsepower motor. Uptime will be higher due to easy availability of repair parts and vendor service. Parts required to support mission workload which are otherwise unobtainable, will now be available when needed and will be more cost effective to produce. | oductivity by on workload | / 25% due to which are oth | a higher hors nerwise unob | sepower m itainable, v | otor. Uptim vill now be a | e will be high vailable whe | ner due to | easy availa and will be | bility of repair more cost ef | ir parts and vendor s ffective to produce. | ervice. |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Costs for the existing machine will increase as its condition continues to deteriorate. | SED CAPIT | ral investr | MENT: ion continues | to deterio | rate. | | | | | : | |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | RFORMED | ? Yes. | | | | | | | - | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$869.0K | Net Present Value | Value of Ber | of Benefits: | \$562.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment F | ≀atio: | 1.7 | Payback Period: | 6.02 years |

| | DEPOT N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CA EQUIPN (\$ i | NCE CAPITAL INVESTME EQUIPMENT-Productivity (\$ in Thousands) | PITAL INVEST MENT-Producti n Thousands) | MENT JUS' | PITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION IENT-Productivity n Thousands) | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | sion |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance |)ate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 99-M33 | | Item Description Auto Storage & Retrieval System | otion e & Retriev | /al System | | D. Activity Identification Corpus Christi Army Depot | ation ny Depot |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Auto Storage & Retrieval Sys | | | | | | | | 2,403.000 | 2,403.000 | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Automated Storage & Retrieval System (ASRS) began implementation in 1987 and was brought on-line in 1990. ASRS is used to store and retrieve material for work in Automated Storage & Retrieval System (ASRS) began implementation in 1987 and was brought on-line in 1990. ASRS is used to store and retrieve material for work in process in support of replacement guided vehicles (AGVs). In support proposes the replacement of the obsolete computer system (software, hardware, servers), overhauling of the age stacker systems (mechanical and electrical), and purchasing of AGV's and pallet trucks. Current AGV's are sensitive to films and substances such as water and oil. Replacement with the newer model electrical), and purchasing of AGV's and pallet trucks. Current AGV's are sensitive to films and substances such as water and oil. Replacement with the newer model AGV's will compensate for problems caused by inclement weather or negligence. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: By replacing the obsolete computer system, overhauling the age stacker system, and purchasing AGV's and pallet trucks, the project will ensure the continued storage, retrieval, and delivery of critical mission material in compliance with present and future production requirements. There will be faster cycle times, and work order response time will be reduced by 50%. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Failure to provide this modernization will limit the full capability of the current system as well as adversely affect any future aircraft programs. This will have an increasingly negative impact on production schedules and will result in the inability to comply with current and future workload requirements. d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | EQUIPMEN System (AS System (AS g aircraft sys the replacer GV's and pal lems causec lems causec wy 50%. SED CAPIT ation will lim production s | IT AND SHC RS) began is stems and o nent of the of the of the of the other trucks. (If by incleme erial in complexity the full cap schedules a schedules a Yes. | DRTCOMING mplementativerhaul work obsolete com current AGV nt weather o pliance with pliance with possibility of the | is: ASRS of puter syst sare sens regligency resent an oursent an oursent system in the inak | and was br onsists of m em (softwar itive to films se. d future pro d future pro stem as we sility to comp | with present and future production requirements. There will be faster cycle trucks, the project will en with present and pallet trucks, the project will en with present as well as adversely affect any future aircraft programs esult in the inability to comply with current and future ments. | s in 1990. load, over servers), oces such irements. sint and futu | ASRS is ussize storage overhauling as water an trucks, the There will but ure airre workloac | ed to store a lareas, and of the age si d oil. Replac project will e faster cycle craft progral | ININGS: I work. ASRS consists of mini-load, unit-load, oversize storage areas, and automated guided vehicles be computer system (software, hardware, servers), overhauling of the age stacker systems (mechanical an AGV's are sensitive to films and substances such as water and oil. Replacement with the newer model ther or negligence. Stacker system, and purchasing AGV's and pallet trucks, the project will ensure the continued storage, with present and future production requirements. There will be faster cycle times, and work order of the current system as well as adversely affect any future aircraft programs. This will have an result in the inability to comply with current and future workload requirements. | of for work in vehicles echanical and wer model ed storage, order an |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$2,403.0K Net Present Value | Net Present | t Value of Be | of Benefits: | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment F | Ratio: | 0.4 | Payback Period: | N/A |

| | DEPOT N | 1AINTENAN E | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT-Productivity | L INVEST Productiv | MENT JUS | TIFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended | |
|------------------------------------|----------|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|---|
| | | | (\$ in Thousands) | usands) | | | | | | Budget Estimates | |
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date | Date | | | C. Line No | | Item Description | tion | | | D. Activity Identification | I |
| Depot Maintenance | | 24-Feb-98 | | 98-M7 | | Automated Storage & Retrieval System | Storage & | Retrieval Sy | stem | Tobyhanna Army Depot | |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Auto Storage & Retrieval Sys | | | | 4- | 1,065.900 | 1,065.900 1,065.900 | - | 1,075.000 | 1,075.000 1,075.000 | | |
| IP97008/IP00014 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | _ | | 1,065.900 | _ | | 1,075.000 | | |
| Alementine Institlement | | | | | | | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

ASRS is used to store and retrieve large bulky pieces of material in support of fabrication and overhaul work. The system consists of man-aboard life vehicles (MALVs), controllers. Replacement of the two obsolete and failing MALVs is proposed under a separate FY97 project (IP97018). This project is also in conjunction with the FY99 automated guided vehicles (AGVs), and mini-load controllers. This project proposes the overhaul of the obsolete AGV fleet and replacement of the obsolete mini-load project (IP00014) for the computer control replacement.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

with present and future production requirements. There will be faster cycle times, and work order/customer response time will be reduced from 10 days to 8 hours. The By overhauling and replacing obsolete AGVs and controllers, the project will ensure the continued storage, retrieval and delivery of critical mission material in concert EA projected savings per year is \$353K, resulting from an estimated savings in direct labor charges of 90%

 c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:
 The inability of the current ASRS to fill critical customer requirements will continue to cause delays in production scheduling and deliveries. These delays will ultimately drive up costs.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| | 3.79 years |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | Payback Period: |
| | 3.0 |
| | : \$2,010.0K Benefit to Investment Ratio: |
| | \$2,010.0K |
| | \$2,140.9K Net Present Value of Benefits: |
| is: | \$2 |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | Total Cost of the Project |

| Ľ. | ou | | | | | | e Digital | future, a | | N/A |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | D. Activity Identification Sierra Army Depot | | | | | | CO Integrated Servio | t acquired in the near | | Payback Period: |
| | | Total Cost | 950.000 | | 950.000 | | , make the D | pgrade is no | | N/A |
| | Item Description Dial Central Office(DCO) Upgrade | FY 99 Unit Cost | 950.000 | | | 1988. | importantly, | tury. If an u | | Ratio: |
| Z | ription al Office(DC | t Quantity | - | | | OMINGS: The GTD5-MV currently in use was installed in 1988. | e, and most | he 21st ceni | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: |
| TIFICATIO | Item Description Dial Central Offic | Total Cost | | | | in use was i | s and servic | ments into t | | Benefit to |
| TMENT JUS SESSING | 9 | FY 98 Unit Cost | | | | IV currently | f repair parts | ions require | | \$659.0K |
| APITAL INVEST ED DATA PROC In Thousands) | C. Line No 99-M10 | t Quantity | | | | OMINGS: s. The GTD5-M | allability of | ommunicat JM. | | of Benefits: |
| ENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT J AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | | Total Cost | | - | | | ssure the av | MENT: meet teleco ost of \$8-10 | | t Value of B |
| DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | 24-Feb-98 | FY 97 Unit Cost | | | | NT AND SHO Switches is | the DCO, as | AL INVEST of be able to estimated c | Yes. | Net Present Value |
| DEPOT N | Jate | Quantity | | | | EQUIPMER | əfficiency of | SED CAPIT SIAD will no hased at an | RFORMED | \$950.0K |
| | B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Element of Cost | Dial Central Office Upgrade | JD00002 | TOTAL | Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCC The life cycle of telecommunications digital switches is 8 years | b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This upgrade will enhance the efficiency of the DCO, assure the availability of repair parts and service, and most importantly, make the DCO Integrated Service Digital Network (ISDN) compatible. | c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: If the software is not upgraded, SIAD will not be able to meet telecommunications requirements into the 21st century. If an upgrade is not acquired in the near future, a new switch will have to be purchased at an estimated cost of \$8-10M. | d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project |

| | DEPOT | MAINTENAR | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | CAPITAL INVESTI TED DATA PROCI (\$ in Thousands) | MENT JUST ESSING | IFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Jate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-M27 | : | Item Description Miscellaneous ADPE | otion us ADPE | | | D. Activity Identification Various Depots |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | FY 97 FY 99 FY 98 Ouantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Miscellaneous ADPE | | | | 2 | 250.000 | 500.000 | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | 7 | | 500.000 | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: These miscellaneous information management projects replace old/obs supports only voice and low speed data. | EQUIPME on manager sed data. | .NT AND SH ment projects | ORTCOMINC s replace old/ | 3S: obsolete a | nd unrepara | ble equipme | nt with cur | rent state-o | f-the-art equi | IINGS: old/obsolete and unreparable equipment with current state-of-the-art equipment. The current equipment |

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

FY97 - Engineering Personal Computer, Computer Aided Design and Drafting (CADD) - Moves the engineering design capability to the user desktop which enhances drafting and design functions, allows Computer Assisted Engineering (CAE) by all engineers, and provides standardization throughout the engineering community for sharing of information without having to continuously re-enter technical information.

FY98 - Digital Link Cable/End User Cable - Replaces obsolete equipment which will improve processing speeds, increase productivity, and reduce maintenance costs.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Systems will continue to be unreliable. Downtime and administrative costs will increase.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

ECONOMIC INDICATORS:

Total Cost of the Project

Net Present Value of Benefits:

\$500.0K

₹

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

Payback Period: ٨

٨

| | DEPOT A | ADINTENAN AUTO | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | ITAL INVESTMENT JU DATA PROCESSING Thousands) | MENT JUST ESSING | TEICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-M-41 | | Item Description Fiber Optic LAN | fion AN | | | D. Activity Identification Red River Army Depot | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Fiber Optic Lan (Phase II & III() TOTAL | | | | 4 / | 000.009 | 000.000 | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Current information handling needs cannot be met by using the obsolete broadband LAN which has reached the end of its economic life. RRAD is involved in the migration from dumb terminals to personal computers and upgrading the telecommunications infrastructure. | S EQUIPME eeds cannot to personal | NT AND SH be met by u computers a | ORTCOMINGS: sing the obsolet | 5S: olete broad y the telec | dband LAN v ommunicatic | MINGS: obsolete broadband LAN which has reacher ading the telecommunications infrastructure. | ached the ture. | end of its ec | conomic life. | RRAD is involved in the | |
| b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: RRAD will be able to meet the DoD mandated implementation of Army Workload Performance System (AWPS). RRAD personnel will be able to access all the systems necessary to complete their mission and workload. | DoD manda ssion and w | ted impleme orkload. | ntation of Arr | ny Worklo | ad Performa | ınce System | (AWPS). | RRAD pers | onnel will be | able to access all the syst | sme |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: The existing LAN is no longer supported by the manufacturer (installed in the mid 80's). It cannot be upgraded or modified to be Joint Computer Aided Acquisition and Logistics Support (JCALS) Compliant. RRAD will not be able to transfer data between work groups. | SED CAPI supported by npliant. RR | FAL INVEST the manufa AD will not b | 'MENT: icturer (instal e able to trar | led in the r isfer data l | nid 80's). It between woi | cannot be u _l 'k groups. | ograded oi | . modified to | be Joint Co | mputer Aided Acquisition a | pu |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | ERFORMED | ? Yes. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | ·. | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$600.0K | Net Present | Net Present Value of Benefits: | nefits: | \$2,000.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment F | Ratio: | 2.4 | Payback Period: | 4.1 |

| | DEPOT N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION MINOR CONSTRUCTION (\$ in Thousands) | ICE CAPITA MINOR CON (\$ in Tho | CAPITAL INVESTME OR CONSTRUCTION (\$ in Thousands) | MENT JUS ON | TIFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-M30 | o | Item Description Ammo Renovation | ition vation Aut | Item Description Ammo Renovation Autoclave Bldg. | | D. Activity Identification Blue Grass Army Depot |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | FY 97 FY 98 FY 99 FY 99 Unit Cost Total Cost Total Cost Total Cost Total Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Ammo Ren. Autoclave Bldg. | | | | | 994.000 | 994.000 | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | 1 | | 994.000 | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

allowing it to drop into the washout tank. This process recovers unusable explosive product and creates large amounts of water contaminated with TNT -- a hazardous waste. Industrial hygiene exposure limits which were implemented in May 97 require no more than 0.1 milligrams per cubic meter(mg/ml) of contamination versus the The current washout facility uses hot water to erode explosives through the nose of projectiles. The process involves loading the items onto a rack, open end down, then onto a washout tank with the openings positioned over jet nozzles. These nozzles direct 180 degree water at 100 PSI into the cavity, eroding the explosive and previous standard of 0.5 mg/ml. The revised standard cannot be met without extensive upgrades and investment in the existing explosive washout system

requirement. In addition, the autoclave system would reduce overall TNT exposure levels for personnel working with TNT, use much less water, and be more conducive b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:
The proposed autoclave system would employ new, state of the art technology to generate less than 0.1 mg/ml of hazardous waste and meet the new stricter to the environment.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

BGAD must continue to process ammo recovery through the current washout facility. Safety standards will not be met, and workers will be exposed to higher levels of hazardous substances than allowed by law. This will result in the eventual closure of the washout facility and impairment of mission

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Exempt. This project meets the requirements for life-threatening, health-threatening, or safety-threatening projects contained in Sec. 2811, PL104-106 ۲

Payback Period:

٨

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | - | i. | |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|---|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$994.0K | Net Present Value of Benefits: | Χ |

| | M TOGEO | AINTENAN | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CADITAL INVESTMENT HISTIFICATION | INVEST | MENT HIS | HEICATION | | | | A Budget Submission | icia |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | MINOR CON | CONSTRUCTION | NO NO | | | | | FY 1999 Amended | ס |
| | | | | Thousands) | | | | | | Budget Estimates | ı |
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-M22 | | Item Description Minor Construction | ntion ruction | | | D. Activity Identification All Depots | ation |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Minor Construction TOTAL | 30 | 376.665 | 376.665 11,299.950 11.299.950 | . 12 | 252.340 | 3,028.080 | 5 5 | 303.800 | 4,557.000 | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Minor Construction projects address several key health, environmental and safety issues. Generally, projects upgrade fire protection, eliminate portable heaters, eliminate ammo storage areas that are in violation of safety codes, reduce employee cadmium and TNT exposure, increase railroad safety, stop seepage of hazardous waste into the ground, reduce energy consumption, and reduce operating costs. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Projects permit compliance with safety standards, eliminate workload and production deficiencies, reduce energy consumption and operating costs, and address. | EQUIPMEN dress several that are in vio energy consu | T AND SHC key health, lation of saf mption, and | ORTCOMINGS: environmental ety codes, redu reduce operati | is: ial and sal iduce emp ating cost | fety issues. sloyee cadm s. Uction defici | Generally, p ium and TNT ium and TNT | rojects up; | grade fire pi , increase r | rotection, elir ailroad safet n and operal | ninate portable he | aters, hazardous rress |
| environmental and health concerns. c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: | erns. | AL INVEST | MENT: | - | | | 6 5 5 | _ | 5 5 5 5 | | |
| Installations will not be in compliance with fire/safety/health regulation could result in claims against the government. | liance with fir ainst the gove | e/satety/hea rnment. | aith regulatio | ns, and er | npioyees wii | l be exposed | to danger | ous workinį | conditions | lations, and employees will be exposed to dangerous working conditions and nazardous substances | ostances |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | RFORMED? | Yes. | | | | | | | | , | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$18,885.0K | Net Present | \$18,885.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: | nefits: | N/A | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment F | ≀atio: | N/A | Payback Period: | N/A |

| | DEPOT | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | SECAPITA SOFT (\$ in Tho | CAPITAL INVEST SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | MENT JUS | RFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-M34 | | Item Description SDS Defense Lo | tion e Log. Mg | Item Description SDS Defense Log. Mgmt. Sys. (DLMS) | .MS) | D. Activity Identification All Depots |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Software | | 671.000 | 671.000 | | | | - | 1,262.000 1,262.000 | 1,262.000 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | _ | | 671.000 | | | | | | 1,262.000 | |
| Norrotivo hadification: | | | | | | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

applications of 26 transaction sets. The existing system will be modified to accept transactions in the DLMS X12 variable length record format in order to process all Modification is based on a Department of Defense (DoD) directive to delete 80 position transactions and move to variable length records based on ANSI ACS X12 syntax. This directive applies to all logistics transactions. The current 425 document identifier codes for Military Logistics Systems (MILS) will be replaced by 53 MILS records. This change will be in alignment with the industry standard.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

Modification will increase functional capability with over 100 enhancements to MILS systems and will apply modern telecommunications technology to the Standard Depot System (SDS). This update will enable AMC systems to utilize standard formats to process MILS records such as requisitions. This standard variable length record format complies with industry standards

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

AMC systems will not be able to process incoming and outgoing traffic or use the Defense Automated Address System after Oct 98. The system will not be able to perform critical logistics sustainment functions.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? No. DoD directed.

\$1,933.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: **ECONOMIC INDICATORS:** Total Cost of the Project

٨

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

₹

Payback Period:

۲

| | DEPOT | DEPOT MAINTENANCE CA S (\$ ir | CE CAPITA SOFT | APITAL INVESTI SOFTWARE in Thousands) | PITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION OFTWARE 1 Thousands) | TFICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-M32 | | Item Description SDS Common O | on Operati | ing Environr | Item Description SDS Common Operating Environment (COE) All Depots | D. Activity Identification All Depots | T |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | Sost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Software Transfer JLSC MRP | 7 | 6,200.000 6,200.000 | 6,200.000 | ~ ~ | 4,800.000 4,800.000 5,200.000 5,200.000 | 4,800.000 4,800.000 5,200.000 5,200.000 | _ | 3,980.000 | 3,980.000 3,980.000 | | |
| TOTAL | - | | 6,200.000 | 2 | | 10,000.000 | - | | 3,980.000 | , | |
| Narrative Instification: | | | | | | | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

(SDS) to reduce application program complexity. Restructuring/re-engineering facilitates modernization and enhances technology insertion, improves maintainability and Currently the system does not allow for ready technology insertion. This effort would restructure the Army industrial logistics legacy system Standard Depot System facilitates incorporation of business process changes.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

Restructuring of the SDS legacy system directly supports the Army Strategic Logistics Plan Automation Initiatives. Legacy restructuring will extend SDS system life and maintain the system within allotted personnel resources and condition the legacy code to facilitate insertion of required new technology -- particularly where the SDS improved storage management and asset management). Legacy restructuring will offset critical skill losses by documenting data and functionality related to code enhance maintainability because of the reduced system complexity and the increased receptivity to technology insertion and business process improvements (e.g. implementation. This initiative is also critical to survival of the legacy system code since restructuring/re-engineering will allow the Army Central Design Activity to technical infrastructure is at the end of its life cycle, or where commercial products are no longer available.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

The Army automation logistics posture will be seriously flawed. Survival of the legacy system becomes questionable because of limited personnel resources possessing critical skills and the fact that the legacy code presents obstacles to insertion of required new technologies.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED?

No. Required to conform to Defense Information Infrastructure/Common Operating Environment (DII/COE).

ECONOMIC INDICATORS:

Ϋ́ \$20,180.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance Element of Cost SDS Century Date Change | | (\$ in Thousand | Thousands) | | | | | | FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-M18 | | Item Description SDS Century Date Change | tion Date Cha | nge | | D. Activity Identification All Depots | tion |
| SDS Century Date Change | FY 97 ity Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| | 1 1,037.000 | 1,037 | _ | 1,900.000 | 1,900.000 | ~ | 300.000 | 300.000 | | |
| TOTAL | - | 1,037.000 | | | 1,900.000 | - | | 300.000 | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The current SDS will not accommodate transition to the new century. This system change request (SCR) will modify SDS to recognize implicit and explicit dates into the 21st century. This recommendation will impact all SDS program tasks. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: | MENT AND SH transition to the impact all SDS | ORTCOMINGS e new century. · program tasks. | 3S: . This syst | tem change | request (SCF | ર) will moa | lify SDS to | recognize in | pplicit and explicit da | tes into the |
| The modification to the SDS will improve data accuracy. | e data accuracy | <i>-</i> ; | | | | | | | | |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: SDS becomes inoperable without this change. Without the ability of SDS to distinguish, for example, the year 1905 from 2005, all logistics disciplines that are data driven become dysfunctional. The result will be an unprecedented failure to meet regulatory and business logistical performance goals in such activities as scheduling of repairs and maintenance into the depots, Material Release Order processing, and inspection schedules. | NPITAL INVEST hange. Without s an unprecede rial Release Or | rMENT: the ability of a nted failure to der processing | SDS to dis meet regu g, and insp | tinguish, for ulatory and b | example, the rusiness logis dules. | e year 190k stical perfo | 5 from 200£ irmance go: | 5, all logistic als in such a | s disciplines that are ictivities as schedulir | data driven ıg of repairs |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? No. DoD Directed | ED? No. DoD | Directed. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project \$3,237.0K | 0K Net Present Value | | of Benefits: | N/A | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment F | Ratio: | N/A | Payback Period: | N/A |

| | ACTIN | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL SOF1 (\$ in Th | APITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | IFICATION | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | ate | 24-Feb-98 | C. Line No 99-M-44 | Item Description DM Interfaces | rtion es | | D. Activity Identification AMC |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total Cost | FY 98 Quantity Unit Cost | | uantity Un | FY 99 it Cost Total Cost | |
| Software | | | | | 1 3,982 | 3,982.000 3,982.000 | |
| TOTAL | | | | | - | 3,982.000 | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: 1. Line of the control of the | EQUIPME | NT AND SHORTCOMIN | GS: | t occurrence | n Action Month | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | on MDD) colletion It is |
| b. AN INCIPALED BENEFILS: This lunding is required to interface current regacy system interfaces to the manuacuming headure maining (min.) solution. It is understood that not all the legacy/SDS will be replaced with the MRP and therefore major interface requirements will have to be addressed. | y/SDS will | ig is required to interface be replaced with the MR | MRP and therefore major interface requirements will have to be addressed. | or interface requ | irements will ha | ve to be address | ed. |
| C. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: | SED CAPIT | FAL INVESTMENT: | | | | | |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? N/A | RFORMED | A/N & | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$3,982.0K | \$3,982.0K Net Present Value of B | of Benefits: | Benefit to In | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | | Payback Period: |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPIT S (\$ ir | CAPITAL INVEST SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousand | AL INVESTME DFTWARE Thousands) | 'AL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION OFTWARE 1 Thousands) | CATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance | Jate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 99-M-43 | | Item Description Standard Depot System/MRP II | otion Syster | m/MRP II | | D. Activity Identification Joint Logistics Systems Center |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | | Quantity | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| SDS/MRP II | | | | _ | 4,260.000 4,260.000 | 4,260.000 | ` - | 10,490.000 | 1 10,490.000 10,490.000 | |
| TOTAL | | | | _ | | 4,260.000 | 1 | | 10,490.000 | |

Legacy systems are those Automated Information Systems (AISs) currently in place at each DoD depot/shipyard maintenance activity which are used to manage, control, schedule or support the respective workload requirements of the depot/shipyard maintenance activity. Funding will be utilized to continue modernizing and improving the Services' depot maintenance legacy systems. Modernization will result in a shared data environment for both the depot community and the warfighter, and eliminate the environment for all information users. Other logistics systems improvements will provide improved systems capability, reduced costs for information services, and an architecture that is compliant with the Defense Information Infrastructure (DII) Common Operating Environment (COE) which is based on the DoD Joint Technical myriad problems associated with replicated and inaccurate information. Joint service interoperability will also result from the migration to a single shared data Architecture (JTA). Specific improvements resulting from the modifications include:

- Improved visibility and control of assets
- Reduced information technology costs
- Ability to achieve a seamless information system for the warfighter
- Increased interoperability with other automated systems, such as DM System
- · Promote rapid business process change via improved application integration
- · Enhanced software reuse and reduce application upgrade cycle times
- Support Year 2000 compliance

Achievement of the DoD JTA and the specific improvements cited is impossible without the continued investment in the infrastructure modernization of systems. Failure to complete these critical efforts will result in forced maintenance of the current costly, ineffective, and inefficient logistics support to the warfighter, to include the inability to conduct effective joint service operations. This would severely compromise the ability to implement the Global Combat Support System (GCSS) and to create the DII/COE necessary to support the warfighter in DoD's Vision 2010. (Please see continuation sheet)

ECONOMIC INDICATORS:

Total Cost of the Project \$14,7

\$14,750.0K Net Present Value of Benefits:

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

Payback Period:

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPIT S (\$ ir | o CAPITAL INVESTM SOFTWARE (\$ in Thousands) | ITAL INVESTME SOFTWARE in Thousands) | FAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION OFTWARE 1 Thousands) | ICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Depot Maintenance |)ate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 99-M-43 | | Item Description Standard Depot System/MRP | otion epot Syste | m/MRP | | D. Activity Identification Joint Logistics Systems Center | Center |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| SDS/MRP II TOTAL | | | | | | | , | | | | |
| Narrative Justification (Continuation): Improvements to Army legacy systems include the Standard Depot System (SDS) and the Legacy Manufacturing Resource Planning (MRP). | ıtion): ystems inclu | ide the Stan | dard Depot S | ystem (SI | OS) and the | Legacy Man | ufacturing | Resource F | lanning (MR | Р). | |
| Funding for the Army SDS provides for century date change, data standardization, accomplishment of the re-engineering of current systems to achieve the DoD goals of shared data, DII, COE, JTA, and achievement of the goals and objectives of the DoD Vision 2010. (FY 1996 \$7.26 million; FY 1997 \$4.50 million; FY 1998 \$4.26 million; and FY 1999 \$0.69 million) | ides for cent d achieveme | ury date cha | ange, data sta als and objec | andardizat tives of th | ion, accomp e DoD Visio | lishment of 1 n 2010. (FY | the re-engi 1996 \$7.2 | neering of c :6 million; F` | urrent syster 7 1997 \$4.50 | ata standardization, accomplishment of the re-engineering of current systems to achieve the DoD goals of objectives of the DoD Vision 2010. (FY 1996 \$7.26 million; FY 1997 \$4.50 million; FY 1998 \$4.26 million; | oals of nillion; |
| Funding for the Army Legacy MRP provides an ability to adapt the current logistics Manufacturing Resource Planning (MRP) system to the evolving joint operability established by the Global Combat Support System which is a key initiative of J4. Efforts would continue the process of modernization of legacy depot maintenance systems, ensuring compliance with the Defense Information Infrastructure (DII). (FY 1998 \$5.20 million) | RP provides oat Support (with the Defe | an ability to System whic | adapt the cu th is a key init ation Infrastru | rrent logis liative of J cture (DII) | tics Manufa 4. Efforts w . (FY 1998 | the current logistics Manufacturing Resou ey initiative of J4. Efforts would continue rastructure (DII). (FY 1998 \$5.20 million) | ource Plan e the proc n) | ning (MRP) ess of mode | system to the rnization of I | the current logistics Manufacturing Resource Planning (MRP) system to the evolving joint operability ey initiative of J4. Efforts would continue the process of modernization of legacy depot maintenance rastructure (DII). (FY 1998 \$5.20 million) | > 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | | Net Present Value | | of Benefits: | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment | Ratio: | | Payback Period: | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Exhibit Fund 9d Capital Budget Execution
Department of Army
Depot Maintenance
February 24, 1998
(\$ in Millions)

FY 97

PROJECTS ON THE FY 1997 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

| Explanation | | Approved reprogramming to increase O/A for Bore Drill Machine Reprogrammed to Computer Numerical Control Punch Press proj. Reprogrammed from contract price adjustm to 2 Vertical Turret Lathes | Approved reprogramming from Various Other Equip. <500K | Reprogrammed \$.014 due to final contract price adjustment Reprogrammed \$.079 due to final contract price adjustment | Reprogrammed from Prodction Assembly Cell | | Reprogrammed \$39K due to final contract price adjustment Renormammed for new requirem "Transmission Cells Cooling Syst." | Reprogrammed \$25K due to cost increase of final bid | Project cancelled. Reprogrammed to Various Other Equipment | | | Project cancelled. Reprogrammed to Various Other Equipment | 0.000 Reprogrammed \$14.45K for final contract price adjustment | | | | Reprogrammed to SDS & DLMS for DoD directed proj. SDS & DLMS Reprogrammed from CDC &COE for DoD directed project | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Asset/ Deficiency | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Current Proj Cost | | 9.785 | 5.371 | 0.461 | 1.576 | | 0.459 | 0.64 | | | 1.286 | 4 | 1.544 | ٠ | 11.300 | | 6.20 1.037 0.671 | 383.831 |
| Approved Proj Cost | | 9.785 | 5.371 | 0.461 | 1.576 | | 0.459 | 0.640 | • | | 1.286 | 4.140 | 1.544 | | 11.300 | | 6.200 1.037 0.671 | 48.166 |
| Reprogs | | (1.321) (0.025) 0.508 | 1.321 | (0.014) | 1.576 | | (0.039) | 0.025 | (0.200) | | | (0.161) | (0.014) | | | | (0.671) 0.671 | 0.000 |
| Approved Project Amount | | 0.600 | 4.050 | 0.475 |) ; ; | | 2.074 | 0.615 0.875 | 0.200 | | 1.286 | 4.140 0.161 | 1.544 | | 11.300 | | 6.200 | 48.166 |
| Approved Project Title | EQUIPMENT | FY 97 Engine Test Cell Upgrade FY 97 Various Other Equipment (<\$500K) | FY 97 Bore Drill Mill Machine EY 97 Hodzorlal Borbo Mill | FT 97 TOOLEGURA BOUND WITE FY 97 Xerox 4090 Page Printer FY 97 Pana Printing System | FY 97 XSMN Test Cells Cooling System | EQUIPMENT: Productivity | FY 97 Production Assembly Cell | FY 97 Computer Numerical Control Punch Press FY 97 Electronic Van Refurbishment | EQUIPMENT- Environmental FY 97 Fume and Dust Collection System | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING | FY 97 Fiber Optic LAN | FY 97 Depot Maint. Standard System (DMSS) FY 97 Miscellaneous ADPE | FY 97 Encrypted Trunk Radio Network FY 97 Laser Digitizing System | MINOR CONSTRUCTION | FY 97 Minor Construction | SOFTWARE | FY 97 SDS Common Operating Environment (COE) FY 97 SDS Century Date Change FY 97 SDS Defense Log. Mgmt System (DLMS) | Total |

Exhibit Fund 9d Capital Budget Execution Department of Army Depot Maintenance February 24, 1998 (\$ in Millions)

FY 98

PROJECTS ON THE FY 1998/1999 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

| | Increased from 3.970 due to rounding of items <\$500K. Reprogrammed to Red River Lan & SH60 Transmission Te Cost Increase Reprogrammed from indoor Test Range | | 98-M3 moved to FY99 (CNC 5 AXIS MCH CTR) | one control for C | Cost increase | Rounding Decreased Cost | | | Reprogrammed from Indoor Test Range | | New Project, taken from bulk line Reduction for AMMO Renv. Auto. BLDG. | | Transfer from JLSC | |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | (0:000) | | | 0 | 0000 | (0.000) | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.278 0.723 1.4 1.309 | | | 0.75 | 0.706 | 0.869 | | | 0.5 | | 0.994 3.028 | | 10 1.9 4.26 | 43.639 |
| | 4.278 0.723 1.400 1.309 | | | 0.750 | 0.706 | 0.869 | | | 0.500 | | 0.994 3.028 | | 10.000 | 43.639 |
| | 0.308 (1.909) 0.600 1.309 | | (0.923) | 0 | 0.036 | 0.001 | | | 0.600 | | 0.994 | | 5.200 | 6.194 |
| | 3.970 2.632 0.800 | | 0.923 | 0.750 | 0.694 | 0.868 | | | 0.500 | | 3.028 | | 4.800 1.900 4.260 | 37.445 |
| UIPMENT | 98 Various Olher Equipment (<\$500K) 98 Indoor Radar Test Range 98 Vertical Turret Lathe 98 SH60 Transmission Test Stand | EQUIPMENT- Productivity | .98 | 98 Shot Blast Booth | 98 VVnintower 98 CNC Automatic Punch Press | 98 CNC Horizontal Mch Ctr 98 Automated Storage & Retrieval System | EQUIPMENT. Environmental | JTOMATED DATA PROCESSING | 98 Miscellaneous ADPE 98 Fiber Optic LAN (RRAD) | NOR CONSTRUCTION | 98 Ammo Renovation Autoclave Bidg.98 Minor Construction | JETWARE | '98 SDS Common Operating Environment (COE) (98 SDS Century Date Change (98 Standard Depot System' MRP | Total |
| | EQUIPMENT | Is Other Equipment (<\$500K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 Radar Test Range 2.632 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) al Turret Lathe 0.800 0.600 1.400 1.4 Transmission Test Stand 1.309 1.309 | nent (<\$500K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 nge 2.632 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.600 1.400 1.4 est Stand 1.309 1.309 1.309 | rent (<\$500K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 nge 2.632 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.600 1.400 1.4 est Stand 1.309 1.309 1.309 ctivity 0.923 (0.923) | 500K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 5.000) 2.632 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.600 1.400 1.4 (1.309 1.309 1.309 0.923 (0.923) 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 | 500K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 2.632 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.600 1.400 1.4 1.309 1.309 1.309 0.923 (0.923) 0.750 0.75 11.200 0.056 11.256 0.000 0.694 0.012 0.706 0.706 | rent (<\$500K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 (0.000) nge 2.632 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) est Stand 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 ctivity 0.923 (0.923) 0.750 0.75 0.750 0.066 11.256 11.266 0.000 Ctr 0.988 0.001 0.869 0.869 | 500K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 (0.000) 2.632 (1909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.600 1400 1.44 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 0.923 (0.923) 0.750 0.75 0.750 0.056 11.256 11.256 0.000 0.894 0.012 0.706 0.706 0.898 0.001 0.869 0.869 0.891 System 1.120 (0.054) 1.066 1.0659 (0.000) | 600K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 (0.000) 2.652 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.600 1.400 1.4 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 0.923 (0.923) 0.750 0.75 11.200 0.056 11.256 11.256 0.000 0.694 0.012 0.706 0.706 0.698 0.001 0.869 0.869 0.898 0.001 0.869 0.869 | 600K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 (0.000) 0.802 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.800 1.400 1.4 (0.000) 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.000 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 0.869 | 600K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 (0.000) 2.632 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.600 1.400 1.4 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.75 11.200 0.056 11.256 11.256 0.000 0.694 0.012 0.766 0.706 0.698 0.001 0.869 0.869 0.500 0.600 0.600 0.60 | 600K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 4.278 0.0000) 0.800 0.600 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 | 600K) 3.970 0.308 4.278 4.278 (0.000) 2.652 (1.909) 0.723 0.723 (0.000) 0.800 0.600 1.400 1.4 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.756 0.756 0.684 0.012 0.766 0.766 0.689 0.001 0.869 0.869 0.500 0.600 0.600 0.500 0.500 0.509 Bldg. 0.994 0.994 0.994 | 600K) 5.970 6.822 6.1909) 6.2632 6.1909) 6.2723 6.723 6.723 6.723 6.0000 1.400 1.44 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.309 1.3028 3.028 3.028 3.028 3.028 4.260 4.260 4.260 4.260 1.0000) |

| | Activity Group Capital Investment Summary | al Investme | nt Summa | ry. | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| | Ord (\$ in | Ordnance (\$ in Millions) | | | | | |
| Line No. | Description | FY 97 Quantity To | 97 Total Cost | FY 98 Quantity Tot | 98 Total Cost | FY Quantity | FY 99 ty Total Cost |
| 98-A3 98-A1 98-A2 | EQUIPMENT-Replacement Various Capital Equipment <\$500k Jig Grinder Equipment Finisher Rotational Parts | 41 | 10.836 | 38 | 9.696 | 31 | 8.072 |
| | SUBTOTAL | 41 | 10.836 | 39 | 10.440 | 32 | 9.048 |
| 97-A12 98-A4 | EQUIPMENT-Productivity Rework GLATT Material Feed System Fluid Bed Mixing Machine | ← | 0.911 | - | 1.615 | | |
| , | SUBTOTAL | - | 0.911 | 1 | 1.615 | | |
| 98-A5 | EQUIPMENT-Environmental Air Pollution Controls Upgrade | | | | | | 4.130 |
| | SUBTOTAL | | | | | 2 | 4.130 |
| | EQUIPMENT TOTAL | 42 | 11.747 | 40 | 12.055 | 34 | 13.178 |
| 97-A9 97-A-13 | ADPE AND TELECOM EQUIPMENT Miscellaneous ADP < \$500k Law Enforcement Security Telecom System | | 0.266 | 4 | 1.118 | 2 | 0.649 |
| · | ADP TOTAL | 1 | 0.266 | 4 | 1.118 | 2 | 0.649 |
| 98-A12 | MINOR CONSTRUCTION Minor Construction | ∞ | 2.157 | 13 | 2.928 | о | 1.859 |
| | MINOR CONSTRUCTION TOTAL | 8 | 2.157 | 13 | 2.928 | 6 | 1.859 |
| | Ordnance | 51 | 14.170 | 57 | 16.100 | 45 | 15.685 |

| | OR | ORDNANCE CAPITAL EQUIPME | CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUS EQUIPMENT-Replacement | ESTMENT Replacem | INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION ENT-Replacement | TION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended |
|------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| | | | (\$ in Tho | Thousands) | | | | | | Budget Estimates |
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date | Date | | | C. Line No | | Item Description | otion | | | D. Activity Identification |
| Ordnance | | 24-Feb-98 | | 98-A3 | | Various Cap | oital Equip | Various Capital Equipment <\$500k | | Various Installations |
| | | FY 97 | | | FY 98 | | | FY 99 | | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost Total (| Total Cost | Quantity | Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Total Cost | |
| Replacement | 41 | 264.293 | 41 264.293 10,836.013 | 20 | 268.100 | 268.100 5,362.000 | 121 | | 258.170 4,388.890 | |
| Productivity | | | | 13 | 239.760 | 239.760 3,116,880 | 10 | 243.100 | 243.100 2,431.000 | |
| Environmental | | | | 2 | 299.000 | 598.000 | က | 347.666 | 347.666 1,042.998 | |
| New Mission | | | | က | 206.330 | 618.990 | _ | 209.000 | 209.000 | |
| TOTAL | 41 | | 10,836.013 | 38 | | 9,695.870 | 31 | | 8,071.888 | |
| Narrative Instification: | | | | | | | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

Examples include lathes, rail service material handler, reconditioned scrubber blowers, slurry prep tank renovation, matcher planer, extruding press, robot handling This category of projects replaces various equipment items which have outlived their useful lives, become uneconomical to repair, or become unsafe to operate. system, turret lathe (4 axes Computer Numerically Controlled (CNC)), and vibration monitoring.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

Acquisition of this equipment will improve efficiency, increase capacity which cannot be met with current equipment, replace unsafe or unusable assets, and allow compliance with regulatory agency (state, local or Federal) mandates.

and manufacturing of cannon and weapons components within the organic base. Replacement of obsolete, worn or unrepairable equipment is essential if the Army is to economically and safely meet the Load, Assemble and Pack (LAP) requirements, renovation and demilitarization of ammunition, production of defensive chemical items, IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:
 Equipment support capability would not be provided for mission needs. This would cause reduction in mission capacity, failure to meet expected deliveries, increased man-hour expenditure and downtime, inability to obtain repair parts, tolerance inaccuracies leading to rework, and violation of Occupational Safety and Health Act (OSHA), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), National Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) compliance and state laws. This equipment is necessary to continue to provide in-house support capabilities in a timely and cost effective manner, and provide safe and environmentally compliant work places.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

٧ \$28,603.8K Net Present Value of Benefits: **ECONOMIC INDICATORS** Total Cost of the Project

₹

Payback Period:

٤

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

| | OR | ORDNANCE CAPITAL EQUIPME (\$ in | CAPITAL INVESTMENT JU EQUIPMENT-Replacement (\$ in Thousands) | INVESTMENT INT-Replacem Thousands) | INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION ENT-Replacement Thousands) | NOIL | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Ordnance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-A1 | | Item Description Jig Grinder Equipment | tion | | | D. Activity Identification Rock Island Arsenal (RIA) |
| Flament of Cost | Ousnitik | FY 97 | | Ottopfile | FY 98 | FY 99 Out Outputity Unit Cost Total Cost Outputity Unit Cost Total Cost | yijan | FY 99 | Total Cost | |
| Equipment | | | | 1 | 743.823 | 743.823 | | 100 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | - | | 743.823 | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

jig grinder is the only type of precision machine tool capable of generating complex contours and smooth surfaces to meet stringent tolerances as specified on tool and The current machine cannot be rebuilt economically and must be replaced as it can no longer maintain the level of precision required by manufacturing drawings. The gauge drawings. The special tooling this machine makes supports all end items in the Arsenal's manufacturing mission.

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

specialized precision instruments, tools, fixtures and gauges which cannot be purchased in a timely or cost effective manner. In addition to an expected annual savings This machine is required for the manufacture of special tooling for current and next generation weapon systems. Specifically, the jig grinder allows RIA to manufacture of \$.252M, this project allows RIA to manufacture and/or reclaim precision instruments which would not otherwise be made or reutilized. Finally, this project allows for reductions in scrap by 25% in the first year and saves \$.039M annually in labor costs over 10 years.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Failure to fund this project will prevent RIA to develop the necessary tools, fixtures and gauges to support their manufacturing mission. Also, failure to execute this project will impact cost and scheduling of current and future mission armament products and cause safety violations. The new machine will better meet OSHA requirements to protect the operator from exposure to moving parts and debris as well as protecting others in the immediate area.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | Total Cost of the Project \$743.8K Net | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------------|--|
| | Present Value of Benefits: | |
| | \$2,313.0K B | |
| | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | |
| | 4.4 | |
| | Payback Period: | |

2.96 years

| | | ļo | ORDNANCE CAPITAI EQUIPM (\$ i | CAPITAL INVE EQUIPMENT-I (\$ in Tho | _ INVESTMENT JU! IENT-Replacement n Thousands) | _ INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION IENT-Replacement n Thousands) | ATION | · | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | u |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | B. Component, Activity Group, Date Ordnance | ate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-A2 | | Item Description Finisher Rotational Parts | otion ational Pa | rts | | D. Activity Identification Rock Island Arsenal (RIA) | on (RIA) |
| | Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| - | Equipment | | | | | | | - - | 975.960 | 975.960 | | |
| 125 | Narrative Justification: CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Current manufacturing processes at RIA require finishing (the process of bringing parts to their final configuration) internal diameter, grooves, faces and outside diameters. This work must be performed to very precise tolerances and standards. The current equipment has reached the limits of its capabilities and is becoming increasingly unreliable to perform highly precise manufacturing operations. This new machine, which is able to hold tighter tolerances and provide repeatability, will greatly improve RIA's capability to generate critical parts in support of current and next generation weapon systems. | EQUIPMEI s at RIA re erformed to n highly pre | NT AND SHC quire finishin y very precise scise manufa critical parts | ORTCOMING g (the proces s tolerances a cturing opera | is of bringi and standk ations. Thi | ng parts to ards. The c is new mac nd next ger | MINGS: process of bringing parts to their final configuration) in nees and standards. The current equipment has reare operations. This new machine, which is able to hold port of current and next generation weapon systems. | ifiguration, nent has ri s able to ho | internal dia sached the I old tighter to | meter, groov imits of its of lerances and | owings: orocess of bringing parts to their final configuration) internal diameter, grooves, faces and outside inces and standards. The current equipment has reached the limits of its capabilities and is becoming operations. This new machine, which is able to hold tighter tolerances and provide repeatability, will inport of current and next generation weapon systems. | e oming /, will |
| | ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: The objective of this project is to improve RIA's micro-finishing operations into one. This improves parts quality by completing \$.074M over an 11-year period. | improve R /es parts qı | !!A's micro-fir uality by com | | oilities. Ne ole part fe≀ | w Compute atures in on | er Numerically e fixtured set | / Controlle up. This p | d (CNC) mo roject will pr | dels are cap ovide an anı | capabilities. New Computer Numerically Controlled (CNC) models are capable of combining multiple multiple part features in one fixtured setup. This project will provide an annual operating cost savings of | ultiple avings of |
| | IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Failure to fund this project will impact RIA's ability to support manufacture of current and next generation armament components. Also, increased maintenance and repair costs of existing equipment will not allow RIA to provide cost effective manufacturing of core mission items in a timely manner. | SED CAPII pact RIA's nt will not a | FAL INVESTI ability to sup llow RIA to p | MENT: port manufar rovide cost e | cture of cu ffective m≀ | rrent and n anufacturinุ | anufacture of current and next generation armament components. Als cost effective manufacturing of core mission items in a timely manner. | n armame sion items | nt componei in a timely n | nts. Also, in nanner. | creased maintenance | and |
| | d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | RORMED | 7 Yes. | | | | | | | | | |
| | ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$976.0K | Net Present Value | Value of Be | of Benefits: | \$112.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment | Ratio: | 1.1 | Payback Period: | 10.65 years |

| | RO | ORDNANCE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION EQUIPMENT-Productivity (\$ in Thousands) | SAPITAL INVESTMENT JU EQUIPMENT-Productivity (\$ in Thousands) | TAL INVESTMENT IIPMENT-Productiv (\$ in Thousands) | · JUSTIFICA vity | VIION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Ordnance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-A4 | | Item Description Fluid Bed Mixing Machine | tion xing Mach | ine | | D. Activity Identification Pine Bluff Arsenal (PBA) |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total (| | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Sost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Equipment | | | | | 1,615.000 | 1,615.000 1,615.000 | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | - | | 1,615.000 | | | | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

maximum capacity (4 batches/day) overtime must be used whenever re-blends of mix are required to meet preliminary burn time tests. Break-downs are becoming more This mixer is used in the manufacture of the M18 Series Smoke and XM83 Training Grenades. Each of the two existing fluid bed mixers can produce 4 batches of production line, each batch must be tested for proper duration of burn. Failed batches must be re-blended with additional ingredients to correct the deficiency. At smoke mix per day (10 hours). One of these machines is beyond its normal life expectancy (22 years) and is becoming unreliable. Prior to being used on the requent which increases costs and reduces output

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

encountered and minimal re-blending of mix batches is necessary. This production rate will require two shifts of 10 hours each. More than minimal re-blends or break-Planned grenade production over the next 5 years will require 12 batches of mix per day to support the end-item production demands, assuming no break-downs are downs will require additional time. The new machine is required to support planned smoke grenade programs.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

Without an additional machine, PBA, will be required to run existing machines on two shifts, with little time available for re-blends. Machine maintenance and repairs will further impede the ability to support end-item production. The age of existing equipment makes breakdowns likely.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----|-----------------|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$1,615.0K | Net Present Value of Benefits: | \$481.0K | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | 1.3 | Payback Period: |
| | THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PERSON NA | | | | | |

6.96 years

| | SO. | ORDNANCE CAPITA EQUIPM (\$ i | CAPITAL INVI EQUIPMENT-E (\$ in Tho | L INVESTMENT JUS ENT-Environmental in Thousands) | L INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION ENT-Environmental in Thousands) | ATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Ordnance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-A5 | | Item Description Air Pollution Controls Upgrade | otion Controls | Jpgrade | | D. Activity Identification Pine Bluff Arsenal (PBA) | |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost Total | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Equipment Installation | | | | | | | | 1,078.000 3,052.000 | 1,078.000 1,078.000 3,052.000 3,052.000 | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | | : | 2 | | 4,130.000 | | Ì |

PBA will not meet the more stringent standards which will be required to renew the permit in November 1999. The new regulations, such as the Resource Conservation by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Arkansas Department of Pollution Control and Ecology (ADPC&E) for their current operating permit (1989). a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: Current Air Pollution Controls were designed to meet emission standards required and Recovery Act (RCRA) mandate much tighter control of particulates and vapors.

next ten years so it can continue disposal of its hazardous wastes in full compliance with environmental regulations. Through the use of the Central Incinerator Complex, from the potential hazards of the incinerator and scrubber blowers, reducing hazard exposure. These improvements will allow PBA to renew its operating permit for the PBA reduces the volume of wastes which are placed in the hazardous wastes landfill by more than 90%. Local waste handlers do not have the technology to incinerate atmosphere, a new exhaust stack, and new, more automated and operationally efficient controls. The control rooms housing these controls will also be moved further b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: This project will install new scrubbers and blowers, made with exotic materials to withstand the high temperatures and corrosive the types of chemical and smoke mixtures used in munitions. These wastes would have to be transported to other states where the technology does exist. This assumes that other states would allow the importation of hazardous wastes for disposal.

source for Research & Development of Chemical and Obscurant Munitions. These efforts support its continuing role in providing "cradle to grave" management of these state inspectors. PBA will have to dispose of its hazardous wastes off-site at great expense, assuming that a suitable disposal site could be found. PBA is the Army's c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: PBA will not meet the tighter environmental regulation, and their incinerator complex will be closed by munitions.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Per Paragraph 6a, DOD Policy Statement, Aug 94, Economic Analysis of AWCF Capital Budget Investment Projects: exemption from EA is applicable to hazardous waste management facilities under provisions found in Title 40, CFR.

\$4,130.0K Net Present Value of Benefits: **ECONOMIC INDICATORS:** Total Cost of the Project

₹

Payback Period:

₹ Ž

Benefit to Investment Ratio:

۲

| | ORDNANCE CAPITAL ADPE AND TI (\$ in | VCE CAF ADPE A | ICE CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIF ADPE AND TELECOM EQUIPMENT (\$ in Thousands) | INVESTMENT . ELECOM EQUIF Thousands) | INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION ELECOM EQUIPMENT Thousands) | TION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | ion |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Ordnance | | 24-Feb-98 | 3 | C. Line No 97-A9 | | Item Description Miscellaneous ADP < \$500k | rtion us ADP < \$ | 500k | | D. Activity IdentificationVarious Ordnance Installations | ation Installations |
| Element of Cost | FY Quantity Unit | FY 97 Unit Cost 1 | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | | |
| Equipment | | | | 4 4 | 279.500 | 1,118,000 | 2 0 | 324.500 | 649.000 | | |
| Narrative Justification: | | 1 | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: These miscellaneous information management projects replace old/obsolete and unrepairable equipment with current state-of-the-art equipment. | S EQUIPMENT AN on management p | VD SHOF rojects re | RTCOMING eplace old/o | S: bsolete an | ıd unrepaire | able equipme | ent with cur | rent state-o | մ-the-art eqւ | ipment. | |
| b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Replacement of obsolete equipment will improve processing speeds, increase productivity, and reduce maintenance costs at Rock Island and Watervliet Arsenals. It will also decrease the amount of floor space required which will reduce operating costs. | oment will improve | process which w | sing speeds, vill reduce o | eeds, increase prod luce operating costs. | productivity, osts. | , and reduce | maintenar | ice costs at | Rock Island | l and Watervliet Ars | enals. It will |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Systems will continue to be unreliable, downtime will be greater, and administrative costs will be higher. | OSED CAPITAL IN reliable, downtime | VVESTM will be g | IENT: yreater, and | administra | ıtive costs v | vill be higher | .• | | | | |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | ERFORMED? Yes | ø. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$1,767.0K Net Present Value | ² resent ∖ | Value of Benefits: | | N/A | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | vestment F | Ratio: | N/A | Payback Period: | N/A |

| | ACTIV | 1TY GROUF AUTO | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | AL INVESTME DATA PROCI Thousands) | INT JUSTIF ESSING | ICATION | | | | A. Budget Submiss FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Ordnance | ate | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 97-A-13 | C | Item Description Law Enforcemen | otion ement Sec | Item Description Law Enforcement Security Telecom System | | D. Activity Rock Islan | D. Activity Identification Rock Island Arsenal (RIA) | r (SIA) |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 00 Unit Cost | Total Cost |
| Equipment | _ | 266.301 | 266.301 | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | - | | 266.301 | | | | | | | | | |
| Narrative Justification: a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS: The FCC has mandated that new radio installations be low band units after 31 Dec 97. The current radio system is not low band. b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS: Rock Island Arsenal is located in the center of the Mississippi River, connected by three bridges with Rock Island, IL, Moline, IL, and | EQUIPME I band. Rock Islan | NT AND SHO | ORTCOMINA ORTCOMINA Iocated in th | GS: The | FCC has m: | MINGS: The FCC has mandated that new radio installations be low band units after 31 Dec 97. The in the center of the Mississippi River, connected by three bridges with Rock Island, IL, Moline, IL, and | new radio | installations by three brid | be low banc | d units affer ck Island, II | r 31 Dec 97. L, Moline, II | The ; and |
| Davenport, IA. These bridges handle an estimated 30,000 to 35,000 vehicles per day, on a year around basis. The radio is essential for communications for law enforcement and security. Duties include patrolling road ways, parking lots, and buildings, armed escorts, traffic enforcement, and alarm responses to mission es vulnerable areas (arms, ammunition, explosives and money handling activities). | nandle an es es include p nition, explo | stimated 30,0 patrolling roa sives and mo | 000 to 35,000 d ways, park oney handlin | 0 vehicles ting lots, al g activities | per day, on nd buildings i). | 5,000 vehicles per day, on a year around basis. The radio is essential for communications for law parking lots, and buildings, armed escorts, traffic enforcement, and alarm responses to mission essential odling activities). | nd basis. Traffic | The radio is enforcemen | essential for ıt, and alarm | communic | ations for la to mission | w essential |
| c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: the RIA police incapable of performing its mission and jeopardiz | SED CAPIT | FAL INVEST nission and j | N | Non-compliance with e the security of RIA | ce with FCC of RIA. | Non-compliance with FCC regulations would mean the loss of radio communications. This would render is the security of RIA. | would me | an the loss c | of radio comr | munication | s. This wou | ld render |
| d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes. | RFORMED | ? Yes. | | | | | | | | · | | |
| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: Total Cost of the Project | \$266.3K | Net Present | Net Present Value of Benefits: | nefits: | | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | ivestment | Ratio: | | Payback Period: | eriod: | |

| B. Component, Activity Group, Date 24-Feb-98 C. Line No Item Description D. Activity Identification Ordnance FY 97 FY 97 FY 98 Arious Ordnance Element of Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | | OR | ORDNANCE CAPITAL MINOR C (\$ in | | STMENT STRUCTIC usands) | INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION CONSTRUCTION Thousands) | NOIL | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| FY 97 FY 98 Chantity Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost U | B. Component, Activity Group, I Ordnance | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-A12 | | Item Descrip Minor Const | otion ruction | | | D. Activity Identification Various Ordnance Installations |
| TOTAL 8 269.625 2,157.000 13 225.200 2,927.600 9 2,027.600 9 | Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Ouantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| 8 2,157.000 13 2,927.600 9 | Minor Construction | 8 | 269.625 | 2,157.000 | 13 | 225.200 | 2,927.600 | G | 206.500 | 1,858.500 | |
| The state of the s | TOTAL | 8 | | 2,157.000 | 13 | | 2,927.600 | 6 | | 1,858.500 | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

example of a project which corrects workload/production deficiencies is the Underground Electric Service to Production Building (Pine Bluff Arsenal (PBA). An example comply with regulatory requirements addressing safety, environmental, and security concerns. Examples of projects required for health and safety compliance include Replacement Windows (Bldg 104, Crane Army Ammunition Activity(CAAA)), Steel Secondary Doors (Bldg 138, CAAA), and a Deluge System (Bldg 138, CAAA). An This program will replace or upgrade installation facilities which contribute to production deficiencies, use excessive resources, lack energy conservation, or do not of a project which corrects an environmental concern is Upgrade Wastewater Treatment (PBA)

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

secondary doors to provide protection from blast over pressures, hazardous fragments and thermal effects of accidental explosion, and 3) complying with fire and safety These projects correct health and safety deficiencies by 1) replacing glass windows with non-shatterable, slow burning plastic window panes, 2) installing steel codes. Other benefits include reducing labor costs by the elimination of double handling of ammunition.

c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT:

Without this program, activities will not comply with health, safety, environmental and security requirements. They may also fail to accomplish present and future workload requirements.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----|-----------------|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$6,943.1K Net Present Value of Benefits: N | A Benefit to Investment Ratio: | ΥX | Payback Period: |
| | | | | |

×

Exhibit Fund 9d Capital Budget Execution Department of Army Ordnance

(\$ in Millions)

FY 97

| ⊢ |
|----------------|
| ш |
| Œ |
| <u> </u> |
| ≒ |
| ಷ |
| ш |
| ഗ |
| L |
| 5 |
| īīī |
| ≍ |
| ⋍ |
| 5 |
| ш |
| ĸ |
| ۵. |
| Ñ |
| 997 |
| Õ |
| ↽ |
| > |
| í. |
| |
| 씯 |
| Ξ |
| - |
| Z |
| \overline{c} |
| _ |
| ပည |
| <u></u> |
| ပ္ပ |
| |
| 3 |
| O |
| œ |
| ₾. |
| |
| |

| 됩 | Approved Project <u>Title</u> | Approved Project Amount | Reprogs | Approved Proj Cost | Current Proj Cost | Asset/ DeficiencyExplanation |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| EQUIPMEN | EQUIPMENT-Replacement | | | | | |
| FY 97 Various Cap | FY 97 Various Capital Equipment <\$500k | 14.420 | (3.295) | 10.836 | 10.836 | 0.000 Reprogrammed from "Ordnance" to "Supply Management" for ISM Reprogrammed to Ordnance "Rework GLATT Material Feed ". |
| EQUIPMEN | EQUIPMENT-Productivity | | (0.012) | | | Reprogrammed to Ordnance Minor Construction for Rock Island Arsenal project. |
| FY 97 Rework GLA | FY 97 Rework GLATT Material Feed System | 0.634 | 0.277 | 0.911 | 0.911 | (0.000) Reprogrammed for cost increase due to "one vendor" bid & change |
| EQUIPMEN | EQUIPMENT-Environmental | | | | | in memodology which required more stringent tolerances. |
| AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING | A PROCESSING | | | | | |
| FY 97 Miscellaneous ADP < \$500k FY 97 Law Enforcement Security T | FY 97 Miscellaneous ADP < \$500k FY 97 Law Enforcement Security Telecom System | 0.270 | -0.270 0.266 | 0.266 | 0.266 | Reprogrammed to Law Enforcement Security Telecom system (0.000) New requirement; reprogrammed from Miscellaneous ADP |
| MINOR CONSTRUCTION FY 97 Minor Construction | <u>cTION</u> uction | 2.145 | 0.012 | 2.157 | 2.157 | (0.000) Reprogrammed from Various Equipment category for cost increase. |
| | Total | 17.469 | (3.299) | 14.170 | 14.170 | 0.000 |

Exhibit Fund 9d Capital Budget Execution
Department of Army
Ordnance
16-Sep-96
(\$ in Millions)

FY 98

PROJECTS ON THE FY 1998/1999 PRESIDENT'S BUDGET

| | | | Controls. ent. | | | | . 66 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------|
| Explanation | | | 0.342 Request increase be funded by OA from Air Pollution Controls. (0.024) Review of cost estimate resulted in lower OA requirement. | | 1.615 Request OA be taken from Air Pollution Controls. | | (4.130) Air Pollution Controls - request project be moved to FY 99. | | | | | |
| _ ঠা | | | 42 Req 24) Revi | | 15 Req | | 30) Air F | | | | (0.000) | (2.198) |
| Asset/ Deficiency | | | 0.3 | | 9.1 | | (4.1 | | | | (0.0 | (2.1 |
| Current Proj Cost | | | 9.696 | | 1.615 | | | | 1.118 | | 2.928 | 16.100 |
| Approved Proj Cost | ٠ | | 9.354 0.768 | | | | 4.130 | | 1.118 | | 2.928 | 18.298 |
| Reprogs | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Approved Project <u>Amount</u> | | | 9.354 0.768 | | | | 4.130 | | 1.118 | | 2.928 | 18.298 |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
| Approved Project <u>Title</u> | | EQUIPMENT-Replacement | FY 98 Various Capital Equipment <\$500k FY 98 Jig Grinder Equipment | EQUIPMENT-Productivity | FY 98 Fluid Bed Mixing Machine | EQUIPMENT-Environmental | FY 98 Air Pollution Controls Upgrade | ADPE AND TELECOM EQUIPMENT | FY 98 Miscellaneous ADP < \$500k | STRUCTION | Construction | |
| 점 | EQUIPMENT | EQUIP | FY 98 Variou FY 98 Jig Gri | EQUIF | FY 98 Fluid E | EQUIF | FY 98 Air Pol | ADPE AND T | FY 98 Miscel | MINOR CONSTRUCTION | FY 98 Minor Construction | |

| | Activity Group Capit Informat | Activity Group Capital Investment Summary Information Services | λ | |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | (\$ in | (\$ in Millions) | | |
| | | FY 97 | FY 98 | FY 99 |
| Line No. | Line No. Description | Quantity Total Cost | Quantity Total Cost | Quantity Total Cost |
| 98-1 | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING Miscellaneous ADPE & Telecom Equip,<\$500k | | 1 0.300 | 1 0.335 |
| | | | | |
| | ADP TOTAL | | 1 0.300 | 1 0.335 |
| | Information Services | | 1 0.300 | 1 0.335 |

| | ACTIV | ACTIVITY GROUP CAPIT, AUTOMATED (\$ in | ROUP CAPITAL INVESTMENT JUS AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING (\$ in Thousands) | VVESTME FA PROCE usands) | AL INVESTMENT JUSTIFICATION DATA PROCESSING Thousands) | ICATION | | | | A. Budget Submission FY 1999 Amended Budget Estimates |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| B. Component, Activity Group, Date Information Services | Date | 24-Feb-98 | | C. Line No 98-1 | | Item Description Miscellaneous A | otion us ADPE { | k Telecom E | Item Description Miscellaneous ADPE & Telecom Equip,<\$500 SDC-LEE | D. Activity Identification SDC-LEE |
| Element of Cost | Quantity | FY 97 Unit Cost | FY 97 FY 98 FY 99 FY 99 Unit Cost Total Cost Quantity Unit Cost Total Cost | Quantity | FY 98 Unit Cost | Total Cost | Quantity | FY 99 Unit Cost | Total Cost | |
| Miscellaneous ADPE & Telecom Equip, <\$500K | | | | _ | 300.000 | 300.000 | ~ | 335.000 | 335.000 | |
| TOTAL | | | | - | | 300.000 | | | 335.000 | |

a. CAPABILITY OF EXISTING EQUIPMENT AND SHORTCOMINGS:

Current LAN has been in operation since 1989 and supports operations in 13 separate buildings on the Fort Lee installation as well as 4 different contractor sites off Post. The current system is completely saturated and is experiencing 5% downtime due to equipment failures as a result of system overload. Updated routers, switches, and installation of approximately a mile and a half of fiber optic cable are critically required to maintain support to users. In addition, workload is shifting to a higher ratio of contract support which will require installation of additional nodes

b. ANTICIPATED BENEFITS:

Increased capacity of the LAN will provide upgraded services necessary to support development, testing and extensions of over 30 standard software systems to Worldwide DOD users.

financial accounting systems, the DA Standard Army Military Information Systems (STAMIS) Customer Service Office, links to the CECOM network for testing of Army c. IMPACT WITHOUT PROPOSED CAPITAL INVESTMENT: Information Services activity group users and customers will continue to experience increased downtimes due to system failures. Downtimes will impact entries to tactical systems, and communication between SDC-Lee and its customers and headquarters elements.

d. ECONOMIC ANALYSIS PERFORMED? Yes.

| ECONOMIC INDICATORS: | | | CONOMIC INDICATORS: | |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Total Cost of the Project | \$635.0K | Net Present Value of Benefits: | Benefit to Investment Ratio: | Payback Period: |